Crystal structures of complexes of vitamin D receptor ligand-binding domain with lithocholic acid derivatives
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Abstract The secondary bile acid lithocholic acid (LCA) and its derivatives act as selective modulators of the vitamin D receptor (VDR), although their structures fundamentally differ from that of the natural hormone 1α,25-dihydroxyvitamin D₃ [1,25(OH)₂D₃]. Here, we have determined the crystal structures of the ligand-binding domain of rat VDR (VDR-LBD) in ternary complexes with a synthetic partial peptide of the coactivator MED1 (mediator of RNA polymerase II transcription subunit 1) and four ligands, LCA, 3-keto LCA, LCA acetate, and LCA propionate, with the goal of elucidating their agonistic mechanism. LCA and its derivatives bind to the same ligand-binding pocket (LBP) of VDR-LBD that 1,25(OH)₂D₃ binds to, but in the opposite orientation; their A-ring is positioned at the top of the LBP, whereas their acyclic tail is located at the bottom of the LBP. However, most of the hydrophobic and hydrophilic interactions observed in the complex with 1,25(OH)₂D₃ are reproduced in the complexes with LCA and its derivatives. Additional interactions between VDR-LBD and the C-3 substituents of the A-ring are also observed in the complexes with LCA and its derivatives. These may result in the observed difference in the potency among the LCA-type ligands.
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The active metabolite of vitamin D₃, 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D₃ [1,25(OH)₂D₃], regulates calcium homeostasis (1). It also promotes cellular differentiation, inhibits cellular proliferation, and suppresses the immune system (2–7). It has been used clinically to treat renal osteodystrophy, vitamin D-dependent rickets type I, and X-linked hypophosphatemic rickets, among other conditions (8–14). Most of its effects are mediated by its specific binding to the vitamin D receptor (VDR), which is a member of nuclear receptor (NR) super family (15). When 1,25(OH)₂D₃ is bound to VDR, it activates it by inducing conformational changes. The activated complex, VDR/1,25(OH)₂D₃, binds as a heterodimer with the retinoid X receptor (RXR) to vitamin D response elements located in the promoter region of the target genes. Recruitment of coactivator proteins to this heterodimer is also essential to the transactivation. However, clinical use of 1,25(OH)₂D₃ is limited because therapeutic doses can give rise to significant hypercalcemia and hypercalcemia (16). A number of synthetic ligands to VDR have been developed for medical use; however, most of them can also cause similar problems because they are derived from 1,25(OH)₂D₃.

Several synthetic compounds without the vitamin D₃ scaffold have been reported to bind to VDR and have VDR-modulating activities, including growth inhibition of cancer cells and keratinocytes and induction of leukemic cell differentiation, with less calcium mobilization side effects than 1,25(OH)₂D₃ (17). Therefore, these synthetic compounds are expected to be therapeutics for cancer, leukemia, and psoriasis. Subsequently, Makishima et al. discovered that secondary bile acids, including lithocholic acid (LCA) and its derivatives, also behaved as VDR agonists (18–20). LCA acts as a detergent to stabilize fats for absorption, and it has been implicated in human and experimental animal carcinogenesis. However, the agonistic behavior of LCA as a ligand recognized by VDR was not common knowledge because the structure of LCA is completely different from that of vitamin D₃. Additional studies showed that VDR had dual functions as a metabolic sensor of bile acids and as an endocrine receptor for 1,25(OH)₂D₃.

Abbreviations: AcOEt, ethyl acetate; AF2, activation function 2; LBD, ligand-binding domain; LBP, ligand-binding pocket; LCA, lithocholic acid; MED1, mediator of RNA polymerase II transcription subunit 1; NR, nuclear receptor; 1,25(OH)₂D₃, 1α,25-dihydroxyvitamin D₃; RMSD, root-mean-square deviation; RXR, retinoid X receptor; VDR, vitamin D receptor.
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Both functions are closely related to colon cancer suppression (21). Although theoretical studies were performed to elucidate how LCA binds to 1,25(OH)2D3, the agonistic mechanism of VDR by LCA was still unclear (18, 22).

