Altered lipoprotein subclass distribution and PAF-AH activity in subjects with generalized aggressive periodontitis
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Abstract In this study, we examined whether the documented increase of plasma triglycerides in patients with generalized aggressive periodontitis (GAgP) is associated with changes in lipoprotein subclass distribution and/or LDL-associated platelet-activating factor acetylhydrolase (PAF-AH) activity. Lipoprotein subclasses were analyzed in whole plasma samples using nuclear magnetic resonance methods. Compared with subjects without periodontitis (NP subjects; n = 12), GAgP subjects (n = 12) had higher plasma levels of large, medium, and small VLDL (35.0 ± 6.7 vs. 63.1 ± 9.6 nmol/l; P = 0.025), higher levels of intermediate density lipoprotein (24.8 ± 11.6 vs. 87.2 ± 16.6 nmol/l; P = 0.006), lower levels of large LDL (448.3 ± 48.5 vs. 315.8 ± 59.4 nmol/l; P = 0.098), and higher levels of small LDL (488.2 ± 104.2 vs. 946.7 ± 151.6 nmol/l; P = 0.021). The average size of LDL from NP and GAgP subjects was 21.4 ± 0.2 and 20.6 ± 0.3 nm, respectively (P = 0.031). Compared with NP subjects, GAgP subjects had a greater number of circulating LDL particles (961.3 ± 105.3 vs. 1,349.0 ± 133.2 nmol/l; P = 0.032). Differences in the plasma levels of large, medium, and small HDL were not statistically significant. NP and GAgP subjects had similar plasma levels of total LDL-associated PAF-AH activity; however, LDL of GAgP subjects contained less PAF-AH activity per microgram of LDL protein (1,458.0 ± 171.0 and 865.2 ± 134 pmol/min/μg; P = 0.014). These results indicate that, in general, GAgP subjects have a more atherogenic lipoprotein profile and lower LDL-associated PAF-AH activity than NP subjects. These differences may help explain the increased risk of GAgP subjects for cardiovascular disease. —Rufail, M. L., H. A. Schenkein, S. E. Barbour, J. G. Tew, and R. van Antwerpen. Altered lipoprotein subclass distribution and PAF-AH activity in subjects with generalized aggressive periodontitis. J. Lipid Res. 2005. 46: 2752–2760.
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Periodontitis is characterized by chronic inflammation of the supporting structures of the teeth. The etiologic agents of this disease are mainly Gram-negative bacteria existing in a complex biofilm in the subgingival region (1). Lipopolysaccharides and other virulence factors of these bacteria have been shown to promote a host-mediated, tissue-destructive immune response that leads to gingival inflammation, destruction of periodontal tissue, loss of alveolar bone, and eventual exfoliation of teeth (2).

Many epidemiological studies have indicated an association between severe periodontitis and myocardial infarction or stroke (3–16), and additional studies have linked periodontal disease to subclinical indicators of atherosclerosis. For example, subjects with severe periodontitis have decreased flow-mediated dilation of the brachial artery (17), increased carotid artery intima-media thickness (18, 19), and a nearly 4-fold increased risk for the presence of carotid artery plaque (19). Periodontal inflammation has been shown to decrease the antiatherogenic potency of HDL (20) and to enhance lipopolysaccharide-mediated macrophage activation (21). Furthermore, infection with oral bacteria, such as Porphyromonas gingivalis, increases markers of systemic inflammation (17, 22–24); high serum antibody levels to P. gingivalis predict myocardial infarction in humans (25); and oral infection with P. gingivalis accelerates early atherosclerosis in apolipoprotein E-null mice (26). Together, these studies strongly indicate an association between chronic periodontal inflammation and increased cardiovascular risk.

Abbreviations: GAgP, generalized aggressive periodontitis; IDL, intermediate density lipoprotein; LAgP, localized aggressive periodontitis; NP subjects, subjects without periodontitis; PAF-AH, platelet-activating factor acetylhydrolase; small LDL, small, dense low density lipoprotein.
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A clear molecular mechanism linking periodontitis to atherosclerosis remains to be defined. However, recent studies suggest that such a mechanism may involve the plasma lipoproteins. Several studies have indicated that severe periodontitis is associated with a modest decrease in HDL cholesterol, a modest increase in LDL cholesterol, and a more robust increase in plasma triglycerides (27–31).

