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Abstract

In this article, the formation of prokaryotic and eukaryotic cardiolipin is reviewed in light of its biological function. I begin with a detailed account of the structure of cardiolipin, its stereochemistry, and the resulting physical properties, and I present structural analogues of cardiolipin that occur in some organisms. Then I continue to discuss (i) the de novo formation of cardiolipin, (ii) its acyl remodeling, (iii) the assembly of cardiolipin into biological membranes, and (iv) the degradation of cardiolipin, which may be involved in apoptosis and mitochondrial fusion. Thus, the article covers the entire metabolic cycle of this unique phospholipid. It is shown that mitochondria produce cardiolipin species with a high degree of structural uniformity and molecular symmetry, among which there is often a dominant form with four identical acyl chains. The subsequent assembly of cardiolipin into functional membranes is largely unknown, but the analysis of crystal structures of membrane proteins has revealed a first glimpse into the underlying principles of cardiolipin-protein interactions. Disturbances of cardiolipin metabolism are crucial in the pathophysiology of human Barth syndrome, and perhaps also play a role in diabetes and ischemic heart disease.
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Cardiolipin is a minor component of bacterial and mitochondrial membranes, which is found in virtually all organisms of the three domains of life: eubacteria, archaebacteria, and eukaryota. While this suggests some fundamental biological function, its nature has not been fully understood and the function may not be the same in prokaryotes and eukaryotes. It seems that the physical properties of cardiolipin invite a number of interactions that may have implications for the structural organization of biological membranes. Those interactions may cause for instance the segregation of membrane domains, the cross-linking of proteins and their subunits, and the formation of non-bilayer structures. Cardiolipin may trap protons in an acid anion structure and it has the extraordinary ability to bind to a large variety of unrelated proteins. Some of these phenomena are clearly related to the intrinsic symmetry of the cardiolipin structure and they seem to be of particular significance for the function of energy-transducing membranes.

Cardiolipin belongs to a subclass of phospholipids, in which backbones and head groups are formed from repeating units of phosphoryl and glycerol moieties (polyglycerophospholipids). While only few members of this potentially large family have been discovered in living organisms, including cardiolipin, phosphatidic acid, phosphatidylglycerol, and their lyso-compounds, as well as bis(monoacylglycero)phosphate, acyl-phosphatidylglycerol, and phosphatidylylglycerophosphate (Fig. 1), it is conceivable that the era of lipidomics will unearth novel polyglycerophospholipids. What is most intriguing about polyglycerophospholipids is the presence of many hydroxyl groups, which are potential sites for acyl attachment and which can form multiple positional and steric isomers. For instance, phosphatidylylglycerol is a positional isomer of bis(monoacylglycero)phosphate, which itself has several isomers. Dilyso-cardiolipin has four positional isomers and the complexity would be even higher would one consider all possible steric conformations. In general, the glycerol moieties of polyglycerophospholipids are
stereospecific, i.e. they have either $R$ or $S$ conformation. An exception is made by the central glycerol group of cardiolipin and its lysocompounds, where the steric conformation depends on number, type, and position of the acyl groups.

Cardiolipin contains two 1,2-diacyl-$sn$-glycero-3-phosphoryl moieties (also called 3-phosphatidyl groups) linked by a glycerol bridge (Fig. 2) (1). The two phosphatidyl moieties are stereochemically non-equivalent since one is in pro-$R$ and the other in pro-$S$ position with respect to the central carbon atom of the glycerol bridge (2). Obviously, the central carbon atom becomes a true chiral center if the two phosphatidyl residues contain different fatty acids (3). The presence of two phosphate groups may give rise to two negative charges, a fact that may become important for protein cross links and for protein interactions in general. However, in aqueous dispersions with neutral pH, cardiolipin contains a single charge only, because one proton gets trapped in a bicyclic resonance structure formed by the two phosphates and the central hydroxyl group (Fig. 2) (4). Only at the extreme ends of the pH scale is cardiolipin either uncharged (pH<3) or a divalent anion (pH>10).

Aqueous dispersions of cardiolipin and its derivatives display characteristic phase polymorphism, which includes micellar, lamellar, and hexagonal states (5). Phase transitions from micellar to lamellar and from lamellar to hexagonal states are favoured by low pH, high ionic strength, and a high number of acyl groups (see ref. 6 for a review). For instance, dilyso-cardiolipin (two acyl chains) may form micellar and lamellar structures, whereas acyl-cardiolipin (five acyl chains) always exists in the hexagonal state (7). Cardiolipin (four acyl chains) may exist either in the hexagonal or in the lamellar state. Although hexagonal cardiolipin may play a role in membrane contact zones and other areas where the bilayer structure is perturbed, it is
probably fair to say that the majority of mitochondrial and bacterial cardiolipin is in the bilayer phase. In this state, the two phosphatidyl glycerols of cardiolipin are oriented perpendicular to the bilayer surface. The central glycerol group, which is at the water/membrane interface, is oriented parallel to the bilayer surface and has a restricted freedom of motion compared to other phospholipid head groups due to its bilateral membrane anchorage (8).

The occurrence of cardiolipin is limited to specific ATP-producing membranes, such as the bacterial plasma membrane (9), mitochondrial membranes (10), and the membranes of hydrogenosomes, a mitochondria-like organelle from protists (11). The presence of cardiolipin in prokaryotes and eukaryotes has been used as an argument for the endosymbiotic hypothesis, according to which mitochondria were derived from prokaryotes that lived inside a eukaryotic progenitor cell (12). Be that as it may, the role of cardiolipin must have changed during the evolution from prokaryotes to eukaryotes, because mitochondria require a constant level of cardiolipin for baseline activity, whereas prokaryotes accumulate cardiolipin only in specific situations, such as stationary growth or environmental stress. Several structural analogs of cardiolipin have been isolated from some eubacteria and archaeabacteria (13-17), as if nature has “experimented” with different chemical variations before settling on the cardiolipin structure (Fig. 3).