Here we determined the crystal structures of the ligand binding domain (LBD) of rat VDR in ternary complexes with a synthetic peptide containing the target sequence of the coactivator MED1 (mediator of RNA polymerase II transcription subunit 1, also known as ARC205 and DRIP205) and the ligands LCA, 3-keto LCA, LCA acetate, and LCA propionate (Fig. 1) to investigate how LCA and its derivatives bind to VDR and how they act as the agonists. The structures reveal that LCA and its derivatives bind to the same ligand-binding pocket (LBP) of VDR that 1,25(OH)2D3 binds to (23–31), but in the opposite orientation. Comparison of these structures also show how LCA and its derivatives mimic 1,25(OH)2D3 and give insight into how the C3 substituents on the A-ring affect the activity of each ligand. The structures also provide a sound basis for designing new compounds using the scaffold of LCA.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Preparation of LCA ligands

LCA, 3-keto LCA, and LCA acetate were commercially obtained. LCA propionate was synthesized as follows. Boron trifluoride diethyl ether complex (44 μl, 0.33 mmol) was added to a stirred solution of LCA (504.5 mg, 1.34 mmol) in a mixture of propionic anhydride (1.67 ml) and tetrahydrofuran (5 ml) at 0°C, and the resulting solution was stirred at room temperature for 14.5 h. Aqueous sodium hydrogen carbonate was added to this solution, and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 h. Crude LCA propionate was extracted from the reaction mixture with ethyl acetate (AcOEt). The organic layer containing LCA propionate was washed with brine and dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate, and the solvents were evaporated under house vacuum. The residue was purified by chromatography on silica gel (15 g) with 10% AcOEt/hexane to yield 460 mg (79%) of LCA propionate. The product was recrystallized on AcOEt/hexane.

Protein expression, purification, and crystallization

Rat VDR-LBD (residues 116–423, Δ165–211) was expressed, purified, and crystallized as described by Vanhooke et al. and Nakabayashi et al. (31, 32). The purity and homogeneity of the protein was assessed by SDS-PAGE. The concentration of the protein was determined by UV absorption at 280 nm with molar extinction coefficients estimated using the method developed by Pace et al. (33). The 13mer synthetic oligopeptide (KNHPMLMNLLKDN), which corresponds to residues 625–637 of rat MED1, was purchased from World Gene Co., Ltd. (Tokyo, Japan). Each of the four ligands, LCA, 3-keto LCA, LCA acetate, and LCA propionate, was used to prepare a ternary complex of VDR-LBD/peptide/ligand in 10 mM Tris·HCl (pH 7.0), 10 mM dithiothreitol, and 0.02% sodium azide. All the ternary complexes were crystallized at 20°C in a series of precipitant solutions containing 0.1–0.4 M sodium formate, 12–22% (w/v) polyethylene glycol 4000, and 0–10% (v/v) ethylene glycol.

X-ray diffraction data collection and structural analysis

The crystals were flash-frozen using mother liquor supplemented with 10% ethylene glycol. Diffraction data for the ternary complexes were collected at 95 K at beamline BL-6A at the Photon Factory of the High Energy Accelerator Research Organization and were integrated and scaled with HKL2000 (HKL Research, Inc.). The space group for each complex is C2; the unit cell dimensions are listed in Table 1 with one complex per asymmetrical unit. The structures were solved by molecular replacement by using the crystal structure of the ternary complex reported by Vanhooke et al. (PDB code: 1RK3) as the search model in CNS (31, 34). Refinement was performed with CNS and XtalView (35).

RESULTS

Overall structures of the ternary complexes

The crystal structures of the ternary complexes of VDR-LBD with LCA and its three derivatives were determined at 1.9–2.2 Å resolution by X-ray crystallography (Table 1 and Fig. 2A). Most of the residues in the complexes were unambiguously determined; however, the N-terminal region (Ala116–Gln122), the middle region (Asp160–Gly164 and Ser212–Leu217), and the C-terminal end (Ser423) of VDR-LBD were still too flexible to be determined. The structures also provide a sound basis for designing new compounds using the scaffold of LCA.
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Fig. 1. Chemical structures of 1,25(OH)2D3, LCA, and LCA derivatives.
ternary complexes with LCA, its derivatives, or 1,25(OH)_{2}D_{3} (28, 31).