Increased levels of plasma triglycerides, carried mostly by VLDL, are often associated with a predominance of small, dense low-density lipoprotein particles (small LDLs) (32, 33). Compared with larger LDLs, small LDLs have a lower affinity for the apolipoprotein B/E receptor (34–37), a higher affinity for proteoglycans of the vascular wall (38), and a higher susceptibility to oxidation (39–44). These properties are generally thought to increase the atherogenicity of small LDLs, and subjects with a predominance of this LDL subclass in their plasma have a 3- to 6-fold increased risk of cardiovascular disease (45–47). Although subjects with severe periodontitis are known to have increased plasma triglycerides, the distribution of lipoprotein subclasses in these subjects has not been analyzed in depth.

A second factor that may influence the cardiovascular risk of subjects with severe periodontitis is the plasma concentration of LDL-associated platelet-activating factor acetylhydrolase (PAF-AH). PAF-AH is a calcium-independent phospholipase A2 that hydrolyzes oxidized phospholipids in the LDL surface (48, 49). As oxidized phospholipids are generally proinflammatory and proatherogenic, PAF-AH may have antiatherogenic properties. Such properties are consistent with population studies showing that subjects with mutated, inactive PAF-AH are at an increased risk of stroke (50) and myocardial infarction (51). Furthermore, recent experiments have shown that local expression of PAF-AH exerts anti-inflammatory, antithrombotic, and antiproliferative effects while reducing the accumulation of oxidized LDL in the arteries of nonhyperlipidemic rabbits (52).

Plasma PAF-AH is mostly synthesized and secreted by monocyte-derived macrophages. Recent observations indicate that the monocytes of subjects with localized aggressive periodontitis (LAGP) have a propensity to differentiate into dendritic cells, which synthesize and secrete much less PAF-AH than macrophages (53). This raises the possibility that, compared with controls, LDLs from subjects with periodontitis may contain less PAF-AH activity and, as a result, lack the protective, antiatherogenic influence that this enzyme may have.

In this study, we investigated the lipoprotein subclass distribution and LDL-associated PAF-AH activity of subjects with generalized aggressive periodontitis (GAGP). Our results show that, compared with controls, GAGP subjects have a smaller average LDL particle size, a higher plasma concentration of small LDL particles, a higher total number of circulating LDL particles, and lower LDL-associated PAF-AH activity. These differences may in part be responsible for the increased cardiovascular risk of patients with periodontitis.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Human subjects

This study was conducted with 12 healthy control subjects without periodontitis (NP subjects) and 12 subjects with GAGP (GAGP subjects). The gender, race, and age distributions of NP and GAGP subjects were matched as shown in Table 1. All subjects were nonhypertensive and nondiabetic. Subjects used no oral contraceptives, statins, or other medications. The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Virginia Commonwealth University, and all subjects participated with informed consent.

#### Inclusion criteria for GAGP

Both NP subjects and GAGP subjects were identified at the Clinical Research Center for Periodontal Diseases at Virginia Commonwealth University. To qualify as a GAGP subject, patients had at least eight teeth affected by 5 mm attachment loss or more, at least three of which were not first molars or incisors. Initial diagnosis of GAGP was established after puberty and before the subject’s 31st birthday.

#### Lipoprotein subclass analysis

Lipoprotein particle concentrations and size were measured in total plasma samples by proton NMR spectroscopy (LipoScience, Inc., Raleigh, NC) (54, 55). The following lipoprotein subclasses were distinguished: large VLDLs (>60 nm), medium-sized VLDLs (35–60 nm), small VLDLs (27–35 nm), intermediate density lipoproteins (IDLs; 23–27 nm), large LDLs (21.2–23 nm), small LDLs (18–21.2 nm), large HDLs (8.8–13 nm), medium-sized HDLs (8.2–8.8 nm), and small HDLs (7.3–8.2 nm). Plasma concentrations of triglycerides, total cholesterol, LDL cholesterol, and HDL cholesterol were derived from NMR analyses by assuming that the particles contain normal amounts of cholesterol and triglycerides. Previous studies have shown that these derived plasma concentrations correlate well with standard laboratory tests (54).

