Pharmacologic consequences of cholesterol absorption inhibition: alteration in cholesterol metabolism and reduction in plasma cholesterol concentration induced by the synthetic saponin β-tigogenin cellobioside (CP-88818; tiqueside)
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Abstract Natural and synthetic saponins inhibit cholesterol absorption and reduce plasma cholesterol levels in experimental animals and are therefore of potential pharmacologic utility in the treatment of hypercholesterolemia. To determine the effects of this class of compounds on cholesterol absorption and metabolism, we evaluated the effects of the synthetic saponin, β-tigogenin cellobioside (tiqueside; CP-88818), on male golden Syrian hamsters. When administered as either a single oral bolus or as a dietary supplement for up to 2 weeks, tiqueside inhibited cholesterol absorption in a dose-dependent manner in both the presence and absence of dietary cholesterol. Administration of tiqueside to chow-fed hamsters as a 0.2% dietary supplement (150 mg/kg per day) for 4 days resulted in a 68% decrease in intestinal cholesterol absorption with no change in either bile absorption or cholesterol 7α-hydroxylase activity, suggesting that tiqueside inhibits cholesterol absorption without interfering with enterohepatic bile acid recirculation. Under these conditions, hepatic cholesterol levels were also reduced in a dose-dependent manner. Hepatic cholesterol reduction was highly correlated with cholesterol absorption inhibition, and induced compensatory increases in both hepatic HMG-CoA reductase activity and hepatic low density lipoprotein (LDL) receptor levels. Compensatory increases in intestinal HMG-CoA reductase activity were also noted after tiqueside administration, and are consistent with a luminal mechanism for tiqueside action. As a consequence of these changes to cholesterol metabolism, tiqueside administration induced plasma cholesterol reductions that were highly correlated with both hepatic cholesterol reduction and cholesterol absorption inhibition. Tiqueside also produced comparable plasma cholesterol lowering in a variety of other species fed either cholesterol-free diets (hamster, rat, mouse, dog) or cholesterol-containing diets (hamster, rat, rabbit, mouse, cynomolgus monkey, rhesus monkey, SEA quail) indicating the ubiquity of tiqueside action. For all species evaluated except the dog, the reduction in plasma cholesterol was due primarily to a reduction in circulating non-HDL cholesterol levels with little or no change in HDL cholesterol levels. Taken together, these results indicate that inhibition of cholesterol absorption by tiqueside produces profound effects on cholesterol metabolism without affecting bile acid metabolism, and that these changes lead to reductions primarily in plasma non-HDL cholesterol concentrations. The synthetic saponin, tiqueside, may thus represent a prototypical form of therapy for the treatment of hypercholesterolemia.—Harwood, H. J., Jr., C. E. Chandler, L. D. Pellarin, F. W. Bangerter, R. W. Wilkins, C. A. Long, P. G. Cosgrove, M. R. Malinow, C. A. Marzetta, J. L. Pettini, Y. E. Savoy, and J. T. Mayne. Pharmacologic consequences of cholesterol absorption inhibition: alteration in cholesterol metabolism and reduction in plasma cholesterol concentration induced by the synthetic saponin β-tigogenin cellobioside (CP-88818; tiqueside). J. Lipid Res. 1993. 34: 377-395.
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Absorption of cholesterol from the intestinal lumen has been shown to play a role in controlling the steady state concentration of cholesterol in the plasma (1). Since a causative link between elevated plasma cholesterol levels,
atherosclerosis, and coronary heart disease has been firmly established (2, 3), the potential exists for reducing the incidence of atherosclerosis and coronary heart disease by interfering with intestinal cholesterol absorption.

A variety of natural products (4-8) have been shown to inhibit cholesterol absorption from the intestinal lumen in experimental animals, and consequently reduce the concentration of cholesterol in the plasma. Among these natural products, the plant saponins exhibit many of the characteristics desirable for long term hyperlipidemic therapy and thus may represent a novel form of therapy for the treatment of hypercholesterolemia. These natural products, which are widely distributed in nature, form a heterogeneous group of triterpenoid and steroid glycosides of diverse biological activity that are themselves poorly absorbed from the intestinal tract, and interact with sterols in the intestinal lumen to prevent sterol absorption (5). Plant saponins thus have the potential to act nonsystemically to interfere with absorption of both dietary cholesterol and endogenous cholesterol entering the intestinal lumen via the bile or from desquamated intestinal cells, and should be effective in reducing plasma cholesterol concentrations even in combination with low cholesterol diets.

Examples of plant saponins that inhibit intestinal cholesterol absorption and reduce plasma cholesterol concentrations in a variety of experimental animal models include the steroid glycosides digitonin (9), tomatine (10), and sarsasapogenin (5), and triterpenoid glycosides of alfalfa (6, 11), soya (5, 12), quillaja (5, 13), saponaria (5, 14), gypsophila (15), and ginseng (16, 17). Several of these plant saponins have also been shown to prevent the development of, and induce regression of diet-induced atherosclerosis in experimental animals (6, 18-20). Whereas steroid glycosides such as digitonin and tomatine bind to cholesterol to induce its precipitation in vitro (9, 10, 21, 22) and inhibit cholesterol absorption without affecting bile acid absorption in vivo (10, 23), many of the triterpenoid saponins interfere with micelle size and structure (24, 25) and alter bile acid absorption in addition to inhibiting cholesterol absorption (5, 12-14, 24).

Although of potential pharmacologic interest, the utility of the naturally occurring plant saponins as hypolipidemic agents is limited by difficulties in obtaining large quantities from natural sources. This is due in part to the presence of multiple saponins of differing structure and biological activity within a given species (5), making isolation of individual plant saponins in pure form on a large scale problematic. Indeed, in many reports assessing the hypocholesterolemic activity of plant saponins, a 'saponin fraction' containing multiple related structures was used rather than individually isolated saponins (5, 11-15, 24-27).

In an effort to circumvent these difficulties, Malinow prepared a variety of synthetic saponins in which the complex carbohydrate moiety of various plant saponins was replaced with a simplified carbohydrate moiety such as glucose or cellobiose (28, 30). Similar to their naturally occurring counterparts, these synthetic saponins also associate with cholesterol in such a way as to induce its precipitation in vitro (28), inhibit cholesterol absorption in vivo (23, 28-30), and reduce plasma cholesterol concentrations in experimental animals (23, 28). Such synthetic saponins can be readily prepared in large scale (31) and thus are of pharmacologic interest.

The synthetic spirostane-based steroid glycoside, β-tigogenin cellobioside (tiqueside; CP-88818; Fig. 1), for example, precipitates cholesterol from micellar solution in vitro (28), and reduces plasma cholesterol absorption in rats (28-30) through a mechanism that is currently thought to be independent of either association of the saponin with the intestinal mucosal surface or absorption of the saponin molecule (12). As a consequence of this inhibition, tiqueside has been shown to reduce plasma cholesterol concentrations in cynomolgus monkeys (28).

In an attempt to better understand the alterations to cholesterol metabolism that occur after inhibition of intestinal cholesterol absorption, and to determine the mechanism of action of tiqueside that leads to reductions in plasma cholesterol concentrations, we evaluated the effects of dietary administration of tiqueside to male golden Syrian hamsters fed cholesterol-poor (chow) and

![Fig. 1. Structure of tiqueside (CP-88818), (3β,5α,25R)-spirostan-3-yl 4-O-β-D-glucopyranosyl-β-D-glucopyranoside, β-tigogenin cellobioside.](image)
cholesterol-containing diets. In these studies we found that tiqueside inhibits absorption of both dietary cholesterol and endogenous cholesterol excreted into the intestinal lumen via the bile, without interfering with bile acid absorption or synthesis. In addition, we found that by limiting the amount of luminal cholesterol available for systemic utilization, tiqueside induces a reduction in hepatic cholesterol concentration, compensatory increases in both nascent hepatic cholesterol formation and hepatic internalization of circulating LDL cholesterol, and a reduction in plasma cholesterol levels. Through similar evaluations in a variety of species, we have determined that tiqueside alters cholesterol metabolism and reduces plasma cholesterol concentrations ubiquitously whether animals are administered cholesterol-poor or cholesterol-containing diets and that reduction in plasma cholesterol concentration is primarily the result of decreases in plasma non-HDL cholesterol concentrations.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Chemicals