**DE NOVO FORMATION OF CARDIOLIPIN**

The biosynthesis of cardiolipin begins with the formation of phosphatidic acid from glycerol-3-phosphate and activated fatty acids. Then, phosphatidic acid reacts with CTP to form the high-energy phosphoanhydride intermediate phosphatidyl-CMP. The activated phosphatidyl group is
subsequently transferred to the sn-1 hydroxyl group of another glycerol-3-phosphate to yield phosphatidylglycerophosphate, which in turn is hydrolyzed to phosphatidylglycerol. From here on, the pathway diverges into a prokaryotic and a eukaryotic branch. In prokaryotes, phosphatidylglycerol receives a phosphatidyl group from another phosphatidylglycerol by transesterification, catalyzed by a phospholipase D-type enzyme. In eukaryotes, phosphatidylglycerol receives an activated phosphatidyl group from phosphatidyl-CMP, which is catalyzed by an enzyme that falls into the category of phosphatidyl transferases (Fig. 4). Enzymes of this category also produce phosphatidylserine, phosphatidylinositol, and phosphatidylglycerol. The prokaryotic formation of cardiolipin is a near-equilibrium reaction, whereas the eukaryotic formation has a considerable negative change in free energy.

**Prokaryotic cardiolipin synthesis**

Bacteria contain variable amounts of cardiolipin depending on their physiologic state. While cardiolipin is only a trace component during exponential growth, it may increase to become the most dominant phospholipid under certain conditions associated with growth reduction (18). For instance, cardiolipin has been shown to increase in the stationary phase (19), in response to energy deprivation (20), and in response to osmotic stress (21, 22). Accordingly, the expression level of cardiolipin synthase and its catalytic activity increase when *E. coli* cultures reach the stationary phase (23, 24).

The prokaryotic reaction for cardiolipin synthesis was discovered in the 1970’s (25, 26), and the structural gene for the *E. coli* cardiolipin synthase was discovered in 1978 (27) and was cloned in 1985 (28). The open reading frame encodes a 54.6 kDa polypeptide, but the protein formed in vivo is about 8 kDa smaller, suggesting some post-translational modification (28-30).
properties of *E. coli* cardiolipin synthase have been summarized in a very informative review article (31).

Bacterial cardiolipin synthases belong to the phospholipase D superfamily (Fig. 4). These enzymes attack ester bonds between the phosphoryl group of the phosphatidyl moiety and the alcohol group of the head moiety. While the alcohol is released, the phosphatidyl moiety remains bound to the enzyme and can either react with water, resulting in hydrolysis, or react with another alcohol, resulting in transphosphatidylation (32). In the case of cardiolipin synthase, transphosphatidylation occurs between two phosphatidylglycerols, one acting as phosphatidyl donor, the other as phosphatidyl acceptor. Since the enzyme does not have strict substrate specificity, mannitol can replace glycerol, a fact that was first suspected when phosphatidylmannitol and bisphosphatidylmannitol were discovered in *E. coli* cultures grown in the presence of 0.6 M mannitol (33). Subsequent studies confirmed that purified cardiolipin synthase can transfer a phosphatidyl residue from cardiolipin to mannitol (29, 34). This experiment highlights the fact that bacterial cardiolipin synthase may act in reverse direction, which leads to decomposition of cardiolipin. Thus, cardiolipin formation in prokaryotes does not only depend on the expression level of cardiolipin synthase, but also on other factors that affect the transphosphatidylation equilibrium, such as the free energy of cardiolipin in the membrane and the local concentration of glycerol and other alcohols.

**Eukaryotic cardiolipin synthesis**

Cardiolipin synthesis from phosphatidyl-CMP and phosphatidylglycerol was first demonstrated in rat liver mitochondria by Hostetler et al. (35, 36). Later it was shown that the same reaction is used to form cardiolipin in yeast (37), plants, other fungi, and vertebrate and non-vertebrate
animals (38). Genes encoding cardiolipin synthases were identified and the gene products were characterized in yeast (39-41), Arabidopsis (42, 43), and humans (44-46). They are highly homologous and have similar masses, namely 32.0 kDa, 38.0 kDa, and 32.6 kDa for yeast, Arabidopsis, and human cardiolipin synthase, respectively. After cleavage of the mitochondrial targeting sequence, mature enzymes with masses between 24 and 29 kDa are predicted (Fig. 5). Sequence comparison shows at least five conserved motifs (42). Cardiolipin synthases contain multiple sites for potential hydrophobic interaction, including potential transmembrane domains.

Characterization of cardiolipin synthase. The properties of cardiolipin synthase have been studied in solubilized and partially purified enzyme preparations from rat liver (47) and yeast (48). The A. thaliana enzyme was also partially purified after expression in E. coli (43). These studies have confirmed a number of observations originally made in crude mitochondria, such as the high pH optimum (pH 8-9) and the requirement for specific divalent cations (Mg$^{2+}$, Mn$^{2+}$, or Co$^{2+}$), suggesting that these are intrinsic properties of cardiolipin synthase. Although the cation with the highest stimulatory effect appears to be different for the rat (Co$^{2+}$), yeast (Mg$^{2+}$), and Arabidopsis (Mn$^{2+}$) enzymes, the data support the notion that eukaryotic cardiolipin synthases form a relatively homogeneous family. What is also common to all cardiolipin synthases studied, is that the apparent $K_m$ value for phosphatidyl-CMP is about two orders of magnitude lower than the $K_m$ value for phosphatidylglycerol (43, 47, 48). Cardiolipin synthase has considerable specificity for phosphatidyl-CMP when compared with the adenosine, guanosine, and uridine analogues (49) and it does not react when lysophosphatidylglycerol is supplied instead of phosphatidylglycerol (38). The apparent acyl specificity of cardiolipin synthase from humans (44), rat (50, 51), and Arabidopsis (43) has been measured with various molecular species of phosphatidyl-CMP and phosphatidylglycerol. These experiments have
clearly established that cardiolipin synthase does not possess the necessary acyl specificity to explain preferential synthesis of tetralinoleoyl-cardiolipin, the dominant molecular species in many animal and plant tissues, suggesting that another mechanism must exist (see below).