#### Isolation of LDLs

Blood samples (50 ml) were collected after an overnight fast in purple-top vacutainers containing EDTA. Samples were immediately centrifuged for 20 min at 3,000 g and 4°C, after which the supernatant plasma was supplemented with gentamicin (5 μg/ml), aprotinin (0.2 U/ml), leupeptin (50 μg/ml), NaN3 (0.02%), and EDTA (1 mM). LDL (d = 1.019–1.063) was isolated from plasma samples by sequential flotation ultracentrifugation using a Beckman Ti70 rotor, essentially as described by Schumaker and Puppione (56). Isolated LDL was diazylized overnight in PBS (10 mM sodium phosphate, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, and 0.02% NaN3, pH 7.4) and stored under argon at 4°C until use. The protein concentration of each LDL sample was determined by a modified Lowry method, using BSA as a standard (57).

#### Table 1. Gender, race, and age distribution of NP and GAGP subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>NP Subjects</th>
<th>GAGP Subjects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender (female/male)</td>
<td>6/6</td>
<td>6/6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race (African American/Caucasian)</td>
<td>11/1</td>
<td>11/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years; mean ± SD)*</td>
<td>34 ± 9</td>
<td>41 ± 6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GAGP, generalized aggressive periodontitis; NP subjects, subjects without periodontitis.

*Multiple regression analysis established that age did not correlate with any of the parameters measured in this study.
PAF-AH assay

LDL-associated PAF-AH activity was measured using the method of Miwa et al. (58), with minor modifications, as described by Narahara, Miyakawa, and Johnston (59). Briefly, 0.05 μCi of \[^{3}H\]PAF (Perkin-Elmer, Boston, MA) and 13 μg of nonlabeled PAF (Avanti Polar Lipids, Alabaster, AL) were dried under N\(_2\) and resuspended in 125 μl of a buffer containing 120 mM Tris, pH 7.5, and 8 mg/ml fatty acid-free BSA (Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, MO). This substrate solution was mixed with 10 μg of LDL, after which deionized water was added to a total assay volume of 500 μl. Subsequently, the preparation was incubated for 15 min at 37°C in a shaking-water bath. The reaction was stopped by the addition of 500 μl of 14% trichloroacetic acid and incubated on ice for an additional 10 min. Next, the sample was centrifuged for 10 min at 4°C, after which 100 μl of the supernatant, containing \[^{3}H\]acetate released by the enzyme activity, was assayed for radioactivity in a Beckman LS 6500 liquid scintillation counter (Beckman Coulter, Inc., Fullerton, CA).

Statistical analyses

Lipoprotein subclasses from NP and GAgP subjects, as well as LDL-associated PAF-AH activities of these subjects, were compared using the unpaired t-test. Correlations of LDL-associated PAF-AH activity with plasma levels of small LDLs and LDL size were analyzed using linear regression. All statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism software (San Diego, CA).

RESULTS

Cholesterol and triglyceride levels

Total plasma cholesterol, LDL cholesterol, HDL cholesterol, and total plasma triglyceride levels of 12 NP subjects and 12 GAgP subjects were compared to verify the documented increase in plasma lipids (27–31) of subjects with severe periodontitis. Figure 1A, B show a modest but sta-
tistically significant increase of total plasma cholesterol (154.1 ± 8.7 vs. 178.9 ± 7.1 mg/dl; \( P = 0.038 \)) and a minor, nonsignificant increase in LDL-associated cholesterol (92.6 ± 8.0 vs. 109.8 ± 7.5 mg/dl; \( P = 0.130 \)) in GAgP subjects. No statistically significant difference in HDL-associated cholesterol was observed (Fig. 1C). In contrast, plasma triglyceride levels were increased significantly in GAgP subjects (70.4 ± 9.1 vs. 126.3 ± 18.9 mg/dl; \( P = 0.014 \)) (Fig. 1D). These data are in general agreement with published results (27–31).