Leupeptin, TPCK, TLCK, iodoacetamide, PMSF, EDTA, EGTA, Tween-80, methylcellulose, carbosorcymethylcellulose, cholic acid, cholesterol, sodium dodecylsulfate, Triton X-100, β-mercaptoethanol, key-hole limpet hemocyanin, hydrogen peroxide, and glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase were from Sigma Chemical Co. (St. Louis, MO). Gelatin, Tween-20, 4-chloro-1-naphthol, electrophoresis grade Tris, and glycine were from Bio-Rad Laboratories (Richmond, CA). Soybean trypsin inhibitor, glucose-6-phosphate, dibutylthreitol, and NADP⁺ were from U.S. Biochemicals (Cleveland, OH). [3-14C]HMG-CoA (57 mCi/mmol), [2-14C]mevalonolactone (24 Ci/mmol), [1,2-3H(N)]cholesterol (46 Ci/mmol), [4-14C]cholesterol (50 Ci/mmol), [1,2,3H]polyethylene glycol (1.3 mCi/g; average MW 4000 kDa), [carboxyl-14C]cholesterol (47 mCi/mmol), and Aquasol-2 were from New England Nuclear (Boston, MA). EcoLite scintillation fluid was from ICN Biomedicals Inc. (Irvine, CA). A-Gent cholesterol and triglyceride reagent kits were from Abbott Laboratories (North Chicago, IL). SingleVial cholesterol reagent kit was from Boehringer Mannheim Diagnostics (Indianapolis, IN). Oxysterol recovery standards were from Radiomatic Instruments and Chemical Co. (Tampa, FL). Goat anti-rabbit IgG-horseradish peroxidase conjugate (cat # AP132P) was from Chemicon (El Segundo, CA). Prestained molecular weight standards were from Bethesda Research Laboratories (Gaithersburg, MD). BA-85 nitrocellulose sheets were from Schleicher and Schuell (Keene, NH). Silica gel TLC plates were from Eastman Kodak (Rochester, NY). Cholestyramine (Questran) was from Mead Johnson & Co. (Evansville, IN). Colestipol (Coles-tid) was from Upjohn (Kalamazoo, MI). A premixed, 37.5:1 (w/w), liquid preparation of acrylamide and bisacrylamide was from Ameresco (Solon, OH). A 15-amino acid peptide, corresponding to the sequence of the C-terminal tail of the bovine LDL receptor was prepared through custom synthesis by Cambridge Research Biochemicals Ltd. (Button End, Harston Cambridge CB2 5NX, England). All other chemicals were from previously listed sources (32-35).

Diet

AIN diet #76A, and Hegsted salt mixture were from U.S. Biochemicals (Cleveland, OH). AIN mineral mix #76, and AIN vitamin mix #76, were from ICN Biochemicals Inc. (Irvine, CA). RMH 3200 laboratory meal and Respond 2000 laboratory dog chow were from Agway Inc. (Syracuse, NY). Liquid hamster diet (catalog # F-0739) and monkey OWP vitamin mixture were from Bio-Serv (Frenchtown, NJ). TD-89021, a rabbit diet containing 0.4% cholesterol and 5% peanut oil was from Teklad (Madison, WI). Semi-synthetic diet A contained (w/w) 40% soybean meal, 20% wheat flour, 20% non-fat dried milk, 10% lard, 5% calcium carbonate, 4% AIN mineral mix #76, 0.5% AIN vitamin mix #76, and 0.5% cholesterol. Semi-synthetic diet B contained (w/w) 15.2% casein, 33.7% sugar, 8.4% honey, 8.4% banana (wet weight), 15.2% butter, 3% olive oil, 2.5% soy oil, 1.7% vitamin mix, 3.4% vitamin mix, 0.01% vitamin D (8000 U/ml), 8.4% Alphacel, and 0.09% cholesterol. Semi-synthetic diet C contained (w/w) 18% casein, 30% sugar, 10% honey, 10% banana (wet weight), 3% butter, 8.5% coconut oil, 2.5% safflower oil, 2% Monkey OWP vitamin mix, 4% Hegsted salt mixture, 0.2% vitamin D (2000 U/ml), 12% Alphacel, and 0.1% cholesterol.

Buffers and solutions

TEDK buffer contained 50 mM tris (pH 7.5), 1.0 mM EDTA, 5.0 mM dithiothreitol, and 70 mM KCl. PEDSKF buffer contained 40 mM phosphate (pH 7.4), 5 mM EDTA, 5 mM DTT, 250 mM sucrose, 50 mM KCl, and 50 mM KF. PEDKF buffer contained 40 mM phosphate (pH 7.4), 5 mM EDTA, 5 mM DTT, 50 mM KCl, and 50 mM KF. LDL receptor homogenization buffer contained 20 mM Tris (pH 8.0), 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM CaCl₂, 5 mM EDTA, 5 mM EGTA, 0.1 mM iodoacetamide, 1 mM PMSF, 0.3 mM leupeptin, 0.3 mM TPCK (added from a 0.5 M solution in DMSO), and 0.3 mM TLCK (added from a 0.5 M solution in DMSO). Sucrose buffer contained 20 mM Tris (pH 8.0), 1 mM CaCl₂, 0.1 mM iodoacetamide, 1 mM PMSF, 0.3 mM leupeptin, 0.3 mM TPCK, 0.3 mM TLCK, and 30% (w/v) sucrose. LDL receptor solubilization buffer 1 contained 250 mM Tris maleate (pH 6.0), 2 mM CaCl₂, 10 mM EDTA, 10 mM EGTA, 0.2 mM iodoacetamide, 1 mM PMSF, 0.6 mM leupeptin, 0.6 mM TPCK, and 0.6 mM TLCK. LDL
receptor solubilization buffer II contained 2 mM CaCl₂, 0.32 mM NaCl, and 1.5% (v/v) Triton X-100. Electrophoresis sample buffer contained 320 mM Tris (pH 6.8), 8% (w/v) SDS, and 0.8 M sucrose. Tris-buffered saline (TBS) contained 20 mM Tris (pH 7.5) and 500 mM NaCl.

Synthesis of tiqueside

(3β,5α,25R)-spirostan-3-yl 4-O-β-D-glucopyranosyl-β-D-glucopyranoside, β-tigogenin cellobioside (tiqueside; CP-88818; Fig. 1), was prepared by condensation of β-tigogenin with heptaacetyl cellobiosyl bromide followed by deacetylation as previously described (31, 36).

Production of anti-LDL receptor C-terminal peptide antiserum

Polyclonal, monospecific antiserum was prepared against a 15-amino acid peptide corresponding to the C-terminal sequence of the bovine LDL receptor through custom synthesis by Cambridge Research Biochemicals Ltd. (Button End, Harston Cambridge CB2 5NX, England) as previously described (37). Briefly, the C-terminal LDL receptor peptide (Cys-Tyr-Pro-Ser-Arg-Gln-Met-Val-Leu-Glu-Asp-Asp-Val-Ala) was conjugated to keyhole limpet hemocyanin using m-maleimidobenzoic acid N-hydroxysuccinimide ester as a heterobifunctional crosslinking agent to produce an N-terminally bound peptide conjugate as described by Green et al. (38). Five female New Zealand White/Sandy Half Lop rabbits, weighing between 1.5 kg and 2.0 kg, were immunized by subcutaneous injections at multiple sites in their hindquarters with 100 μg of the peptide-hemocyanin conjugate in either Freund’s complete adjuvant (injection 1) or Freund’s incomplete adjuvant (injections 2–7) every 2 weeks for 16 weeks. Blood samples were collected prior to the start of the immunization schedule and 7 days after the second through seventh injections. Serum was separated and samples were stored at −20°C. All serum samples were assayed for the presence of antibodies against the LDL receptor peptide by ELISA using unimmunized rabbit sera and LDL receptor peptide absorbed antiserum as controls. Immunoglobulins contained in serum samples possessing antibodies to the LDL receptor peptide were partially purified by caprylic acid precipitation and molecular exclusion chromatography and were stored at −80°C.

Experimental protocol: mechanistic studies

Single dose experimental design. For experiments in which the effects of tiqueside on intestinal cholesterol absorption were measured after a single oral administration, male golden Syrian hamsters, weighing 100–200 g, were divided into groups of four animals each and were given free access to water and either a cholesterol-poor diet (Agway RMH 3200; 28 ppm cholesterol; chow) or a semi-purified diet (AIN#76A) supplemented with 1% cholesterol and 0.5% cholic acid for 4 days. The following day, food was withdrawn and animals were fasted for 18 h. At the end of the 18-h fast, control animals received a 1.5-ml oral bolus of a saline solution containing 0.25% methylcellulose, 0.6% Tween 80, and 10% ethanol. Experimental animals received an identical oral bolus that also contained tiqueside. Immediately following bolus administration, animals received a second 1.5-ml oral bolus of liquid hamster diet containing 1% [3H]cholesterol (2.0 μCi/animal; 115 dpm/nmol) and 0.5% cholic acid, and were then fasted for an additional 24 h. Animals were then sacrificed and blood and liver samples were collected and assessed for radioactivity as described below.