Localization of cardiolipin synthase. Eukaryotic cardiolipin synthases are targeted to mitochondria by their N-terminal presequence (Fig. 5). The rat liver enzyme has been shown to reside in the inner mitochondrial membrane (52, 53) and in yeast, cardiolipin synthase appears to be part of a large protein complex (54). The catalytic center of cardiolipin synthase is exposed to the matrix side of the inner membrane because (i) the susceptibility of cardiolipin synthase to proteases is similar to that of the matrix enzyme glutamate dehydrogenase; (ii) cardiolipin formation is inhibited when the entry of the cofactor Mn$^{2+}$ into the matrix is blocked by ruthenium red; and (iii) a membrane-impermeable inhibitor of cardiolipin synthase is only effective after solubilization of the inner membrane (53).

Regulation of cardiolipin synthase. Not surprisingly, human cardiolipin synthase is most abundantly expressed in tissues that are rich in mitochondria, such as heart, skeletal muscle, and liver (45, 46). One may, thus, presume that cardiolipin synthesis is under the control of transcription factors that regulate mitochondrial biogenesis, although direct evidence for this notion has yet to emerge. Nevertheless, the response of cardiolipin concentration and cardiolipin synthase activity to thyroxin, an endocrine stimulator of mitochondrial biogenesis, clearly supports the concept that cardiolipin formation is controlled by a transcriptional program that acts upon mitochondrial homeostasis (55-57). The expression of MIDAS (mitochondrial DNA absence sensitivity factor), a novel protein of the mitochondrial intermembrane space, seems to increase mitochondrial mass by specifically up-regulating mitochondrial lipids, such as...
cardiolipin (58). Another factor that has been identified to affect the activity of cardiolipin synthase, is the assembly of respiratory complex IV (54). Furthermore, the proton gradient across the inner mitochondrial membrane was shown to affect the rate of cardiolipin formation, which may simply result from stimulation of cardiolipin synthase by alkaline pH at the matrix side (59). Finally, a large body of evidence has been accumulated in yeast on the regulation of cardiolipin formation by inositol (for reviews see refs. 6 and 60).

**REMODELING OF CARDIOLIPIN**

In mitochondria, post-synthetic modifications of cardiolipin entail substantial changes in the acyl composition. This process, originally described in rat liver mitochondria (61), is called "remodeling" and produces in most instances a peculiar form of cardiolipin with four identical acyl residues. This form of cardiolipin cannot be synthesized by the de novo pathway because the molecular species of the precursor lipids are very different from the species of cardiolipin (62) and the substrate specificity of cardiolipin synthase cannot make up for this difference (43, 44, 50). Thus, remodeling has been recognized as an indispensable step to produce mature cardiolipin, although the purpose of remodeling and the remodeling mechanism have remained a matter of controversy. Interest in cardiolipin remodeling has recently increased because disturbances in the molecular composition of cardiolipin have been identified in human disorders, such as Barth syndrome (63), diabetes (64), heart failure (65), and Parkinson syndrome (66). In the case of Barth syndrome, the remodeling defect can be directly attributed to mutations in the enzyme tafazzin (see ref. 67 for a review). However, in diabetes, heart failure and Parkinson disease, the role of cardiolipin remodeling has not been defined. Thus, a number of interesting questions arise as to the biological function of cardiolipin remodeling and its mechanism.
Molecular species of cardiolipin

It has been known for some time that the fatty acid profile of cardiolipin is different from the fatty acid profile of other mitochondrial phospholipids (68). Many authors have stressed that the fatty acids of cardiolipin are highly unsaturated and argued that this may be important for membrane fluidity and protein interaction. However, there is neither a characteristic degree of unsaturation among cardiolipins from different organisms (3, 69-73), nor is it plausible to postulate that a minor lipid like cardiolipin would determine the overall fluidity of the membrane. Table 1 clearly shows that the dominant fatty acids of various cardiolipins may have anywhere from 14 to 22 carbon atoms and anywhere from 0 to 6 double bonds. In the face of these data, it is difficult to maintain the idea that unsaturation is essential for the function of cardiolipin in mitochondria. What is striking, however, among all the cardiolipins listed in Table 1, is that they contain only one or two types of major fatty acids, which together account for sixty to ninety percent of the total fatty acid mass (3, 69-73). This restrictive pattern, a result of acyl-selective remodeling, limits the otherwise enormous structural diversity of cardiolipin molecular species. Cardiolipin can potentially form a huge number of molecular species due to different fatty acid combinations, which is a consequence of having four stereochemically distinct acyl positions (Fig. 6). In fact, there are \( n^4 \) potential molecular species for a cardiolipin with \( n \) types of fatty acids (3). Thus, in humans, where \( n \) equals fourteen or so, the number of cardiolipin species could easily be a five-digit figure. In reality, however, the remodeling process generates structural uniformity and also molecular symmetry because the proportion of symmetric cardiolipins, i.e. those with two identical phosphatidyl residues, increases if only one or two types of fatty acids are present (3). The most widely known form of remodeled cardiolipin is the tetralinoleoyl species, a symmetric molecule that occurs in plants and many animal tissues, but other uniformly substituted species have also been found, for instance tetrapalmitoyl-cardiolipin
in rat testis (70), tetrapalmitoleoyl-cardiolipin in insect cells (unpublished data), and
tetradocosahexaenoyl-cardiolipin in certain bivalves (73).