VLDL and IDL subclass distribution
Increased levels of plasma triglycerides (Fig. 1D) are reflected in the VLDL and IDL subclass distributions of NP and GAgP subjects (Figs. 2, 3). On average, each of the three VLDL subclasses is increased in GAgP subjects, but only for medium-sized VLDL is the increase statistically significant (\( P = 0.022 \)) (Fig. 2A, B). Compared with controls, total VLDL plasma concentration is significantly higher in GAgP subjects (\( P = 0.025 \)) (Fig. 2C). The average size of VLDL in NP and GAgP subjects is similar (57.4 ± 4.0 vs. 54.4 ± 2.6 nm, respectively; \( P = 0.54 \)) (Fig. 2D). The most significant difference in triglyceride-rich lipoproteins between NP and GAgP subjects is found in the IDL density class (Fig. 3). Although a few GAgP subjects have low plasma IDL levels (Fig. 3), the average IDL plasma concentration of the 12 GAgP subjects is almost 4-fold higher than the average IDL concentration of NP subjects (87.2 ± 16.6 vs. 24.8 ± 11.6 nmol/l; \( P = 0.006 \)). Modest increases in each of the VLDL subclasses (Fig. 2A) and the major increase in the plasma concentration of IDL (Fig. 3) together account for the significant increase in the plasma triglycerides of GAgP subjects (Fig. 1D).

LDL subclass distribution
Because increased plasma triglyceride levels are often associated with a predominance of small LDL particles, we compared the LDL subclass distributions of NP and GAgP subjects. Figure 4A shows that, compared with NP subjects, GAgP subjects on average have a lower plasma level of large LDLs (448.3 ± 48.5 vs. 315.8 ± 59.4 nmol/l; \( P = 0.098 \)) and a higher plasma level of small LDLs (488.2 ± 104.2 vs. 946.7 ± 151.6 nmol/l; \( P = 0.021 \)). The variation in small LDL levels among GAgP subjects is considerable.
on average, small LDL levels are 2-fold higher in GAgP subjects. Importantly, the total number of circulating LDL particles is significantly higher in GAgP subjects (961.3 ± 105.3 vs. 1,349 ± 133.2 nmol/l; \( P = 0.032 \)) (Fig. 4C). The average size of LDLs from NP and GAgP subjects is 21.4 ± 0.2 and 20.6 ± 0.3 nm, respectively (\( P = 0.031 \)) (Fig. 4D). Although the average size of LDL particles is smaller in GAgP subjects, the greater number of circulating LDL particles in these subjects leads to somewhat increased levels of LDL-associated cholesterol (Fig. 1B).

**HDL subclass distribution**

Although differences in HDL subclass distribution between NP and GAgP subjects were not significant, certain trends were observable. On average, compared with NP subjects, GAgP subjects had somewhat lower plasma levels of large HDLs and somewhat higher levels of small HDLs (Fig. 5A, B). Thus, the average size of HDLs in GAgP subjects (8.9 ± 0.1 nm) was smaller than the average size of HDLs in NP subjects (9.1 ± 0.1 nm), but this difference was not statistically significant (\( P = 0.14 \)) (Fig. 5D). Average plasma levels of total HDL were similar in NP and GAgP subjects (30.5 ± 1.4 vs. 34.0 ± 2.5 μmol/l; \( P = 0.22 \)) (Fig. 5C). The lack of significant differences between the HDL subclass distributions of NP and GAgP subjects (Fig. 5) accounts for the lack of difference in HDL-associated cholesterol levels (Fig. 1C).

**LDL-associated PAF-AH activity**

Figure 6 compares the LDL-associated PAF-AH activity of NP and GAgP subjects. The data show no difference between the total LDL-associated PAF-AH activity of the two experimental groups (Fig. 6A). However, on average, GAgP subjects have a higher number of circulating LDL particles than NP subjects (Fig. 4C). Therefore, on a per particle basis (i.e., per microgram of LDL protein), GAgP subjects have a lesser amount of LDL-associated PAF-AH activity than NP subjects (1,014.0 ± 192.8 vs. 1,458.0 ± 171.0 pmol/min/μg; \( P = 0.099 \)) (Fig. 6B).

Figure 6 shows that the LDL-associated PAF-AH activity of one of the GAgP subjects is quite different from the other values of the GAgP group. For this particular GAgP subject (who is not the sole Caucasian subject of the group), both total LDL-associated PAF-AH activity (Fig. 6A) and LDL-associated PAF-AH activity per microgram of LDL protein (Fig. 6B) are higher than the means of the group plus two standard deviations. Using this criterion to exclude the outlying measurement, the LDL-associated PAF-AH activities of NP and GAgP subjects are 1,458.0 ± 171.0 and 865.2 ± 134 pmol/min/μg, respectively (\( P = 0.014 \)).