Multi-dose experimental design. Male golden Syrian hamsters, weighing 100–120 g, were housed in a reversed lighting cycle room (light between 3:00 PM and 3:00 AM) and received a cholesterol-poor diet (Agway RMH 3200; 28 ppm cholesterol; chow) and water ad libitum for 1 week prior to use. Unless otherwise indicated, animals were assigned to groups of six animals each and were given free access to water and chow that contained various amounts of either tiqueside, colestipol, or cholestyramine resin (Questran® contains 4 g resin per 9 g powder) for 4 days. At 9:00 AM on the morning of the fourth day of the study, animals received a 1.0-ml oral bolus of liquid hamster diet containing 15 mg of [3H]cholesterol (2.25 μCi; sp act 129 dpm/nmol) and 7.5 mg cholic acid for determining cholesterol absorption. At 9:00 AM on the morning of the fifth day (peak of the diurnal cycles for HMG-CoA reductase and cholesterol 7α-hydroxylase activities), animals were anesthetized with pentobarbital and blood samples were obtained by cardiac puncture for determining plasma cholesterol and triglyceride levels, and cholesterol absorption. Livers were removed, weighed, rinsed in 4°C saline, and apportioned for determining hepatic cholesterol levels, hepatic HMG-CoA reductase, and cholesterol 7α-hydroxylase activities, hepatic LDL receptor concentration, and cholesterol absorption. In selected experiments, intestinal segments from the pylorus to the cecum were also obtained and mucosal scrapings were used for measurement of intestinal HMG-CoA reductase activity. The interval between sacrifice of the first and last animal in a study generally ranged between 60 and 90 min.

In selected studies, on the morning of the second day of the study, animals were housed in pairs in plastic cages with wire cage bottoms and administered a 1.0-ml bolus of water containing 50 nmol [14C]cholesterol acid (0.5 μCi; 22 dpm/pmol) and 2.5 mg [3H]polyethylene glycol (0.25 μCi; 220 dpm/μg), for determining rates of bile acid fecal excretion and as a measure of intestinal motility. Fecal samples were collected over the next 3 days and assessed for radioactivity to determine the effects of administered drugs on bile acid excretion.
Experimental protocol: plasma cholesterol lowering studies

Experiments using cholesterol-poor diets. Male golden Syrian hamsters (approx. 150 g), male Sprague-Dawley rats (approx. 250 g), male CDI mice (approx. 30 g), and an equal distribution of male and female beagles (approx. 10 kg) were assigned to groups of six animals each. Hamsters were given free access to water and Agway RMH 3200 chow containing various amounts of tiqueside for up to 2 weeks. Mice were given free access to water and Agway RMH 3200 chow supplemented with 5% coconut oil and 0.25% cholylysarcosine for 3 days, and then the same diet containing various amounts of tiqueside for 4 days. Rats were given free access to water and AIN diet #76A for 2 weeks, and received a 1.5-ml aqueous bolus containing 0.25% carboxymethylcellulose, 0.6% Tween-80, and the indicated amounts of tiqueside by oral gavage daily at the mid-point of the dark (feeding) cycle. Dogs were fed Agway Respon 2000 laboratory dog chow (89 ppm cholesterol) twice daily for up to 4 weeks and just prior to each meal received a 10-ml aqueous bolus containing 0.5% carboxymethylcellulose and 50% of the indicated daily amount of tiqueside by oral gavage. Control animals in all studies received corresponding diets or oral bolus administrations that lacked tiqueside addition. Intermittently (rats and dogs), and/or at the time of sacrifice (all species), plasma samples were obtained for determination of plasma total and HDL cholesterol concentrations as described below.

Experiments using cholesterol-supplemented diets. Male golden Syrian hamsters (approx. 150 g), male Sprague-Dawley rats (approx. 250 g) fed AIN diet #76A for 2 weeks, male CDI mice (approx. 30 g), male New Zealand white rabbits (approx. 3.5 kg) fed Teklad diet TD-89021 for 4 weeks, and male SEA (Susceptible to Experimental Atherosclerosis) quail (approx. 100 g) were assigned to groups of six animals each and were given free access to water and the following diets supplemented with tiqueside for up to 3 weeks; Agway RMH 3200 chow supplemented with 0.2% cholesterol and 0.1% cholic acid (hamsters and mice); AIN diet #76A supplemented with 0.1% cholesterol and 0.05% cholic acid (rats); Teklad TD-89021 diet (rabbits); and semi-synthetic diet A (SEA quail). Control animals in all studies received the corresponding diet without tiqueside addition. Plasma samples were obtained intermittently through carotid vein (rabbit) or tail vein (rat) bleeding, and/or at sacrifice (all species), for determination of plasma total and HDL cholesterol concentrations as described below.

Male rhesus monkeys (approx. 10 kg), and female cynomolagus monkeys (approx. 3 kg) were assigned to groups of six animals each and were given free access to water and either semi-synthetic diet B (rhesus monkeys) or semi-synthetic diet C (cynomolagus monkeys), supplemented with various amounts of tiqueside for 3 weeks. As previously described (23, 28) studies at various doses of tiqueside were performed sequentially in a single group of animals using each animal as its own base-line control. Diet-induced increases in plasma cholesterol concentrations were determined during control three-week intervals in which cholesterol-containing diets lacked added tiqueside. After each 3-week interval of cholesterol/tiqueside-containing diet administration, and prior to initiating the next 3-week segment of the study, animals received a corresponding diet for 4 weeks that lacked added cholesterol and tiqueside. Plasma samples were obtained prior to, and at the end of each 3-week treatment interval for determination of total and HDL cholesterol concentrations.

Hepatic microsomal isolation

Hepatic microsomes for measurement of hepatic HMG-CoA reductase activity and cholesterol 7a-hydroxylase activity were prepared as described by Harwood, Schneider, and Stacpoole (33) and Junker and Story (39), respectively, with the following modifications. For measurement of HMG-CoA reductase activity, 0.5-g liver pieces were immediately homogenized at 4°C in TEDK buffer (2 ml TEDK per gram of liver) using 15 strokes of a Dounce tissue homogenizer. For measurement of cholesterol 7a-hydroxylase activity, 0.5-g liver pieces were immediately homogenized at 4°C in PESDKF buffer (2 ml PESDKF buffer per gram of liver) using 20 strokes of a Dounce homogenizer. Homogenates were first centrifuged at 4°C for 20 min at 10,000 g and the resultant supernatant was then centrifuged at 4°C for 90 min at 178,000 g. The resulting microsomal pellets were resuspended in either 1.0 ml TEDK per gram liver (HMG-CoA reductase determination) or 1 ml PESDKF per gram liver (cholesterol 7a-hydroxylase determination) by five strokes of a Potter-Elvehjem pestle and were stored frozen in liquid N2. For most experiments, 0.5-g liver pieces obtained for each of the six animals in a group were homogenized together in either 6.0 ml TEDK (HMG-CoA reductase determination) or 6.0 ml PESDKF (cholesterol 7a-hydroxylase determination). In selected experiments in which liver samples from individual animals were processed separately, inter-animal variations for both determinations averaged 20%.

Intestinal microsomal isolation

Microsomes isolated from intestinal mucosal scrapings for measurement of intestinal HMG-CoA reductase activity were prepared as described by Sugano, Okamatsu, and Takahara (40) with the following modifications. Intestinal segments from the pylorus to the cecum were removed, washed free of fecal material with saline, and split longitudinally. The mucosa was then scraped free from the musculature with a glass slide. Intestinal scrapings from individual animals were processed separately. Mucosal scrapings were weighed and immediately...
homogenized at 4°C with TEDK buffer containing 0.33 mg/ml soybean trypsin inhibitor (6.0 ml per gram mucosa) using a Dounce homogenizer. The homogenate was centrifuged at 4°C for 15 min at 12,000 g and the resulting supernatant was centrifuged at 4°C for 60 min at 105,000 g. The resulting microsomal pellets were resuspended in TEDK buffer containing 0.33 mg/ml soybean trypsin inhibitor (0.7 ml per gram mucosa) and stored frozen in liquid N₂.

Isolation and extraction of hepatic LDL receptor-containing membranes

For quantitation of LDL receptor protein concentration, membrane fractions were isolated as described by Cosgrove, Gaynor, and Harwood (41). Liver pieces, 1.5 g, were homogenized with a Polytron homogenizer (setting 5, 30 sec) in LDL receptor homogenization buffer at a ratio of 1 ml buffer per gram of liver, and then centrifuged at 500 g for 5 min at 4°C to remove cellular debris. Aliquots, 6 ml, of the resulting supernatant were layered onto an equal volume of sucrose buffer and were centrifuged at 4°C in a Beckman SW40 rotor for 17 h at 35000 g. The membrane fraction at the homogonate/sucrose interface contained the full complement of LDL receptor-containing membranes and was removed by gentle aspiration. This fraction (1.5 ml approximate volume), was diluted to 3.5 ml with LDL receptor homogenization buffer and centrifuged at 4°C for 1 h at 200,000 g. The resulting pellets were resuspended in 400 µl of LDL receptor solubilization buffer I with gentle mixing. The suspension was then drawn three times through a 20-gauge needle and three times through a 25-gauge needle. LDL receptor solubilization buffer II, 400 µl, was then added to the suspension. The mixture was mixed vigorously, incubated at 4°C for 10 min, and centrifuged at 4°C for 1 h at 100,000 g. The resulting supernatants (approximate concentration, 18 mg extracted protein/ml) were retained as the solubilized extract and stored frozen in liquid N₂. No detectable levels of LDL receptor remained in the pellet (41).