Remodeling pathway

The question as to how the molecular species of cardiolipin are formed seems interesting both
from a mechanistic and from a physiologic point of view. Initially, it was assumed that cardiolipin
remodeling follows the Lands cycle, in which the original acyl groups are removed by
phospholipase A_2 and then new acyl groups are attached by acyl-CoA:lysophospholipid
acyltransferase (61, 74). Indeed two enzymes have been characterized that in principle can
form cardiolipin from monolysocardiolipin and acyl-CoA. One enzyme is a 74 kDa protein that
was purified from pig liver mitochondria (75), the other one is a 44 kDa protein that was
identified in the mouse genome and that was shown by expression studies to catalyze acyl-CoA
dependent reacylation of monolysocardiolipin and acyl-CoA. Since the 44 kDa enzyme is
associated with the endoplasmic reticulum, it is probably identical to an activity that was
described by Eichberg more than thirty years ago (77). However, for neither enzyme, a
convincing analysis of substrate specificity has been presented, which leaves in doubt the
actual physiologic function of these enzymes. Hence, the question remains as to whether they
are specific for cardiolipin or whether they are involved in the reacylation of other
lysophospholipids. This question is the more pressing as the 44 kDa enzyme is localized
outside of mitochondria. Neither the 44 kDa nor the 74 kDa enzymes display an acyl specificity
that is even remotely consistent with the fatty acid patterns of cardiolipin in mammalian tissues
(74-77). In general, acyltransferases may have broad substrate specificity; for instance the
yeast lyso-phosphatidylethanolamine acyltransferase was shown to acylate also lyso-
phosphatidic acid (78).
Further studies in isolated liver mitochondria suggested that phospholipid transacylation may be the mechanism of cardiolipin remodeling (79). The transacylase in question was later identified to be tafazzin (71). Since tafazzin mutations cause severe derangements of the cardiolipin species patterns in humans (63, 80-82), yeast (83, 84), and fruit flies (85), it has been widely accepted that tafazzin is in fact involved in cardiolipin remodeling. In all tafazzin mutants, the dominant cardiolipin species were replaced by a large multitude of minor species, suggesting that acyl-specific remodeling gave way to random acyl substitution. This further supports the notion that tafazzin is specifically required to maintain structural uniformity among cardiolipin species.

The role of tafazzin

**Enzymatic function.** Tafazzin is encoded by the gene G4.5, which carries mutations responsible for Barth syndrome, and was named after a comic character from Italian television (86). Vreken et al. found that tafazzin mutations lead to reduced incorporation of linoleic acid into cardiolipin of human fibroblasts (87). Eventually, my laboratory demonstrated that purified tafazzin catalyzes the general reaction

\[
\text{PL}_A + \text{LPL}_B \leftrightarrow \text{LPL}_A + \text{PL}_B
\]

where PL and LPL represent phospholipids and lysophospholipids with head groups A and B, respectively (71). Although the enzyme shows certain head group preferences in vitro, it appears in principle to react with all phospholipids. Neither CoA nor acyl-CoA is required for the reaction. The acyl group is transferred directly from the phospholipid to the lysophospholipid, rather than forming an acyl-enzyme intermediate, because free fatty acids are not released in
the absence of an acyl acceptor (71). In contrast, formation of an acylated enzyme is the transacylation mechanism of phospholipases A. Tafazzin is not homologous to phospholipases but belongs to a different class of enzymes, namely the acyltransferase superfamily (88). Proteins in this family typically contain an HX₄D motif that is thought to play a key role in the catalytic mechanism (89).

The HX₄D group was proposed to act as a charge relay that abstracts a proton from the free hydroxyl group of the glycerol moiety, enabling this hydroxyl group to engage an acyl ester bond. This is the likely mechanism, by which acyl groups are transferred from the thioester bond of acyl-CoA to the hydroxyl ester bond of phospholipids in the catalytic cycle of acyl-CoA dependent acyltransferases (90). This mechanism may also apply to tafazzin, in which case the acyl group in transit would be under the simultaneous influence of two hydroxyl groups and the HX₄D charge relay (Fig. 7). Whichever structure the catalytic intermediate has, it must possess internal symmetry in order to account for the fact that the reaction may proceed in either direction. For instance, it is easy to see from Fig. 7 that the intermediate may react to become either a phospholipid with head group A and a lysophospholipid with head group B or vice versa. Reversibility also demands symmetry of the substrate sites because the phospholipid binding site may become the lysophospholipid binding site and vice versa. Thus, the enzyme must have two identical substrate sites, which raises the question whether its active form is a dimer. Although there is no direct evidence to support this idea, a dimeric nature of yeast tafazzin is at least consistent with its migration behavior in non-denaturing gel electrophoresis (91).
Another important question is the regiospecificity of tafazzin because the complete remodeling of cardiolipin requires turnover of both sn-1 and sn-2 acyl residues. Recently, we found that tafazzin is equally active with the substrates sn-1-monolyso-cardiolipin and sn-2-monolyso-cardiolipin (unpublished data), suggesting that tafazzin is able to remodel all fatty acyl residues of cardiolipin. Since tafazzin can also transfer acyl groups from cardiolipin (CL) to monolyso-cardiolipin (MLCL), it can essentially function as positional isomerase:

\[
\text{CL} + \text{1-MLCL} \leftrightarrow \text{2-MLCL} + \text{CL}
\]

**Role in cardiolipin remodeling.** It is clear from the above that tafazzin plays a central role in cardiolipin remodeling and that it may catalyze several transacylation reactions, which may be utilized for cardiolipin remodeling (Fig. 8). These reactions differ from the deacylation-reacylation cycle (Lands cycle), which is the remodeling pathway for other phospholipids. Whereas the Lands cycle consists of two largely irreversible steps, catalyzed by phospholipase A₂ and acyl-CoA dependent acyltransferase respectively, cardiolipin remodeling is a near-equilibrium chemical reaction catalyzed by a single enzyme, tafazzin. However, phospholipids that participate in the transacylation equilibrium may at the same time be substrates of phospholipase A₂, and lysophospholipids may be substrates of other acyltransferases. In that sense, the Lands cycle may be involved indirectly in cardiolipin remodeling because it provides the necessary turnover of fatty acids (Fig. 8).