As PAF-AH activity is more strongly associated with small LDLs (60), we analyzed the relationship between LDL-associated PAF-AH activity and the plasma concentration of small LDLs. Figure 7A shows that specific LDL-associated PAF-AH activity in NP and GAgP subjects (expressed as picomoles of product formed per minute per
microgram of LDL protein) correlated negatively with the plasma level of small LDLs. Similarly, specific LDL-associated PAF-AH activity correlated positively with average LDL particle size (Fig. 7B).

DISCUSSION

The present study shows that the documented increase of plasma triglycerides in GAgP patients (27–31) (Fig. 1) is the result of modest increases in VLDL (Fig. 2) and a more robust increase in the plasma concentration of IDL (Fig. 3). The strong increase in IDL may be particularly important, as several studies have indicated that this lipoprotein class is especially atherogenic (61–63). IDL levels are strongly and independently correlated with carotid artery intima-media thickness (63) and are an independent risk factor for aortic atherosclerosis in hemodialysis patients (61). Indeed, the plasma concentration of IDL appears to be more predictive of atherosclerosis progression than LDL, as assessed by coronary artery angiography or carotid artery ultrasonography (62). Hence, increased plasma levels of IDL (Fig. 3) may contribute to the increased cardiovascular risk of GAgP subjects.

The relatively high triglyceride levels in the plasma of GAgP subjects are comparable to the levels found in subjects with a typical pattern-B lipoprotein profile (64). This profile is characterized by increased plasma triglycerides (VLDL and IDL), low plasma levels of HDL, and a predominance of the small LDLs (45–47). Recent studies have indicated that the concentration of small LDLs remains relatively low when plasma triglyceride levels are between 0.5 and 1.5 mmol/l (44–133 mg/dl) but increases sharply when triglyceride concentrations reach 133 mg/l or greater (64). The mean plasma TG concentration in GAgP subjects (126 mg/dl) (Fig. 1D) is close to the TG concentration that causes a shift to the predominance of small LDLs (~133 mg/dl or 1.5 mmol/l). Indeed, GAgP subjects with plasma triglyceride levels greater than the mean (126 mg/dl) have higher plasma levels of small LDLs than GAgP subjects with plasma triglyceride levels below the mean.

This study shows that the documented increase of plasma triglycerides in GAgP subjects is associated with an increase in the plasma concentration of small LDLs (Fig. 4A, B) and with a higher total number of circulating LDL particles (Fig. 4C). Both parameters indicate increased cardiovascular risk. Compared with larger LDLs, small LDLs have a lower affinity for the apolipoprotein B/E re-

![Fig. 6. LDL-associated platelet-activating factor acetylhydrolase (PAF-AH) activity in NP and GAgP subjects, expressed as total activity per microliter of plasma (A) and as specific activity per microgram of LDL protein (B). Arrows indicate values that are higher than the means of the group plus two standard deviations.](image)

![Fig. 7. LDL-associated PAF-AH activity correlates negatively with the number of small LDL particles in the plasma (A) and positively with the average LDL size (B). Compared with NP subjects (closed circles), GAgP subjects (open circles) have higher plasma concentrations of small LDLs, lower LDL-associated PAF-AH activity per microgram of LDL protein, and a smaller average LDL size.](image)
centration of an LDL subclass that is particularly vulnera-
GAgP subjects. In other words, the higher the plasma con-
the plasma concentration of small LDLs in both NP and
LDL-associated PAF-AH activity correlates negatively with
than larger LDLs (39–44). Figure 7 shows that specific
LDL-associated PAF-AH activity in NP and GAgP subjects is the same
projects of cardiovascular disease and stroke. Therefore, it
may be beneficial to test GAgP subjects for lipoprotein subclass distribution and specific PAF-AH activity so that referral for treatment can be recommended if neces-

In conclusion, the combined influence of an altered lipoprotein profile and decreased LDL-associated PAF-AH activity may contribute to the increased risk in GAgP subjects of cardiovascular disease and stroke. Therefore, it may be beneficial to test GAgP subjects for lipoprotein subclass distribution and specific PAF-AH activity so that referral for treatment can be recommended if neces-
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