Measurement of hepatic HMG-CoA reductase activity

HMG-CoA reductase (E.C. 1.1.1.34) activity was measured as described by Harwood et al. (33) with the following modifications. Microsomal protein, 50 µg, was incubated for 30 min at 37°C in a final volume of 75 µl of TEDK buffer containing 3.4 mM NADP+, 30 mM glucose-6-phosphate, 0.2 U glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase, 66.7 µM [14C]HMG-CoA (10 cpm/pmol), 15,000-20,000 cpm [3H]mevalonate (0.6-1.2 Ci/mmol) as an internal standard, and 68 mM EDTA to prevent conversion of mevalonate to phosphomevalonate during incubation. After incubation, 10 µl of 6 M HCl was added to terminate the enzymatic reaction and to convert the newly formed mevalonate into mevalonolactone. The mevalonolactone was then separated from unreacted substrate by silica gel thin-layer chromatography. After development in toluene-acetone 1:1, the region of the chromatogram corresponding to Rf = 0.4-1.0 was removed, immersed in liquid scintillation fluid, and counted, using a dual-channel 3H/14C program. HMG-CoA reductase activity is expressed as pmoles of mevalonate formed from HMG-CoA per minute of incubation at 37°C per mg of microsomal protein. Variations in HMG-CoA reductase activity in replicate samples averaged 3% to 5%.

Measurement of intestinal HMG-CoA reductase activity

Intestinal HMG-CoA reductase activity was measured essentially as described above for hepatic HMG-CoA reductase activity with the following modification. Due to the high levels of proteases present in intestinal homogenates (40), the 0.33 mg/ml concentration of soybean trypsin inhibitor included in the buffers used to isolate intestinal mucosal microsomes was also included in the assay for enzymatic activity.

Measurement of cholesterol 7α-hydroxylase activity

Cholesterol 7α-hydroxylase (E.C. 1.14.13.17) activity was measured in liver microsomes by the method of Junker and Story (39) with two major modifications: 1) the specific activity of the added radiolabeled cholesterol was increased to enhance the sensitivity of the method, and 2) substrate specific activities were calculated for each microsomal fraction analyzed based on the sum of the amount of radiolabeled cholesterol and endogenous microsomal cholesterol added to the assay. Briefly, 0.5 mg of microsomal protein, in a final volume of 200 µl PEDK buffer, was mixed in a 16 x 125 mm test tube with 100 µl of PEDK containing 0.5 µCi [14C]cholesterol (55 mCi/mmol), 0.5 mg Triton WR-1339, and 5 µl acetone.
The mixture was incubated in a covered, circulating water bath at 37°C for 1 h to allow exogenously added [14C]cholesterol to equilibrate with the unlabeled microsomal cholesterol pool. The enzymatic reaction was then initiated by addition of 250 µl of 70 mM phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) containing 1.25 mM NADPH, 10 mM glucose-6-phosphate, 2 U glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase, and 10 mM β-mercaptoethylamine. After a 30-min incubation at 37°C, the reaction was terminated by addition of 7.5 ml chloroform-methanol 2:1 and vigorously mixed for 20 sec. Distilled water, 1.0 ml, was then added and the mixtures were vigorously shaken for an additional 10 sec. After centrifugation at 2500 rpm for 5 min in a Sorvall RT-6000 benchtop centrifuge at 4°C, the chloroform layer was removed to a 13 x 100 mm test tube and evaporated to dryness under nitrogen. The resultant lipid residue was then dissolved in 100 µl chloroform and 20 µl aliquots were applied to silica gel thin-layer plates and developed in toluene ethyl acetate 1:9. Following development, the region of the chromatogram corresponding to 7α-hydroxycholesterol was removed from the plate, mixed with 10 ml of liquid scintillation fluid and assessed for radioactivity. Cholesterol 7α-hydroxylase activity is expressed as pmols of 7α-hydroxycholesterol formed from cholesterol per minute of incubation at 37°C per mg of microsomal protein. The substrate specific activity for each microsomal sample was calculated by dividing the total substrate radioactivity by the total amount of cholesterol present in the assay. Variations in cholesterol 7α-hydroxylase activity in replicate samples averaged 5% to 7%.

Measurement of hepatic LDL receptor levels

Hepatic LDL receptor levels were measured by enzyme immunoblotting analysis as described by Cosgrove, Gaynor, and Harwood (41). Briefly, 100- to 150-µl portions of the soluble extracts prepared as described above, that contained between 100 and 600 µg protein (maximum volume 150 µl), were added to 100 µl chloroform and 20 µl aliquots were applied to silica gel thin-layer plates and developed in toluene ethyl acetate 1:9. Following development, the region of the chromatogram corresponding to 7α-hydroxycholesterol was removed from the plate, mixed with 10 ml of liquid scintillation fluid and assessed for radioactivity. Cholesterol 7α-hydroxylase activity is expressed as pmols of 7α-hydroxycholesterol formed from cholesterol per minute of incubation at 37°C per mg of microsomal protein. The substrate specific activity for each microsomal sample was calculated by dividing the total substrate radioactivity by the total amount of cholesterol present in the assay. Variations in cholesterol 7α-hydroxylase activity in replicate samples averaged 5% to 7%.

Measurement of cholesterol absorption

Intestinal cholesterol absorption was estimated from the sum of radioactivity present in the liver and plasma 24 h after an oral bolus of [3H]cholesterol as described by Kelley and Tsai (43) with the following modifications. For assessment of hepatic radioactivity, 1.0-g liver pieces were placed in 50-ml polypropylene tubes and incubated with 2.5 ml of 2.5 M KOH for 2 h at 75°C. After allowing the saponification reaction mixture to cool to room temperature, 5.0 ml of 80% (v/v) ethanol, 0.1 ml of [14C]cholesterol in ethanol (40,000 dpm; 15 dpm/nmol) as a carrier and extraction standard, and 10 ml of hexane were added. Tubes were capped and shaken vigorously for 1 min. Mixtures were permitted to stand to allow phase separation, and were then shaken vigorously for an additional minute. Mixtures were then centrifuged at 1000 g for 5 min. Duplicate 3.0-ml aliquots of each hexane layer were removed, transferred to 20-ml liquid scintillation vials, mixed with 10 ml of liquid scintillation fluid, and counted using a dual channel 3H/14C program. After correction for recovery losses, hepatic radioactivity was calculated based on total liver weights. For assessment of to-
tal plasma radioactivity, 200-μl aliquots of plasma were added to 20 ml liquid scintillation vials and decolorized by addition of 25 μl of hydrogen peroxide. Aqueous liquid scintillation fluid, 10 ml, was then added and the mixture was assessed for radioactivity. Total radioactivity in the plasma was calculated based on the assumption that hamsters possess approximately 4.0 ml plasma per 100 g body weight. The degree of cholesterol absorption is expressed as a percentage of the total radioactivity administered that is present in the liver plus plasma 24 h after bolus administration.

**Measurement of fecal bile acid excretion**

Fecal bile acid excretion and intestinal transit time were estimated after oral administration of [14C]cholic acid and [3H]polyethylene glycol. Daily fecal samples were weighed, transferred to 20-ml plastic scintillation vials, and lyophilized overnight. The dry fecal weight was then recorded and either one 12-mm chrome steel ball bearing or two 9-mm steel ball bearings were added to the scintillation vials. Scintillation vials were then capped, immobilized in the bottom of an empty paint can, and vigorously shaken for 3 min using a Red Devil 5410 paint shaker to obtain a homogeneous mixture of the dried feces. Samples of 200-400 mg of the powdered fecal samples were then combusted in a Packard model 306 tissue oxidizer and the resultant 14CO2 and 3H2O were collected in separate scintillation vials in liquid scintillation fluid. Oxytest recovery standards were burned prior to and after sample oxidation and were used to quantitate recovery of radioactivity from the oxidized fecal samples. Data are expressed as a percentage of administered [14C]cholic acid and [3H]polyethylene glycol excreted in a 24-h period and the cumulative total excreted radioactivity over the 1, 2, and 3 days was calculated.

**Hepatic cholesterol determination**

For determination of hepatic cholesterol concentrations, a 200-μl aliquot of the liver homogenate obtained after Dounce homogenization in TEDK buffer (see above) was mixed with 350 μl of TEDK buffer in a 16 × 125 mm test tube. Chloroform–methanol 2:1 (7.5 ml) was then added to the tube and the suspension was vigorously mixed for 1 min. The sample was allowed to sit for 20 min, after which time 1 ml deionized water was added and the mixture was vigorously mixed for 10 sec. The mixture was next centrifuged at 6000 rpm for 15 min at room temperature in a Sorvall RT-6000 benchtop centrifuge. The chloroform–methanol layer was removed, placed in a 13 × 100 mm test tube, and evaporated to dryness under nitrogen at 37°C. The lipid residue was resuspended in 200 μl isopropanol and the cholesterol concentration was determined using an Abbott ABA-200 bichromatic analyzer as described below. Total hepatic cholesterol concentrations are expressed as μg cholesterol per mg hepatic protein.