Structures of the ligands and their interactions with VDR-LBD

Proteins in the NR super family have a common ligand-binding pocket (LBP). Residues in helices 1, 3, 5, 11, and 12, all \(\beta\)-turns, and loops 6–7 and 11–12 form the framework for the LBP of VDR. The natural hormone 1,25(OH)_{2}D_{3} is accommodated in the LBP. In the present study, we observed clear electron density in the LBP, as was previously reported in the complex with 1,25(OH)_{2}D_{3} (Fig. 3A) (28), and crystallographic refinement allowed us unambiguous determination of the structure of LCA and its derivatives in the complex (Figs. 2A and 3A).

Except for their respective substituents, LCA and its three derivatives are accommodated in the LBP of VDR-LBD with almost identical structures. However, their orientation

VDR-LBD, and Asp636 and Asn637 of the MED1 peptide were not detected, probably due to fluctuation in these regions. Two more residues at the C-terminal end (Glu421 and Ile422) were also undetectable in the complexes with LCA and 3-keto LCA. Most of these missing residues were previously reported as invisible in studies of other ternary complexes of VDR and are likely a characteristic common to crystals of VDR complexes (23–31).

The overall structures of VDR-LBD in the four complexes are nearly identical (Fig. 2B). The root-mean-square deviations (RMSD) between the proteins in the LCA complex and each of the 3-keto LCA, LCA acetate, and LCA propionate complexes are 0.34, 0.52, and 0.52 Å, respectively, using the C\(\alpha\) atoms of Lys123–Met159 and Ser218–Asn420. Furthermore, the RMSD between the proteins in the LCA and 1,25(OH)_{2}D_{3} complexes is 0.49 Å for the overall structure (Fig. 2C). Therefore, no significant structural differences were found among the proteins in the ternary complexes with LCA, its derivatives, or 1,25(OH)_{2}D_{3} (28, 31).

**TABLE 1. Data collection and refinement statistics**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ligands</th>
<th>LCA</th>
<th>LCA Acetate</th>
<th>LCA Propionate</th>
<th>3-keto LCA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Data collection</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit cell dimensions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(a) (Å)</td>
<td>154.5</td>
<td>154.4</td>
<td>154.5</td>
<td>153.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) (Å)</td>
<td>42.4</td>
<td>42.0</td>
<td>42.8</td>
<td>42.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c) (Å)</td>
<td>41.6</td>
<td>41.5</td>
<td>41.6</td>
<td>41.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\beta) (degree)</td>
<td>96.8</td>
<td>96.2</td>
<td>96.3</td>
<td>96.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resolution (Å)</td>
<td>50–1.9 (1.96–1.90)</td>
<td>50–2.2 (2.28–2.20)</td>
<td>50–2.2 (2.28–2.20)</td>
<td>50–1.9 (1.96–1.90)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completeness (%)</td>
<td>99.2 (99.9)</td>
<td>99.3 (95.9)</td>
<td>99.6 (96.8)</td>
<td>99.2 (99.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Redundancy</td>
<td>3.6 (3.6)</td>
<td>4.3 (4.0)</td>
<td>3.6 (3.4)</td>
<td>3.6 (3.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I/(I)</td>
<td>43.1 (6.2)</td>
<td>26.2 (4.4)</td>
<td>30.5 (3.9)</td>
<td>41.3 (4.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R_{merge} (%)</td>
<td>3.2 (26.4)</td>
<td>5.8 (29.5)</td>
<td>4.5 (35.7)</td>
<td>4.4 (32.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refinement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R (%)</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>23.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-free (%)</td>
<td>26.3</td>
<td>27.6</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>27.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMSD bond lengths (Å)</td>
<td>0.0058</td>
<td>0.0068</td>
<td>0.0069</td>
<td>0.0065</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMSD bond angles (degree)</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>1.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of atoms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein</td>
<td>1925</td>
<td>1942</td>
<td>1942</td>
<td>1926</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peptide</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ligand</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average B factor (Å(^{2}))</td>
<td>41.0</td>
<td>50.1</td>
<td>49.9</td>
<td>43.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig. 2.** Overall structures of ternary complexes of VDR with LCA derivatives. A: Complex structure of VDR with LCA. VDR and MED1 are represented by ribbons, and LCA is represented by a stick. The helices of VDR are numbered after that of the human RXR. B: Superposition of C\(\alpha\) traces of the four ternary complexes of VDR with LCA derivatives. Complexes with LCA, 3-keto LCA, LCA acetate, and LCA propionate are represented in red, green, cyan, and magenta, respectively. C: Superposition of C\(\alpha\) trace of ternary complexes of VDR with LCA (cyan) and 1,25(OH)_{2}D_{3} (red) complex.
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The distance between the water molecule and these residues are 2.92 Å and 3.01 Å, respectively (Fig. 3A). These hydrogen bonds are also observed in the other three complexes. The four rings of the steroid in each of the complexes interact with hydrophobic residues in the LBP through hydrophobic interactions. There are 12 residues (Leu226, Leu229, Val230, Ile264, Ile276, Met268, Trp282, Val296, Ala299, Leu305, Ile306, and Leu309) distributed within 4.3 Å from the rings. Such hydrophobic interactions are also conserved in the other complexes.