The notion of transacylation raises questions with regard to acyl specificity. Although previous studies have shown remarkable specificity in tafazzin-catalyzed transacylations (71, 79), the idea that tafazzin determines the fatty acid profile of cardiolipin, is conceptually problematic and contradicts experimental evidence. First of all, the reversible nature of the tafazzin reaction
makes it difficult to envision the selection of molecular species based on enzyme specificity. Second, the apparent acyl specificity of tafazzin seems to depend on the environment of the enzyme. For instance, expression of human tafazzin in yeast, prompts the formation of yeast cardiolipin rather than human cardiolipin (83). However, it is possible that acyl specificity arises as a consequence of the chemical equilibrium between molecular species because tafazzin may allow those species to accumulate that make the least contribution to the overall free energy of the membrane. Further details of this concept will be presented in a future manuscript.

**ASSEMBLY OF CARDIOLIPIN INTO FUNCTIONAL MEMBRANES**

Following *de novo* synthesis and remodeling, cardiolipin has to be assembled into various membrane subcompartments and protein complexes. Very little is known about this process and the biological function of cardiolipin in general, partly because it has been difficult to pinpoint a single effect of cardiolipin that could be regarded as absolutely essential. However, cardiolipin induces a number of changes in the physical properties of membranes, some of which may be key to understand its biological function. For instance, even small amounts of cardiolipin decrease the lateral interaction within the monolayer leaflet, which decreases the energy required to stretch the membrane, and therefore could favor the creation of membrane folds (92). Also, cardiolipin has the ability to form clusters (93) and non-bilayer structures (5-7). The potential significance of non-bilayer structures is supported by the absolute requirement for phosphatidylethanolamine, another phospholipid with non-bilayer properties, in cardiolipin-deficient yeast (94). The ability of cardiolipin to trap protons (see Fig. 2), may have implications for the distribution of the proton-motive force in energy-converting membranes. Finally, cardiolipin interacts strongly with many different proteins, which is perhaps the most important of its properties. The structural basis of these physical interactions has not been clearly
understood, but it seems reasonable to consider structural symmetry (3), charge distribution (4), and the presence of a bulky hydrophobic tail in combination with a small immobilized head group as key factors (8, 95).

**Assembly of bacterial membranes**

Bacterial membranes contain low steady-state levels of cardiolipin, which increase only during the stationary growth phase and under certain conditions of environmental stress (18-22). The increase in cardiolipin formation seems to be driven by higher expression of cardiolipin synthase (23, 24). Recent studies confirmed that bacteria with cardiolipin synthase deficiency are more vulnerable to osmotic stress and organic solvents (96, 97). The mechanism by which cardiolipin stabilizes bacterial membranes is not known, but an interesting observation has recently been made, namely that cardiolipin localizes to the polar and septal regions of the cytoplasmic membrane (98-100). This localization of cardiolipin may help to maintain proper spatial segregation of proteins, including the osmosensory transporter that was also shown to localize to the poles (100). Accumulation of cardiolipin at the bacterial poles can be explained on the basis of lipid self-organization, because cardiolipin clusters have a high intrinsic curvature and therefore have a lower energy when they are located in curved membrane regions (101). Thus the localization of cardiolipin to bacterial poles may be the result of its spontaneous tendency to form homogeneous clusters.

**Assembly of mitochondrial membranes**

*Transport of cardiolipin within mitochondria.* While *de novo* synthesis of cardiolipin occurs in the inner leaflet of the inner mitochondrial membrane (53), subsequent remodeling must take
place at a site where tafazzin is localized. In yeast, tafazzin was shown to be integrated into the outer leaflet of the inner membrane and the inner leaflet of the outer membrane (102). Thus, cardiolipin must be translocated from the inner to the outer leaflet of the inner membrane in order for remodeling to occur. Finally, cardiolipin must be moved to its various destinations, which include both leaflets of the inner membrane, and also to some extend the outer membrane, where small amounts of cardiolipin have been found. This implies that there must be mechanisms in place, which allow transfer of cardiolipin both across the inner membrane and between the inner and the outer membrane. Two mechanisms have been identified, which could at least in theory facilitate cardiolipin translocation. First, phospholipid scramblase-3 has been shown to promote accumulation of cardiolipin in the outer mitochondrial membrane (103, 104). It is possible that this is a result of cardiolipin translocation from the inner to the outer leaflet of the inner membrane because scramblases are generally known to facilitate the transmembrane movement of phospholipids. Second, mitochondrial creatine kinase and nucleoside diphosphate kinase, two enzymes of the intermembrane space, have been shown to transfer lipids between membranes (105). This activity is cardiolipin-dependent and, in the case of creatine kinase, requires an octameric aggregation state. At the same time, creatine kinase induces the formation of cardiolipin clusters (106). Since these kinases are part of the contact sites between the inner and the outer membrane (107), it is possible that they play a role in intermembrane lipid transfer in vivo.