**Plasma lipid and lipoprotein determination**

Blood samples isolated as described above were treated with either EDTA or heparin to prevent clotting and were then centrifuged at 2500 rpm for 30 min at 4°C. The resultant plasma samples were removed and analyzed for cholesterol and triglyceride content using either A-Gent cholesterol and triglyceride reagent kits or SingleVial cholesterol reagent kits. HDL cholesterol concentration was measured directly after phosphotungstic acid/MgCl2 precipitation of apoB-containing lipoproteins as previously described (44). Non-HDL cholesterol concentration (LDL + IDL + VLDL) was estimated by subtraction. In studies in which tiqueside was added to plasma samples of known cholesterol concentration, tiqueside at concentrations of up to 33 mg/dl did not interfere with plasma cholesterol determination using these cholesterol oxidase-based cholesterol reagent kits. In addition, tiqueside was not recognized as a substrate by the cholesterol oxidase enzyme. When analyzed using the A-Gent cholesterol reagent kit, solutions of tiqueside up to 33 mg/dl did not yield detectable absorbances greater than control solutions.

**Measurement of protein concentration**

Protein concentrations were determined by the method of Bradford (45) using bovine serum albumin as standard.

**RESULTS**

**Inhibition of intestinal cholesterol absorption by tiqueside**

The synthetic saponin, β-tigogenin cellobioside (CP-88818, tiqueside; Fig. 1) interacts with cholesterol in vitro to induce its precipitation from micellar solution (28). When administered to chow-fed hamsters as a single oral bolus, tiqueside inhibited cholesterol absorption in a dose-dependent manner with an ED50 of 95 mg/kg (Fig. 2). Tiqueside also inhibited cholesterol absorption after a single oral dose in hamsters fed a 1% cholesterol-containing diet with an ED50 of 40 mg/kg per day (Fig. 2). When tiqueside was administered to chow-fed hamsters as a dietary supplement for 4 days, cholesterol absorption was inhibited in a dose-dependent manner (ED50 of 65 mg/kg per day), with greater than 90% inhibition of cholesterol absorption observed when tiqueside was administered as a single oral dose or as a dietary supplement for up to 11 days.
Inhibition of cholesterol absorption by tiqueside was not the result of inhibition of intestinal acyl-CoA:cholesterol acyltransferase (ACAT; E.C. 2.3.1.26) activity as tiqueside did not inhibit the ACAT enzyme in isolated rat liver microsomes at concentrations of up to 50 μM. By comparison, in parallel analyses using the same methodology (46), the ACAT inhibitors CL-277082 and PD-124706 inhibited enzyme activity with IC50 values of 4.5 μM and 0.5 μM, respectively.

Tiqueside does not interfere with bile acid metabolism

Although a number of natural triterpenoid saponins such as those from saponaria, quillaja, and soya inhibit intestinal absorption of both cholesterol and bile acids (5, 12-14, 24), tiqueside specifically inhibits cholesterol absorption without affecting bile acid absorption. As shown in Fig. 4, fecal recovery of orally administered radiolabeled bile acids was not increased after tiqueside administration to chow-fed hamsters as a 0.2% dietary supplement (approximately 150 mg/kg per day). At this dosage, however, tiqueside inhibited cholesterol absorption by approximately 80% (Fig. 3). In contrast, fecal bile acid excretion was dramatically increased by equi-efficacious doses (relative to plasma cholesterol reduction) of the bile acid sequestrants cholestyramine and colestipol (Fig. 4). No significant differences in intestinal transit time were noted between control animals and animals administered tiqueside, cholestyramine, or colestipol, indicating that differences in bile acid fecal excretion were not the consequence of altered intestinal motility.

Fig. 2. Dose-dependent inhibition of cholesterol absorption in chow-fed and cholesterol-fed hamsters after a single oral dose of tiqueside. Forty male golden Syrian hamsters were assigned to 10 groups of four animals each and administered either a cholesterol-poor diet or a diet supplemented with 1% cholesterol and 0.5% cholic acid for 4 days as described in Materials and Methods. The following day, animals were fasted for 18 h, then administered a 1.5-ml oral bolus of water containing 0.25% methylcellulose, 0.6% Tween 80, 10% ethanol, and the indicated concentrations of tiqueside. Immediately after bolus administration, animals received a second 1.5-ml oral bolus of liquid hamster diet containing 1% [3H]cholesterol (2.0 μCi/animal; 201 dpm/nmol) and 0.5% cholic acid, and were fasted for an additional 24 h. At the end of this second fasting period animals were sacrificed and blood samples were collected and assessed for radioactivity as described in Materials and Methods. Hepatic samples were also collected, homogenized, decolorized by addition of 100 μl of hydrogen peroxide to 100 μl homogenate, and assayed for radioactivity as described above. Total plasma radioactivity was calculated based on body weight and on the assumption that hamsters possess approximately 4.0 ml plasma per 100 g body weight. Total hepatic radioactivity was calculated based on measured liver weights. The degree of cholesterol absorption is expressed as a percentage of the total radioactivity administered as an oral bolus that are present in the liver plus plasma 24 h after bolus administration. Shown is the percent of control cholesterol absorption (± SD) as a function of the dose of tiqueside administered to chow-fed (○) and cholesterol-fed (●) hamsters. In control hamsters, approximately 10-25% (chow-fed hamsters) and 25-40% (cholesterol-fed hamsters) of the orally administered [3H]cholesterol was present in the liver plus plasma 24 h after bolus administration.

Fig. 3. Dose-dependent inhibition of cholesterol absorption in chow-fed hamsters following multi-dose administration of tiqueside. Thirty male golden Syrian hamsters were assigned to five groups of six animals each and administered chow containing the indicated amounts of tiqueside (w/w) for 4 days as described in Materials and Methods. Twenty-four hours prior to sacrifice, each animal received a 1.0-ml oral bolus of liquid hamster diet containing 15 mg of [3H]cholesterol (2.25 μCi; sp act 100 dpm/nmol) and 7.5 mg cholic acid. Animals were then anesthetized with pentobarbital and blood (cardiac puncture) and liver samples were obtained and assessed for radioactivity as described in Materials and Methods. Total hepatic radioactivity was calculated based on the measured total liver weight. Total plasma radioactivity was calculated based on the assumption that hamsters possess approximately 4.0 ml plasma per 100 g body weight. The degree of cholesterol absorption is expressed as a percentage of the total radioactivity administered as an oral bolus that is present in the liver and plasma 24 h after bolus administration. Shown is the percentage of the oral bolus of radiolabeled cholesterol absorbed (± SD) as a function of the amount of tiqueside in the diet. Based on daily food consumption and body weight measurements, 0.2% dietary tiqueside is roughly equivalent to a dosage of 150 mg/kg/day.
Fig. 4. Bile acid absorption is not inhibited by tiqueside. Twenty-four male golden Syrian hamsters were assigned to four groups of six animals each and administered either chow containing no additions, chow containing 0.2% (w/w) tiqueside, chow containing 2.0% (w/w) colestipol, or chow containing 2.0% (w/w) cholestyramine resin (Questran® contains 4 g resin per 9 g powder) for 4 days (0.2% tiqueside, 2% cholestyramine resin, and 2% colestipol are approximately equi-efficacious doses with regard to plasma cholesterol reduction). On the morning of the second day, animals were transferred to plastic cages with wire racks, housed in pairs, and administered a 1.0-ml bolus of water containing 50 nmol [14C]cholic acid (0.5 μCi; 22 dpm/pmol) and 2.5 mg [3H]polyethylene glycol (0.25 μCi; 220 dpm/μg) for determining bile acid excretion rates and as a measure of rates of intestinal motility, respectively. Daily fecal samples, collected from under the wire rack of the plastic cages, were weighed, transferred to 20-ml plastic scintillation vials, lyophilized, homogenized, and combusted in a Packard model 360 tissue oxidizer for quantitation of CO2 and H2O as described in Materials and Methods. Data are expressed as a percentage of administered radiolabeled cholic acid and polyethylene glycol excreted in a 24(D1), 48 (D1 + 2), and 72 (D1 + 2 + 3) hour period (± SD). No significant differences were noted in the rates of intestinal transit between control animals and animals administered either tiqueside, cholestyramine, or colestipol.

Consistent with the lack of effect of tiqueside on bile acid excretion, tiqueside also had no effect on the activity of cholesterol 7α-hydroxylase (Fig. 5), the rate-limiting enzyme in hepatic bile acid synthesis (47), at doses that inhibited cholesterol absorption by 80% (Fig. 3). Tiqueside also failed to markedly stimulate cholesterol 7α-hydroxylase activity in chow-fed rats and dogs administered tiqueside by oral gavage at doses of up to 2000 mg/kg per day for 2 weeks, indicating that tiqueside does not affect bile acid metabolism even at these supra-efficacious doses (data not shown). In contrast, equi-efficacious doses of cholestyramine resulted in an 8-fold elevation in cholesterol 7α-hydroxylase activity within 4 days of administration (Fig. 5). Stimulation of cholesterol 7α-hydroxylase activity by cholestyramine occurred concomitantly with the increase in fecal bile acid excretion shown in Fig. 4 and is indicative of increased hepatic bile acid production, to replace the bile acids not returned to the liver as a consequence of interruption of enterohepatic bile acid recirculation.