Hydrogen bonds between VDR and the ligands are also observed at the other end of the ligands, the C-3 position of the A-ring. The four ligands differ in their substituents at this position. The hydroxyl group of LCA, the carbonyl group of 3-keto LCA, the propionyl group of LCA propionate, and the acetyl group of LCA acetate interact with residues in helix 6, loop 6–7, and helix 11 (Figs. 2A and 3A). In the

is opposite to that of 1,25(OH)$_2$D$_3$ in both the horizontal and vertical planes (Fig. 3C). The 24-carboxyl group is directed toward the β-turns, and the β-face of the steroid is directed toward helix 7 in the bottom of the LBP, while the A-ring faces helix 12 (Fig. 2A). LCA forms three hydrogen bonds with the carboxyl group. One oxygen atom of the carboxyl group directly forms hydrogen bonds with the hydroxyl groups in the side chains of Tyr143 in helix 1 (the distance between the oxygen atoms of the carboxyl group and the hydroxyl group is 2.46 Å) and Ser274 in helices 4/5 (the distance between the oxygen atoms of the carboxyl group and the hydroxyl group is 2.76 Å). The other oxygen atom of the same carboxyl group interacts via a water molecule (the distance between the oxygen atoms of the water and the carboxyl group is 2.69 Å), with the hydroxyl group in the side chain of Ser233 in helix 3 and the guanidinium group in the side chain of Arg270 in helix 4/5 (the distance between the water molecule and these residues are 2.92 Å and 3.01 Å, respectively) (Fig. 3A). These hydrogen bonds are also observed in the other three complexes. The four rings of the steroid in each of the complexes interact with hydrophobic residues in the LBP through hydrophobic interactions. There are 12 residues (Leu226, Leu229, Val230, Ile264, Ile276, Met268, Trp282, Val296, Ala299, Leu305, Ile306, and Leu309) distributed within 4.3 Å from the rings. Such hydrophobic interactions are also conserved in the other complexes.

Hydrogen bonds between VDR and the ligands are also observed at the other end of the ligands, the C-3 position of the A-ring. The four ligands differ in their substituents at this position. The hydroxyl group of LCA, the carbonyl group of 3-keto LCA, the propionyl group of LCA propionate, and the acetyl group of LCA acetate interact with residues in helix 6, loop 6–7, and helix 11 (Figs. 2A and 3A). In the
complexes with LCA and 3-keto LCA, the oxygen atoms of the respective hydroxyl and carbonyl groups of the substituents interact via a water-mediated hydrogen bond with the nitrogen atoms of imidazole rings of His301 in helix 6 and His393 in helix 11. In contrast, in the complex with LCA acetate, the oxygen atom of the acetyl group directly forms a hydrogen bond with the nitrogen atom of the imidazole ring of His301. In the complex with LCA propionate, the oxygen atom of the propionyl group also directly forms a hydrogen bond with the nitrogen atom of the imidazole ring of His393. Furthermore, the alkyl parts of the two substituents interact with the aromatic rings of Tyr397 in helix 11 and Phe418 in helix 12 and with the side chains of Leu410 and Val414 in helix 12, stabilizing the binding of the two derivatives to the LBP of VDR-LBD (Fig. 3A). From this viewpoint, LCA propionate may be the most effective of the four ligands because it has the longest alkyl part in the substituent.