**Assembly of cardiolipin into protein complexes.** In mitochondria, a certain portion of cardiolipin is bound to proteins (108), and in some cases, like the ADP-ATP carrier, cardiolipin is essential for the stability of the quarternary protein structure (109, 110). So, the question arises as to how cardiolipin is incorporated into these protein complexes and which role cardiolipin plays in the overall process of complex assembly. In this regard it is helpful to remember the
ubiquitous nature of cardiolipin-protein interactions, i.e. the fact that many, structurally unrelated proteins are able to engage in a strong binding with cardiolipin (6). Thus, the structure of cardiolipin must provide a flexible force field that can adapt to a variety of protein surfaces. In that sense, cardiolipin behaves similar to molecular chaperones. A general role of lipids in the folding of proteins has been proposed (111), and cardiolipin specifically has been shown to promote folding of the mitochondrial matrix enzyme rhodanese (112).

Further insight into the nature of cardiolipin-protein interactions may be derived from crystal structures. Tightly bound cardiolipin has been identified in crystals of mitochondrial complex III (113), complex IV (114), and the ADP-ATP-carrier (115), as well as in crystallized prokaryotic proteins, such as the photoreaction center (116), the trimeric formate dehydrogenase N (117), and succinate dehydrogenase (118). In all these protein crystals, the head group of cardiolipin forms strong hydrophilic interactions with a number of amino acid residues, involving electrostatic forces, hydrogen bonds, and water molecules. The acyl chains, however, remain flexible and interact with the protein surface by van-der-Waals forces at multiple sites. Cardiolipin sits typically at monomer interfaces of oligomeric assemblies and appears to mediate the contact between two monomers or the contact between the protein surface and the bilayer. In complex III, the cardiolipin head group was suggested to be an integral part of the proton uptake pathway, implying its direct participation in catalysis (113). The ability of cardiolipin to interact with proteins also seems to play a role in the formation of supercomplexes because cardiolipin deficiency decreases the stability of these large supramolecular aggregates (119-122).
The question as to whether certain molecular species of cardiolipin are preferred for protein binding has been addressed in a purified preparation of the ADP-ATP carrier (68) and in crystallized complex IV (114). Although tetralinoleoyl-cardiolipin was the sole species in complex IV and the dominant species in the ADP-ATP-carrier, an absolute requirement for symmetric cardiolipin cannot be postulated because these proteins remain active in tafazzin mutants, in which symmetric cardiolipin virtually disappears (123, 124).

MODIFICATION AND DEGRADATION OF CARDIOLIPIN

Like any other biological compound, cardiolipin requires continuous turnover to maintain the steady state. Very few details are known about the degradation of cardiolipin, except during apoptosis, where the cardiolipin concentration declines rapidly. A large number of research papers have dealt with the issue of cardiolipin in apoptosis, but true conceptual progress has been hampered by inadequate experimental techniques and careless overinterpretations. Nevertheless, a few consistent facts have emerged over the years, which highlight an important new role of cardiolipin in programmed cell death. In addition, cardiolipin can be hydrolyzed by phospholipases and this too may have intriguing functional implications.

Modification of cardiolipin by oxidation

The cellular content of cardiolipin decreases acutely in various models of apoptosis (125), ischemia (126), and ischemia/reperfusion (127). The decline in mitochondrial cardiolipin was considered important because it correlated with the release of the apoptotic trigger cytochrome c from the mitochondrial compartment (125, 126, 128). Since cytochrome c is known to be attached to cardiolipin on the outer face of the inner membrane, these data suggested that the
mobilization of cytochrome c is directly caused by cardiolipin deficiency. This idea was supported by a completely different approach, in which the reduction of cardiolipin by RNAi knockdown of cardiolipin synthase resulted in detachment of cytochrome c from the inner mitochondrial membrane (129). The mechanism of cardiolipin degradation during apoptosis and ischemia was felt to be the result of oxidative damage, partly because a similar combination of cardiolipin decrease and cytochrome c release could be achieved when mitochondria were forced to produce reactive oxygen species (130), and partly because cardiolipin hydroperoxide was detected in apoptotic cells (131). Eventually, the specific formation of oxidized cardiolipin in response to apoptotic stimulation, was demonstrated by mass spectrometry, and it was shown that this oxidation is catalyzed by peroxidase activity of cardiolipin-bound cytochrome c (125).

Hence, cardiolipin oxidation is a critical step in apoptosis as it sets cytochrome c free into the intermembrane space. However, in order for cytochrome c to be released into the cytosol, where it can trigger the caspase cascade, additional steps are required, including mitochondrial fragmentation, cristae remodeling, and outer membrane permeabilization (132). To what extent cardiolipin is involved in outer membrane permeabilization and whether it does specifically interact with Bcl-2 proteins has remained an open question (for a review, see ref. 133). It is also unclear whether oxidation is a requirement for the apparent redistribution of cardiolipin during apoptosis, which results in gradual mass transfer towards the mitochondrial periphery, i.e. from the inner leaflet of the inner membrane to the outer membrane (125). Furthermore, the chemical structure of oxidatively modified cardiolipin is still unknown. We have resolved homologous series of oxidized cardiolipins by mass spectrometry, but only after in-vitro oxidation of pure cardiolipin (134). Despite all the excitement about apoptosis-induced oxidation of cardiolipin, it is important to realize that this is probably not a universal phenomenon because cardiolipins from...
many mitochondria contain primarily saturated or monounsaturated acyl chains, which are very resistant to oxidative modification (Table 1).

Degradation of cardiolipin by phospholipases

**Phospholipase A.** Mitochondria contain members of the families of calcium-independent phospholipases A₂ (iPLA₂), like iPLA₂β and iPLA₂γ (135, 136), and cytosolic phospholipases A₂, like cPLA₂β3 (137), which are in theory able to deacetylate cardiolipin to monolysocardiolipin and dilyso-cardiolipin. Further deacylation probably requires a lysophospholipase. The idea that deacylation is in fact a possible fate of cardiolipin has been supported by labeling experiments in isolated mitochondria (61) and by the detection of monolysocardiolipin in tafazzin-deficient tissues (84, 138). While monolysocardiolipin may be an intermediate of the remodeling pathway, it may also be an intermediate of the degradation pathway. Monolysocardiolipin accumulates in some models of apoptosis, for instance in Fas-treated liver (139) and in tbid-treated isolated mitochondria (140), which is consistent with the hypothesis that oxidized cardiolipin either undergoes spontaneous deacylation or is more susceptible to phospholipase A₂. Of course, it is also possible that phospholipase A₂ is directly activated during apoptosis, without requiring cardiolipin oxidation. Taken together these data support the notion that degradation of cardiolipin occurs by deacylation and that this pathway is activated during apoptosis and certain other conditions.