Cholesterol absorption inhibition by tiqueside prevents luminal cholesterol from entering the intestinal mucosa and induces compensatory increases in intestinal cholesterol synthesis

Consistent with the proposed luminal mechanism for tiqueside action, administration of tiqueside to chow-fed hamsters as a 0.2% dietary supplement (approx. 150 mg/kg per day) for 4 days reduced the concentration of luminally derived cholesterol within the intestinal mucosa by 20%. As a consequence, intestinal HMG-CoA reductase activity was markedly increased after tiqueside administration. Treatment of chow-fed hamsters with tiqueside as a 0.1% dietary supplement (approximately 75 mg/kg per day) for 4 days resulted in an approximately 3-fold increase in intestinal HMG-CoA reductase activity relative to untreated animals [94.3 ± 4.4 (SD) vs. 32.7 ± 6.3 pmol/min per mg, respectively].

Fig. 5. Cholesterol 7α-hydroxylase activity is not increased by tiqueside. Sixty male golden Syrian hamsters were assigned to three groups of 18 animals each and administered either chow containing no additions (O), chow containing 0.1% (w/w) tiqueside (●), or chow containing 2.0% (w/w) cholestyramine resin (ฐ) (Questran® contains 4 g resin per 9 g powder) for 2 weeks (0.1% tiqueside and 2% cholestyramine resin are approximately equi-efficacious doses with regard to plasma cholesterol reduction). At the indicated times after initiating diet administration, six animals from each of the three groups were sacrificed at the corollary point of cholesterol 7α-hydroxylase activity and their livers were removed, weighed, and rinsed in 4°C saline. An additional group of six animals was sacrificed at the beginning of the experiment as an assessment of basal cholesterol 7α-hydroxylase activity. Hepatic microsomes were isolated and cholesterol 7α-hydroxylase activity was measured as described in Materials and Methods. Shown is cholesterol 7α-hydroxylase activity, as a function of duration of drug administration.
Cholesterol absorption inhibition by tiqueside reduces hepatic cholesterol concentrations and induces compensatory increases in hepatic cholesterol synthesis and hepatic LDL receptor concentration

Subsequent to inhibition of cholesterol absorption by tiqueside, hepatic cholesterol levels were significantly and dose-dependently reduced by up to 45% (Fig. 6 left). Reductions in hepatic cholesterol levels were highly correlated \( (r^2 = 0.82; P < 0.03) \) with reductions in cholesterol absorption (Fig. 6 right) indicating that inhibition of cholesterol absorption, in the absence of altered bile acid absorption, can modify cholesterol metabolism in such a way as to induce a deficit in hepatic cholesterol stores. As these animals were maintained on a cholesterol-poor diet, reduction in hepatic cholesterol concentration was not simply the result of reduced dietary cholesterol absorption but rather was a consequence of inhibition of endogenous cholesterol absorption.

Consistent with a variety of regulatory mechanisms at play to maintain hepatic cholesterol levels (48), dramatic compensatory increases in the activity of HMG-CoA reductase, the rate-limiting enzyme in nascent cholesterol synthesis (49), were noted after administration of tiqueside (Fig. 7 left). Changes in hepatic cholesterol levels were highly correlated \( (r^2 = 0.97; P < 0.002) \) with the degree of induction of hepatic HMG-CoA reductase activity (Fig. 7 right), suggesting an inverse relationship between these two parameters. The increase in hepatic HMG-CoA reductase activity after tiqueside treatment was not a consequence of direct inhibition of the hepatic enzyme followed by enzyme induction, since tiqueside failed to inhibit enzymatic activity in isolated microsomes at concentrations up to 100 \( \mu \text{M} \), under conditions in which the HMG-CoA reductase inhibitor, lovastatin, inhibited enzymatic activity with an \( \text{IC}_{50} \) of 10 \( \text{nM} \).

In concert with the increase in hepatic HMG-CoA reductase activity noted above, hepatic LDL receptor levels were increased by 1.7-fold in chow-fed hamsters relative to untreated animals \([167 \pm 9.9 \text{ (SD)} \text{ vs. } 98.9 \pm 10.3 \text{ arbitrary reflectance units (mm peak height), respectively}] \) after administration of tiqueside as a 0.2\% dietary supplement for 4 days (Table 1).

Tiqueside reduces plasma cholesterol levels in chow-fed and cholesterol-fed animals

As a result of both reduced intestinal cholesterol absorption and increased LDL receptor levels, plasma cholesterol concentrations were significantly reduced in a dose-dependent manner after tiqueside administration. Plasma cholesterol reductions of approximately 35\% were noted in chow-fed hamsters after 4-day administration of dietary tiqueside at doses equal to or in excess of 0.2\% (Fig. 8 left). Plasma cholesterol reductions were highly correlated with both hepatic cholesterol lowering \( (r^2 = 0.97; P < 0.002; \text{Fig. 8 center}) \) and cholesterol absorption inhibition \( (r^2 = 0.89; P < 0.02; \text{Fig. 8 right}) \), suggesting that plasma cholesterol reduction after tiqueside treatment may be related to a greater elimination of
cholesterol in the feces and also to a greater removal of circulating LDL cholesterol from the plasma through increased hepatic LDL receptor activity. The degree of reduction of plasma cholesterol after tiqueside treatment was not changed when dose administration was extended for up to 14 days, suggesting that plasma cholesterol reduction through cholesterol sequestration is not only rapid but it is sustained.

TABLE 1. Summary of the effects of tiqueside on cholesterol metabolism in the chow-fed hamster

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Modulation of Cholesterol Metabolism in Chow-Fed Hamsters by Tiqueside Administered as a 0.2% Dietary Supplement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cholesterol absorption</td>
<td>68 ± 4.7% reduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bile acid absorption (excretion)</td>
<td>unchanged</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholesterol 7α-hydroxylase</td>
<td>unchanged</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatic cholesterol</td>
<td>16 ± 7% reduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HMG-CoA reductase</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatic</td>
<td>6.8 ± 0.7-fold increase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intestinal</td>
<td>3.2 ± 0.1-fold increase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL receptor</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.1-fold increase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma cholesterol</td>
<td>16 ± 4% reduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma triglycerides</td>
<td>unchanged</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Twelve male golden Syrian hamsters were assigned to two groups of six animals each and maintained on either chow containing no additions or chow containing 0.2% (w/w) tiqueside (approximately 150 mg/kg per day) for 4 days as described in Materials and Methods. On the morning of day 2, animals were transferred to plastic cages with wire racks, housed in pairs, and administered a 1.0-ml bolus of water containing 50 nmol [14C]cholic acid (0.5 μCi; 22 dpm/pmol) and 2.5 mg [3H]polyethylene glycol (0.25 μCi; 220 dpm/pg). Fecal samples were collected over the next 3 days and rates of bile acid excretion were determined as described in Materials and Methods. At 9:00 AM on the morning of the fourth day, each animal received a 1.0-ml oral bolus of liquid hamster diet containing 15 mg of [3H]cholesterol (2.25 μCi; sp act 100 dpm/nmol) and 7.5 mg cholic acid for determining cholesterol absorption. The next morning, animals were anesthetized with pentobarbital at 9:00 AM. Terminal blood samples were obtained by cardiac puncture for determination of plasma cholesterol levels, plasma triglyceride levels, and cholesterol absorption, as described in Materials and Methods. Livers were removed, weighed, rinsed in 4°C saline, and apportioned for determining hepatic cholesterol concentration, HMG-CoA reductase, and cholesterol 7α-hydroxylase activities, hepatic LDL receptor concentration, and cholesterol absorption, as described in Materials and Methods. Intestinal segments from the stomach to the cecum were obtained and intestinal mucosal scrapings were used for measurement of intestinal microsomal HMG-CoA reductase activity as described in Materials and Methods. Data are the average of the measured modulations in the indicated variables induced by tiqueside administration relative to control animals for five independent experiments ± SD.
Fig. 8. Dose dependent reduction in plasma cholesterol concentration in chow-fed hamsters after tiqueside treatment. Thirty male golden Syrian hamsters were assigned to five groups of six animals each and administered chow containing the indicated amounts of tiqueside (w/w) for 4 days. Animals from each of the five groups were anesthetized with pentobarbital and terminal blood samples were obtained by cardiac puncture. Plasma was isolated and analyzed for total cholesterol content as described in Materials and Methods. Shown are, left: the average concentration of cholesterol in the plasma (SD) as a function of percentage of tiqueside in the diet; center: plasma cholesterol concentration as a function of hepatic cholesterol concentration following tiqueside treatment; and right: plasma cholesterol concentration as a function of intestinal cholesterol absorption following tiqueside treatment. Numbers adjacent to each data point represent the percentage (w/w) of tiqueside present in the diet.