**Structure of the MED1 peptide**

NR super family proteins generally include two domains for two types of transactivations, a constitutive activation function (AF-1) and a ligand-dependent activation function (AF-2). The AF-2 domain of the VDR-LBD consists of helices 3, 4, and 12, and loop 3–4, and it interacts with the LXXLL motif known as the NR-box. In the present study, we synthesized a peptide containing the target sequence of the MED1 coactivator and determined the structure of the peptide in the four ternary complexes to investigate whether or not LCA and its derivatives affect coactivator binding. The peptide binds to the AF-2 domain in each of the four complexes similar to the complex with 1,25(OH)$_2$D$_3$. Although two residues of the peptide, Asp636 and Asn637, have no detectable structure as described above, the rest of the peptide forms an α-helix with a kink at Pro628 in each complex (Fig. 4A). The structure of the peptide in the four complexes is nearly identical. The RMSDs between the peptides in the LCA complex and the 3-keto LCA, LCA acetate, and LCA propionate complexes are 0.22, 0.58, and 0.69 Å, respectively, using the Ca atoms of Lys625–Lys635. The structure of the peptide in the LCA complex was also compared with that in the 1,25(OH)$_2$D$_3$ complex. The RMSD between the two peptides was calculated at 0.76 Å, indicating no significant structural differences among the peptides in the ternary complexes with LCA, its derivatives, and 1,25(OH)$_2$D$_3$ (Fig. 4B) (28, 31).

The AF-2 domain forms a shallow pit consisting of five hydrophobic residues: Ile238 in helix 3, Ile256 in helix 4/5, Leu259 in helix 4/5, Leu413 in helix 12, and Val417 in helix 12. The peptide binds to this pit through the hydrophobic interactions between the LXXLL motif of the peptide and the complementary pit of the protein. The polar side chains of Lys242 in helix 3 and Glu416 in helix 12 also facilitate the binding of the peptide by clamping it on the both edges of the AF-2 domain (a charge clamping). Two hydrogen bonds are formed between the oxygen atom of the side chain carboxyl group of Glu416 and the amide nitrogen of Met629, and the nitrogen atom of the side chain amino group of Lys242 and the carbonyl oxygen of Leu633. All these interactions observed in the present study are the same as those seen in the complex with 1,25(OH)$_2$D$_3$. Therefore, these results indicate that the interactions between the coactivator MED1 and the AF-2 domain are well conserved in the ternary complexes with LCA and its derivatives.

**DISCUSSION**

Since the discovery of its function as an agonist of VDR, LCA has been expected to be used as a vitamin D alternative, especially because LCA appears to activate VDR without causing hypercalcemia. However, because the functional mechanism of LCA was still unclear, LCA derivatives with higher activities have been found mainly by trial and error. In the present study, we determined the structures of ternary complexes of VDR-LBD with LCA and its derivatives and elucidated how they bind to VDR-LBD.

LCA and its derivatives bind to the same LBP that 1,25(OH)$_2$D$_3$ binds to. However, their orientation is opposite to that of 1,25(OH)$_2$D$_3$ (Fig. 3C). Its A-ring was set on the inlet of the LBP, while its 24-carboxyl group wedged...
1,25(OH)₂D₃, which consists of a hydrophobic secosteroid framework and three polar groups. The polar groups are located at the both ends of the ligand and stabilize ligand binding through several hydrogen bonds, while the hydrophobic secosteroid frame just fits the hydrophobic tunnel of the LBP. In the complex with 1,25(OH)₂D₃, the A-ring of 1,25(OH)₂D₃ deeply wedges itself into the LBP and its 25-hydroxyl group is set on the inlet of the LBP. There are two polar groups at the C-1 and C-3 positions of the A-ring of 1,25(OH)₂D₃, whose oxygen atoms form two pairs of bifurcated hydrogen bonds with the side chains of Tyr143 and Ser233 and Arg270, respectively. Furthermore, the 25-hydroxyl group of 1,25(OH)₂D₃ also forms a bifurcated hydrogen bond with the nitrogen atoms of the imidazole rings of His301 and His393. In contrast, LCA and its derivatives consist of the hydrophobic steroid frame and two polar groups; the human VDR-S275A and S278A mutations (corresponding to S271A and S274A of rat VDR, respectively), which are key residues in the VDR activation mechanism described above. These interactions are probably the reason for the higher potency of these two ligands because this valine is not directly involved in the interaction with the ligands in the case of LCA and 3-keto LCA. These additional interactions at the C-3 position may also explain some of the mutation analyses; the human VDR-S275A and S278A mutations (corresponding to S271A and S274A of rat VDR, respectively) almost completely abolished the activity of LCA, whereas they were still activated by LCA acetate (18). The extra interactions at the C-3 position compensate for the loss of the hydrogen bond due to the mutation to some extent.