**Phospholipase D.** Another reaction that can degrade cardiolipin is catalyzed by phospholipase D. Recently, a novel phospholipase D was discovered, which appeared to be specific for cardiolipin and which promoted mitochondrial fusion (141). The active enzyme forms a dimer at the outer surface of the outer mitochondrial membrane with the catalytic site far away from the...
membrane surface, so that it has access to the outer membrane of an opposing mitochondrion. Membrane fusion may be facilitated by phosphatidic acid, a product of cardiolipin hydrolysis by phospholipase D. A rationale for cardiolipin specificity of this enzyme is provided by its homology to bacterial cardiolipin synthases (141). It can be seen from Fig. 4 that bacterial cardiolipin synthase and phospholipase D share a similar reaction sequence, which differs only in the use of either water or glycerol as phosphatidyl acceptor. Mitochondrial phospholipase D is an intriguing enzyme, which may link the fission-fusion equilibrium of mitochondria to the metabolism of cardiolipin.

CONCLUSION AND OUTLOOK

Once considered an obscure minor phospholipid, cardiolipin has now attracted the curiosity of researchers from diverse fields, including membrane biogenesis, lipid-protein interaction, apoptosis, lipidomics, and mitochondrial physiology. The exponential rise of publications on cardiolipin provides impressive evidence for the new interest in this subject. I have tried to give an overview on the current knowledge of the life cycle of cardiolipin. For the sake of clarity, I have focused on what I consider the most compelling data and have not attempted an encyclopedic review of the literature. I believe that cardiolipin research is in the midst of an evolution that promises to give new insight into fundamental questions of cell biology. There is, first of all, the process of cardiolipin remodeling, which so far has yielded surprising results, likely to expand our concept of how phospholipids acquire a particular composition of molecular species. Then, there is the strong, but non-specific interaction of cardiolipin with proteins, for which a satisfying explanation has yet to be found. Most importantly, however, cardiolipin may hold the key to a better understanding of the mitochondrial ultrastructure, physiology, and biogenesis, and the role mitochondria play in cell differentiation and cell death. This may in turn
give unexpected insight into human disease processes, such as Barth syndrome, cardiomyopathies in general, and perhaps the metabolic syndrome.
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Legends to the Figures

Fig. 1. Family of polyglycerophospholipids. These lipids consist solely of glycerol groups (horizontal lines), phosphate groups (filled circles), and acyl groups (long vertical lines). Free hydroxyl groups are presented as short vertical lines. The backbones may be glycerophosphate (GP), glycerophospho-glycerol (GPG), glycerophospho-glycerophosphate (GPGP), and glycerophospho-glycerophosphoglycerol (GPGPG). Stereochemical relationships are not shown in this scheme. The identified compounds have $R$ conformation in the GP group, $R/S$ or $S/S$ conformation in the GPG group, $R/S$ conformation in the GPGP group and $R/R/R$, $R/S/R$, or $R/R$ conformation in the GPGPG group. Members of this family include lysophosphatidic acid (LPA), phosphatidic acid (PA), lysophosphatidyglycerol (LPG), phospatidylglycerol (PG), bis(monoacylglycerol)phosphate (BMP), acyl-phosphatidylglycerol (APG), phosphatidylglycerophosphate (PGP), dilyso-cardiolipin (DLCL), monolyso-cardiolipin (MLCL), and cardiolipin (CL).

Fig. 2. Structure of cardiolipin. Two phosphatidyl residues, both in $R$ conformation, are linked by a central glycerol bridge. One phosphatidyl group is in pro-$R$, the other in pro-$S$ position with respect to the central carbon atom. Stereochemical relationships are shown on the left side in $sn$ nomenclature. Different ionic states of the two phosphate groups are presented. $R_{11}$, $R_{12}$, $R_{31}$, and $R_{32}$ are acyl groups.
Fig. 3. Cardiolipin-like phospholipids from prokaryotes. CL, normal cardiolipin; Ether-CL, tetra-alkylether form of cardiolipin from *Halobacterium salinarum*; GLc-CL, α-D-glucopyranosyl-cardiolipin from group B *Streptococcus*; Lys-CL, L-lysyl-cardiolipin from *Listeria* species; Ala-CL, D-alanyl-cardiolipin from *Vagococcus fluvialis*.

Fig. 4. Comparison of reaction mechanisms of phospholipase D and cardiolipin synthase (CLS). Prokaryotic CLS is a phospholipase D-type enzyme, in which glycerol replaces water as phosphatidyl acceptor. Note that both steps of prokaryotic CLS are reversible, whereas the hydrolysis step of phospholipase D is irreversible. Eukaryotic CLS is a phosphatidyl transferase that catalyzes an irreversible reaction. Abbreviations: E, enzyme; Gro, glycerol; Ptd, 3-sn-phosphatidyl; PtdOH, phosphatidic acid; PtdGro, phosphatidylglycerol; PtdCMP, phosphatidyl-CMP; Ptd2Gro, cardiolipin.