Similar reductions in plasma cholesterol concentrations after tiqueside treatment were also noted in a variety of other species fed cholesterol-free as well as cholesterol-containing diets, indicating the ubiquity with which tiqueside reduces plasma cholesterol concentrations in experimental animals. Administration of tiqueside to hamsters, rats, mice, and dogs receiving diets essentially free of cholesterol resulted in plasma cholesterol reductions of up to 40% within 2 weeks (Table 2). Since these animals were maintained on diets that essentially lacked endogenous cholesterol, plasma cholesterol reductions were not the result of reduced dietary cholesterol absorption but rather were a consequence of inhibition of endogenous cholesterol absorption. Administration of tiqueside to cholesterol-fed hamsters, rats, mice, rabbits, SEA quail, rhesus monkeys, and cynomolgus monkeys also resulted in marked plasma cholesterol reductions within 2–3 weeks (Table 3). In many instances, at the upper doses evaluated, tiqueside not only prevented the dietary cholesterol-induced rise in plasma cholesterol but also

| TABLE 2. Plasma cholesterol reductions in various cholesterol-poor diet-fed species after treatment with tiqueside |
|--------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| Species | Dietary Cholesterol | Dose mg/kg | Number of Animals | Duration of Administration days | Plasma Cholesterol Concentration mg/dl | Percent Cholesterol Reduction % |
| Hamster | 0.005 | 0 | 12 | 4 | 173 ± 15 | 12 |
| Hamster | 0.005 | 75 | 6 | 4 | 158 ± 11 | 12 |
| Hamster | 0.005 | 200 | 6 | 4 | 118 ± 8 | 33 |
| Hamster | 0.005 | 300 | 6 | 4 | 117 ± 20 | 33 |
| Rat | 0.000 | 0 | 6 | 14 | 116 ± 25 | 33 |
| Rat | 0.000 | 50 | 6 | 14 | 103 ± 15 | 12 |
| Mouse | 0.003 | 0 | 6 | 4 | 124 ± 12 | 26 |
| Mouse | 0.003 | 200 | 6 | 4 | 92 ± 19 | 26 |
| Dog | 0.009 | 0 | 8 | 14 | 176 ± 44 | 26 |
| Dog | 0.009 | 250 | 2 | 14 | 141 ± 3 | 20 |
| Dog | 0.009 | 500 | 8 | 14 | 125 ± 28 | 29 |
| Dog | 0.009 | 1000 | 8 | 14 | 127 ± 25 | 28 |
| Dog | 0.009 | 2000 | 6 | 14 | 107 ± 35 | 39 |

Hamsters and mice received cholesterol-poor diets supplemented with tiqueside as described in Materials and Methods. Rats and dogs received cholesterol-poor diets and were administered tiqueside by oral gavage at the time of food consumption as described. At the indicated time plasma samples were obtained and plasma cholesterol concentrations were determined as described. Data are the average plasma cholesterol concentrations for the indicated number of animals ± SD. For hamsters and mice, doses of tiqueside were calculated based on food consumption, body weights, and the percentage of tiqueside present in the diet.
TABLE 3. Plasma cholesterol reductions in various cholesterol-fed species after treatment with tiqueside

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Dietary Cholesterol (% mg/kg)</th>
<th>Number of Animals</th>
<th>Duration of Administration (days)</th>
<th>Plasma Cholesterol Concentration (mg/dl)</th>
<th>Percent Cholesterol Reduction (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hamster</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>185 ± 18</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hamster</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>145 ± 17</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hamster</td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>150 ± 25</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rat</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>158 ± 31</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rat</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>123 ± 10</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>981 ± 563</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>785 ± 399</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>540 ± 326</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>138 ± 51</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>183 ± 21</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>160 ± 21</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>149 ± 29</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>144 ± 26</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEA quail</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>836 ± 154</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEA quail</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>303 ± 112</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEA quail</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>300 ± 87</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEA quail</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>210 ± 55</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhesus monkey</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>179 ± 25</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhesus monkey</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>162 ± 11</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhesus monkey</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>145 ± 34</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhesus monkey</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>114 ± 19</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cynomolgus monkey*</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>316 ± 21</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cynomolgus monkey*</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>202 ± 8</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Animals were fed cholesterol-containing diets supplemented with tiqueside as described in Materials and Methods. At the indicated times, plasma samples were obtained and plasma cholesterol levels were determined. Data are the average plasma cholesterol concentrations for the indicated number of animals ± SD. Baseline (pre-cholesterol diet) plasma cholesterol concentrations were as follows: hamster, 125 mg/dl; rat, 74 mg/dl; rabbit, 125 mg/dl; mouse, 107 mg/dl; SEA quail, 177 mg/dl; rhesus monkey, 151 mg/dl; cynomolgus monkey, 228 mg/dl. Doses of tiqueside were calculated based on food consumption, body weights, and the percentage of tiqueside present in the diet.

*Data from reference 28.

reduced plasma cholesterol concentrations to below baseline levels (Table 3), an observation consistent with the reductions from baseline noted in animals fed cholesterol-poor diets (Table 2). Although there was some inter-species variability in responsiveness, in general, tiqueside administration at doses of approximately 100 mg/kg per day resulted in 20–25% reductions in plasma cholesterol concentrations (Table 3). In studies of longer duration (dogs, rabbits) somewhat greater reductions in plasma cholesterol levels were observed. However, most of the effect occurred within the first 1–2 weeks of administration.

Plasma cholesterol reduction by tiqueside is primarily due to a reduction in non-HDL cholesterol levels

With the exception of the dog, which carries its plasma cholesterol mainly in HDL, the plasma cholesterol reductions noted in Table 2 and Table 3 were primarily, and in many cases exclusively, the result of a reduction in non-HDL (e.g., LDL, IDL, VLDL) cholesterol concentrations with little or no change in HDL cholesterol levels (Table 4). In contrast, plasma cholesterol reductions in the chow-fed dog, which was the least responsive to tiqueside-induced plasma cholesterol lowering of all species evaluated (Table 2 and Table 3), were primarily the result of reductions in HDL cholesterol levels.

DISCUSSION

In the studies outlined in this report, we have demonstrated that the synthetic steroid saponin, β-tigogenin cellobioside (CP-88818, tiqueside), inhibits cholesterol absorption without affecting bile acid absorption. As a consequence of this inhibition, the supply of intestinally absorbed cholesterol reaching the liver is decreased and hepatic cholesterol levels are reduced. This deficit in hepatic cholesterol induces compensatory increases in both hepatic cholesterolgenesis and in hepatic uptake of circulating LDL cholesterol, which, in combination with reduced cholesterol absorption, leads to a reduction in plasma cholesterol levels. The series of modulations of cholesterol metabolism induced by tiqueside that lead to plasma cholesterol reduction are summarized in Table 1. That hepatic cholesterol reduction, compensatory induction of hepatic cholesterolgenesis, and plasma cholesterol lowering are all highly correlated with inhibition of intestinal cholesterol absorption strongly suggests that these al-
Table 4. Change in lipoprotein cholesterol concentrations in various species after treatment with tiqueside

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Dose (mg/kg)</th>
<th>Total Cholesterol</th>
<th>Non-HDL Cholesterol</th>
<th>HDL Cholesterol</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hamster</td>
<td>control 300</td>
<td>187 mg/dl</td>
<td>81 mg/dl</td>
<td>106 mg/dl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>control 150</td>
<td>187 mg/dl</td>
<td>112 mg/dl</td>
<td>75 mg/dl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rat</td>
<td>control 50</td>
<td>116 mg/dl</td>
<td>90 mg/dl</td>
<td>26 mg/dl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse</td>
<td>control 280</td>
<td>158 mg/dl</td>
<td>137 mg/dl</td>
<td>21 mg/dl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhesus monkey</td>
<td>control 15</td>
<td>24 mg/dl</td>
<td>48 mg/dl</td>
<td>75 mg/dl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse</td>
<td>control 75</td>
<td>183 mg/dl</td>
<td>78 mg/dl</td>
<td>109 mg/dl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit</td>
<td>control 300</td>
<td>1046 mg/dl</td>
<td>979 mg/dl</td>
<td>67 mg/dl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEA quail</td>
<td>control 20</td>
<td>836 mg/dl</td>
<td>700 mg/dl</td>
<td>136 mg/dl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>control 50</td>
<td>50 mg/dl</td>
<td>64 mg/dl</td>
<td>90 mg/dl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>control 100</td>
<td>75 mg/dl</td>
<td>75 mg/dl</td>
<td>90 mg/dl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhesus monkey</td>
<td>control 22</td>
<td>179 mg/dl</td>
<td>93 mg/dl</td>
<td>78 mg/dl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>control 4</td>
<td>19 mg/dl</td>
<td>29 mg/dl</td>
<td>7 mg/dl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>control 88</td>
<td>36 mg/dl</td>
<td>50 mg/dl</td>
<td>24 mg/dl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cynomolgus monkey</td>
<td>control 120</td>
<td>316 mg/dl</td>
<td>177 mg/dl</td>
<td>193 mg/dl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dog</td>
<td>control 250</td>
<td>176 mg/dl</td>
<td>35 mg/dl</td>
<td>143 mg/dl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>control 500</td>
<td>20 mg/dl</td>
<td>29 mg/dl</td>
<td>27 mg/dl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>control 1000</td>
<td>27 mg/dl</td>
<td>29 mg/dl</td>
<td>27 mg/dl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>control 2000</td>
<td>39 mg/dl</td>
<td>29 mg/dl</td>
<td>39 mg/dl</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data for total cholesterol concentrations are those of Tables 2 and 3. HDL and non-HDL cholesterol concentrations were determined as described in Materials and Methods. Data are presented as the average cholesterol values in mg/dl for control animals and as a percentage of control values for tiqueside-treated animals.