Some of the other results from the mutation analyses could also be explained from our structure (18, 19, 22, 38). The VDR-S273M mutant (S271M of rat VDR) responds to LCA but not to 1,25(OH)₂D₃. The serine residue is directly interacts with the A-ring of 1,25(OH)₂D₃ through a hydrogen bond, and the mutation to methionine would not only lose the capability of the interaction but also hinder the ligand binding. On the other hand, the bulky A-ring is replaced by a linear alkyl group in LCA (Fig. 3C), creating enough room to accommodate in the methionine. In contrast, the VDR-S278V mutant (S274V of rat VDR) is
activated by 1,25(OH)\(_2\)D\(_3\) but not by LCA. The side chain of the serine also directly interacts with the hydroxyl group of the A-ring of 1,25(OH)\(_2\)D\(_3\) and the carboxyl group of LCA. The mutation to valine would lose the hydrogen bond, but there is enough room to accommodate the replaced side chain in both cases. LCA might disfavor the mutant that would bring the hydrophilic valine close to the negative charge of the carboxyl group.

The role of His305 (His301 of rat VDR) in the interactions with the LCA-related ligands seems more complicated. Although LCA and 3-keto LCA interact with His305 in a very similar manner (Fig. 3A), the VDR-H305A (H301A of rat VDR) mutant significantly diminishes the LCA activity but has little effect on activation by LCA acetate or 3-keto LCA. The indole ring lies almost parallel to the A-ring of LCA, making van der Waals contacts. It is also involved in the hydrogen bond directly (LCA acetate) or indirectly (LCA and 3-keto LCA). These interactions are almost the only interactions between the ligand and loop 6–7, and the mutation to alanine may perhaps trigger a large conformational change of the loop, which could even expose the ligand to the solvent.

Although LCA activates VDR, it functions differently from 1,25(OH)\(_2\)D\(_3\); for example, it does not induce hypercalcemia. The most prominent difference in the ligand binding between 1,25(OH)\(_2\)D\(_3\) and the LCA-related ligands reported here are the pattern of the hydrogen bonds with Ser233 in helix 3 and Arg270 in helix 4/5. While 1α-hydroxyl group of 1,25(OH)\(_2\)D\(_3\) directly forms the hydrogen bonds with these two residues, all the LCA-related ligands studied here require a water molecule to form indirect hydrogen bonds with them, which is likely to weaken the interaction with VDR. Also, replacing the A-ring of 1,25(OH)\(_2\)D\(_3\) with a linear alkyl group seems to loosen the hydrophobic interactions around it, as highlighted by the VDR-S237M mutant discussed above. These differences may affect the structure of the AF-2 surface and, therefore, the interactions between VDR and the coactivators statically and/or dynamically.

However, we did not detect significant differences in the interactions between VDR and the coactivator peptide. One possible reason is the crystal packing. The coactivator peptide not only interacts with VDR but also plays an important role in the crystal packing, and even antagonists could be trapped in its active form at high concentrations as in the crystallization conditions (32). Thus the structural differences that may be caused by LCA could have been suppressed by the crystal packing. The possibility that LCA behaves differently from 1,25(OH)\(_2\)D\(_3\) through a nonstructural mechanism also cannot be excluded. Their metabolic behavior and/or cellular distribution would be different in vivo, causing the functional differences. To rationally elucidate the underlying reason for the difference in the agonistic activity between the ligands, it will be necessary to analyze the higher-ordered complexes, perhaps using full-length VDR. The crystal structures reported here nonetheless have shown the similarities and differences between LCA-related ligands and 1,25(OH)\(_2\)D\(_3\) in their interactions with VDR and should provide a sound basis for the design of new ligands based on LCA, hopefully with better pharmaceutical features.

Data deposition

The coordinates of the determined structures have been deposited in the Protein Data Bank with accession numbers 3W5P, 3W5Q, 3W5R, and 3W5T for the LCA, 3keto-LCA, LCA acetate, and LCA propionate complexes, respectively.
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