Fig. 5. Sequence alignment of cardiolipin synthases from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, *Arabidopsis thaliana*, and *Homo sapiens*. Alignment was computed with CLUSTAL W. Mitochondrial targeting sequences (shown in green) were predicted with MITOPROT (http://ihg.gsf.de). The section corresponding to the general CDP-alcohol phosphotransferase motif is shown in red. Motifs that are conserved among cardiolipin synthases, are shown in dark red.
Fig. 6. Molecular diversity of cardiolipin species. A total of eighty-one molecular species can be formed in the presence of three different acyl groups (shown in blue, red, and green). Glycerols are shown in orange and phosphate groups are shown in black. The diversity arises from the stereochemical non-equivalence of the two phosphatidyl residues in 1’- and 3’-position.

Fig. 7. Hypothetical structure of the catalytic intermediate at the active site of tafazzin. In this model, one acyl group is under the simultaneous influence of two lysophospholipid hydroxyl groups. The structure is stabilized by a histidine-aspartate charge relay in accordance with the current model of acyltransferase catalysis. A and B are phospholipid head groups. The catalytic process may progress to form either phospholipid A and lysophospholipid B or lysophospholipid A and phospholipid B.

Fig. 8. Remodeling pathways. Left: Phospholipid remodeling by deacylation-reacylation cycle. Right: Cardiolipin remodeling by phospholipid transacylation. See text for details. CL, cardiolipin; CoA, coenzyme A; LPL, lysophospholipid; MLCL, monolysocardiolipin; PL, phospholipid.
Table 1. Dominant fatty acids in various cardiolipins

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cardiolipin source</th>
<th>Fatty acids</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sea urchin sperm (<em>H. pulcherrimus, A. crassispina</em>)</td>
<td>14:0, 16:0</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rat testis</td>
<td>16:0</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sf9 insect cells</td>
<td>16:1</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</em></td>
<td>16:1, 18:1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human lymphoblasts</td>
<td>18:1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Drosophila melanogaster</em></td>
<td>16:1, 18:2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human heart</td>
<td>18:2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mung bean hypocotyls</td>
<td>18:2, 18:3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manila clam <em>R. philippinarum</em></td>
<td>20:5, 22:6</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marine bivalves (<em>P. maximus, C. gigas, M. edulis</em>)</td>
<td>22:6</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The dominant fatty acids account for more than fifty percent of the total fatty acids of cardiolipin in each tissue. 14:0, myristoyl; 16:0, palmitoyl; 16:1, palmitoleoyl; 18:1, oleoyl or vaccenoyl; 18:2, linoleoyl; 18:3, linolenoyl; 20:5, eicosapentaenoyl; 22:6, docosahexaenoyl.
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Phospholipase D

\[
\begin{align*}
PtdOH & \xleftrightarrow{E} \ E\sim Ptd \xleftrightarrow{H_2O} \ Ptd_2Gro \\
& \xleftrightarrow{E} PtdGro \xrightarrow{E} Ptd_2Gro
\end{align*}
\]

Prokaryotic CLS

\[
\begin{align*}
PtdGro & \xleftrightarrow{E} \ E\sim Ptd \xleftrightarrow{Gro} \ Ptd_2Gro \\
& \xleftrightarrow{E} PtdGro \xrightarrow{E} Ptd_2Gro
\end{align*}
\]

Eukaryotic CLS

\[
\begin{align*}
PtdCMP & \xleftrightarrow{E} \ PtdGro-E-PtdCMP \xrightarrow{E} \ Ptd_2Gro \\
& \xleftrightarrow{E} PtdGro \xrightarrow{E} Ptd_2Gro
\end{align*}
\]

Fig 4
S. cerevisiae

-------MIQVPIYSCSALLR-------RTIP-------KR-------PF

A. thaliana

MAIYRSLRLVEINHRKTPFFTAATASGGTSLTPLPPQFSPLFPHFSRLSPLSKWVFPL

H. sapiens

-------MLARVARGSWGLRSAWAPGTRP-------SKRACWALLLPVF

:               *       :               *

S.

YHVLSLGLTVRFKVNPQNLNYNLFRDLT-------RREYAT-------

A.

NGPLFLSSPWLKLQQSATPLHRWNGSVALKKEALNLRDLRISRTFRPRQGLQSVVF

H.

PCCGCLAERWRLRPAALGLRLPGIG-------QRHCSGAGKAAPFAAGAGA

*:                      *            :         *

S.

---------NPSKTHISSLNLNPQNIQLRSIGCFGLFIITNNLTPALGLFAFSS

A.

ILTVDWDSKEEDGGKLKVSFVGPNAMISARLVGPGVLWWMISNEMYSSAFGLAVSGA

H.

AAEAPGQQGPASTPSSLYEPWFTRPMLRSLRGLAPVLGILLYIEEDFNIALGVFA

:                      *       :               *

S.

TDFMDGYIARKY-GKTIAGTILDPLAUDKLMITTLALSVPSGQIIIFSIAGAIILGRD

A.

SDWLDGPHYVARMAKIN-SVVGYSPLADKVLIGCVAAMVCQD-LLHFWLGVIVLRLRD

H.

TDLDDGFIAKRAWQASLSGDPLADKIIISILYVSILTVAD-------LIFVPETYMIISRD

*:                       *       :               *

S.

VLLAISLAFIRSTLKYLKPYGRVAWNSYDINVROPSAEVRSQQLSKWNTFFCMVYLGSGV

A.

VALVGAVYLRMLNLDDWTKTDSFDNF---LDGSPQKEFLFSKVVTFQSLVLVAGAI

H.

VMAILAAYVFVYRTLLPTPLALKYFNF-----PYTARLTKPTFISKVENTAVQLILVAASL

*:                       *       :               *

S.

LLLLYKEKEEGCEKTEEDFEDRKQDFQRAFSDLYGVATATIMSGSVYALKRNAFKLK

A.

LQPEGFPNBDQTWITYLSWLVASTTMAAAYGYWVKIRPISMIKR-------

H.

AAPVFNYADSIYQLILWCTAFTTAAASYYHGRKTQVIKTD--------

:               *       :               *