*Indicates animals fed cholesterol-poor diets.

The ubiquity with which tiqueside reduces plasma cholesterol concentrations in the absence of added dietary cholesterol also suggests that inhibition of reabsorption of endogenous cholesterol is at least as important to the efficacy of tiqueside as is its ability to inhibit absorption of dietary cholesterol.

Qualitatively similar results have also been obtained in a variety of species, indicating that tiqueside acts to lower plasma cholesterol levels through a similar mechanism in all species evaluated. The ubiquity with which tiqueside reduces plasma cholesterol concentrations in a variety of diverse species whether fed cholesterol-free or cholesterol-containing diets further indicates the ability of tiqueside to inhibit both absorption of dietary cholesterol and reabsorption of endogenous cholesterol. Furthermore, in all species except the chow-fed dog, which uses HDL as the primary lipoprotein involved in cholesterol transport, reductions in plasma cholesterol were mainly and in many instances exclusively the result of reductions in non-HDL (LDL, VLDL, IDL) cholesterol, with little or no change in HDL cholesterol.

Taken together, these observations suggest that tiqueside has the potential to reduce LDL cholesterol without significantly affecting HDL cholesterol concentrations in humans even when administered in combination with a strict low cholesterol diet. In addition, as lowering plasma cholesterol levels has been shown to halt and reverse the progression of atherosclerosis and to reduce the incidence of myocardial infarctions (2, 3), the potential also exists for tiqueside to exhibit these beneficial effects with respect to coronary heart disease. In this regard, the naturally oc-
cholesterol synthesis inhibitors will also act synergistically with CoA reductase inhibitors (50-52), act systemically to inhibit cholesterol synthesis in the liver and consequently reduce hepatic cholesterol levels. In contrast, bile acid-binding resins such as cholestyramine and colestipol (7, 53) act nonsystemically to sequester bile acids in the intestinal lumen and prevent their reabsorption. These sequestered bile acids are excreted in the feces, resulting in a deficit in the bile acid pool that in turn induces an increase in hepatic cholesterol utilization for bile acid synthesis thereby causing a reduction in hepatic cholesterol levels. By comparison, tiqueside acts nonsystemically to inhibit cholesterol absorption without affecting bile acid absorption. Bile acids are thus recycled normally and bile acid synthesis is not induced. However, the cholesterol that is prevented from being absorbed does not reach the liver, and this decreased supply of cholesterol to the liver results in a reduction in hepatic cholesterol concentrations. Regardless of the mechanism by which hepatic cholesterol concentration is reduced, its reduction induces compensatory increases in both hepatic HMG-CoA reductase and LDL receptor levels, and the resulting increase in receptor-mediated hepatic uptake of circulating LDL cholesterol contributes to the observed reduction in plasma cholesterol levels.

Because of its ability to inhibit cholesterol absorption without affecting bile acid metabolism, tiqueside should be useful in further characterizing the distinct roles of enterohepatic bile acid recirculation and intestinal cholesterol absorption in regulating cholesterol homeostasis. Also, as net hepatic cholesterol synthesis is increased secondarily to inhibition of cholesterol absorption, the potential exists for tiqueside to act synergistically with cholesterol synthesis inhibitors, in much the same manner as seen with the bile acid binding resins and the HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors (50-52). Whether tiqueside and cholesterol synthesis inhibitors will also act synergistically to lower plasma cholesterol levels in experimental animals and in humans, however, remains to be determined.

The mechanism by which tiqueside inhibits cholesterol absorption is also distinctly different from that of inhibitors of intestinal ACAT activity. ACAT inhibitors act intracellularly to inhibit the esterification of cholesterol in the enterocyte following its uptake from the intestinal lumen and as a consequence prevent its packaging into chylomicrons and secretion into the circulation (54-56). The free cholesterol that is retained within the enterocyte is presumably excreted via the feces as the enterocytes turn over. In contrast, a luminal mode of action for tiqueside has been inferred both from the ability of tiqueside to associate with cholesterol in vitro to induce its precipitation (28), and from experiments conducted in isolated, perfused rat intestinal segments (12). In these latter studies, inhibition of cholesterol absorption by tiqueside and by soya saponins was independent of any association with the intestinal wall or need for saponin absorption for activity (12). Our observations that the concentration of intestinally derived cholesterol within the intestinal mucosa is reduced after tiqueside treatment, and that this occurs concomitantly with a marked increase in intestinal HMG-CoA reductase activity, also support a mechanism whereby luminal cholesterol is prevented from entering the intestinal mucosa. That tiqueside fails to induce an increase in intestinally derived mucosal cholesterol concentrations under conditions in which 2-fold to 5-fold increases are typically noted following treatment with ACAT inhibitors (57), also argues against an intracellular mechanism for tiqueside action. Thus, based on the above observations it is unlikely that tiqueside acts intracellularly to interfere with either cholesterol esterification or chylomicon assembly, but rather, appears to interact with luminal cholesterol prior to its uptake by mucosal cells.

Interestingly, the increase in intestinal HMG-CoA reductase that occurs after inhibition of luminal cholesterol entry into the intestinal mucosal cells by tiqueside suggests that this cholesterol pool which is thought to be trafficked toward chylomicon formation (54), and intracellular pools of cholesterol that are thought to regulate intestinal cholesterol synthesis (54), may not be totally distinct. Thus, in response to a marked reduction in luminal cholesterol uptake by enterocytes, newly synthesized cholesterol may be commandeered for use in chylomicon formation. Whether this increase in intestinal HMG-CoA reductase activity is driven by a reduction in the cholesterol concentration in specific pools within the enterocyte or by an increase in enterocyte triglyceride content resulting from reduced chylomicon formation without concomitant reductions in triglyceride absorption (54), however, remains to be evaluated.

A variety of mechanisms exist whereby tiqueside could inhibit cholesterol absorption through actions solely in the
intestinal lumen. For example, 1) tiqueside could associate with cholesterol in the intestinal lumen to induce its precipitation, possibly through complex formation; 2) tiqueside could interact with and alter the size and/or stability of cholesterol-containing micelles in the intestinal lumen and thereby alter the efficiency with which cholesterol is delivered to the mucosal surface; or 3) tiqueside could interact with mucosal cell membranes to extract cholesterol or disrupt transport functions. An interaction of tiqueside with mucosal cell membranes as a primary mechanism for inhibiting cholesterol absorption is unlikely in view of the observations of Sidhu, Upson, and Malinow (12), in which pre-exposure of isolated rat intestinal segments to tiqueside (or soy saponins), in situ, followed by removal of compound and subsequent exposure to radiolabeled cholesterol failed to result in a reduction in cholesterol absorption. In contrast, simultaneous addition of tiqueside (or soy saponins) and radiolabeled cholesterol to the intestinal segments resulted in a marked inhibition of cholesterol absorption.

A more plausible possibility is that tiqueside alters the size and/or stability of cholesterol-containing micelles in the intestinal lumen and thereby alters the efficiency with which cholesterol is delivered to the mucosal surface (34). Such a mechanism has been evoked to explain the action of various plant sterols (58, 59) and neomycin (7). However, the observation that tiqueside and other steroidal saponins (10, 23) inhibit cholesterol absorption without interfering with bile acid absorption, whereas a number of plant saponins that alter micellar size (24, 25) affect both bile acid and cholesterol absorption (5, 12-14, 24), suggests that micellar modification may not play a primary role in the mechanism of cholesterol absorption inhibition by tiqueside.

The most probable mechanism for tiqueside action is that it induces cholesterol precipitation in the intestinal lumen via either cholesterol/tiqueside complex formation, competition for micellar space (micellar exclusion), or both. Indeed, evidence has accumulated suggesting that inhibitory saponins associate with cholesterol in vitro to induce its precipitation (9, 10, 21, 22, 26-28). In this regard, studies examining a variety of saponin/cholesterol interactions have demonstrated that, in many instances, specific structural requirements for sterol binding exist (21, 22, 27), and that the avidity and stoichiometry of the saponin/sterol interactions vary depending on the particular saponin and sterol that are associating (21, 22, 27). Based on these observations, the ability of plant saponins to precipitate cholesterol from micellar solution and render it unavailable for absorption has generally been regarded as the mechanism whereby these agents inhibit cholesterol absorption and hence reduce plasma cholesterol levels in experimental animals. Tiqueside and related synthetic saponins have also been shown to cause cholesterol to precipitate from micellar solution in vitro (28). Thus, as with a variety of plant saponins, the possibility exists that tiqueside inhibits cholesterol absorption in vivo by causing cholesterol in the intestinal lumen to precipitate. Whether tiqueside causes cholesterol precipitation in vivo through complex formation or by micellar exclusion, however, remains to be determined.
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