Separation of the principal HDL subclasses by iodixanol ultracentrifugation
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ABSTRACT

HDL subclasses detection, in cardiovascular risk, has been limited due to the time consuming nature of current techniques. We have developed a time saving and reliable separation of the principal HDL subclasses employing iodixanol density gradient ultracentrifugation (IxDGUC) combined with digital photography.

HDL subclasses were separated in 2.5 h from pre-stained plasma on a three step iodixanol gradient. HDL subclass profiles were generated by digital photography and gel scan software. Samples (n = 46) were used to optimise the gradient for the resolution of HDL heterogeneity and to compare profiles generated by IxDGUC with gradient gel electrophoresis (GGE); further characterisation from participants (n = 548) with a range of lipid profiles was also performed. HDL subclass profiles generated by IxDGUC were comparable to those separated by (GGE) as indicated by a significant association between areas under the curve for both HDL₂ and HDL₃ [HDL₂ r = 0.896, p < 0.01, HDL₃ r = 0.894, p < 0.01]. The method was highly reproducible with intra and inter-assay CV% < 5% for %AUC HDL₂ and HDL₃, and < 1% for peak Rf and peak density. The method provides a time saving and cost effective detection and preparation of the principal HDL subclasses.
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INTRODUCTION

Several epidemiological and prospective studies have identified low high density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) as an independent risk factor for cardiovascular disease (CVD) [1, 2]. Whilst pharmacological interventions elevating HDL-C have, to some extent, shown a reduction in CVD risk [3, 4], there is also evidence that the quality of HDL may also be important [5, 6]. It has been suggested that HDL subclasses may show a variable relationship with CVD risk. In patients undergoing coronary angiography Drexel et al have shown HDL$_2$ to be the strongest predictor of the extent of coronary artery disease [7]; however, the number of studies investigating associations of HDL subclasses with CVD risk is relatively small and with mixed results; some demonstrating an inverse association of HDL$_2$ with CVD risk or individual risk factors [8-11] and others either showing no association with subclass distribution or an inverse association with HDL$_3$ [12-14]. The interpretation of such studies is made increasingly difficult by the range of methods used to identify HDL subclasses and the paucity of large studies possibly due to the arduous nature of the separation techniques available.

Currently there are no standardised reference methods for the separation of lipoprotein subclasses but ultracentrifugation is a well established research method for both preparative and quantitative analysis of LDL and HDL subclasses [15, 16]. The majority of ultracentrifuge methods, to separate HDL subfractions, involve the use of salt (KBr or NaBr) gradients [17, 18]; however, Graham et al (1996) revolutionised ultracentrifugation methods by utilising iodixanol, a derivative of triiodobenzoic acid, in conjunction with vertical and near vertical rotors, to separate plasma lipoproteins in a run time of 3 h [19]. Iodixanol is non-toxic to cells, non-inhibitory to enzymes and iso-osmotic at all densities [20] this reduces
the potential for the dissociation or disruption of apoproteins, that has been associated with salt gradient techniques [21], and allows the use of gradient fractions for supplementary analysis without prior dialysis. The use of iodixanol has been adapted to further separate LDL into its principal subclasses by the method of Davies et al [22]. This procedure used self generated gradients of iodixanol coupled with digital photography to generate LDL subclass profiles comparable with those generated by salt density gradient ultracentrifugation and gradient gel electrophoresis (GGE) in a run time of 2.5 h.

We have developed a three-step gradient using iodixanol which allows identification of the principal HDL subclasses (HDL$_2$ and HDL$_3$) using the same rotor and conditions as previously described for LDL subclasses [22]. Furthermore, this technique also utilises digital photography coupled with gelscan software to generate HDL profiles.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Materials**

Iodixanol was supplied as a 60% w/v solution (Optiprep™) by Axis-Shield (UK). Optiseal tubes (4.9 ml) and 12 ml ultraclear tubes were supplied by Beckman Coulter (UK). Cholesterol, TAG and apoprotein calibrators, assays and serum lipid controls level I, level II and level III were supplied by Randox UK (Co Antrim). Pre-cast polyacrylamide gels (4 – 30%) and electrophoresis equipment were supplied by CBS Scientific (California, USA). Phosphate buffered saline (PBS), potassium bromide (KBr), methanol, glacial acetic acid, boric acid, sulphosalicylic acid, Sudan Black B and ethylene glycol were supplied by Sigma Aldrich (UK).
Blood Samples

Blood samples (10 ml) were taken from 46 normal, healthy male and female volunteers who, on the basis of their blood lipid profile, were expected to show a range of HDL subclass patterns. These samples were used to establish optimal gradient conditions and to compare subclass patterns generated by IxDGUC and GGE. HDL subclasses were separated from a total of 548 plasma samples from healthy volunteers to examine associations between HDL subclass profiles and other plasma lipids and lipoproteins. Volunteers were participants recruited for dietary intervention studies. All studied individuals had been informed in writing of the intended use of their sample and provided written consent. The intervention studies were approved by MREC and the University of Surrey Ethics Committee. All blood samples were taken by venepuncture from volunteers who had fasted for 12 h and were collected into vacutainers containing K₂EDTA (1 g/L). Plasma was harvested by low speed centrifugation (2000 g for 20 mins at 4°C) in a Heraeus Labofuge 400R centrifuge. All plasma was stored at -80°C before analysis.

Preparation of the iodixanol gradient

The iodixanol gradient and visualisation of separated HDL subclasses were based on a procedure for the separation of LDL subclasses described by Davies et al. Davies and co-workers identified the distribution of LDL subclasses by pre-staining plasma with coomassie blue, similar to the method of Swinkels et al [22]. The presence of other plasma proteins in the same density region as HDL, precluded the use of coomassie blue as a protein stain. The technique of Terpstra et al [24], which uses Sudan Black B in a solution of ethylene glycol to stain lipids, was modified and used as an alternative stain for HDL subclasses. Briefly, while Terpstra et al [24] stained plasma with 200 µl of Sudan Black B at a concentration of 0.1 g/100 ml, the current method used higher concentrations with a lower volume (described
below) as the larger volume resulted in over-staining. The iodixanol gradient was generated by initially creating 3 density layers; the bottom layer consisted of 23% w/v iodixanol/PBS solution, the middle layer a 17.6% w/v solution of iodixanol mixed with plasma and stain whilst the top layer was a 15% w/v solution of iodixanol/PBS.

Plasma was mixed with Optiprep™ (iodixanol at 60% w/v) and pre-stained with Sudan Black B (80 µL of 2 g/100 ml in ethylene glycol) to give a final concentration of 17.6% (w/v) with respect to iodixanol. For example, plasma (1.4 ml) was added to Optiprep™ (0.6 ml) and 80 µL of Sudan Black B or PBS to provide a working sample of 2 ml. The lower layer was prepared by mixing Optiprep™ with PBS to provide a 23% iodixanol solution (final density 1.118 Kg/L). The upper layer was also prepared by mixing Optiprep with PBS; to give a 15% w/v iodixanol solution (final density 1.108 Kg/L).

To prepare the gradient, 1.7 ml aliquots of the 15% iodixanol solution were dispensed into 4.9 ml Optiseal centrifuge tubes, 1.5 ml of the pre-stained working sample was then carefully under-layered using a luer fitting steel cannula and syringe. Finally, 1.7 ml of the 23% iodixanol solution was under layered, again using a cannula and syringe. Centrifuge tubes were housed in a Beckman NVT 65.2 rotor and centrifuged at 65 000 rpm (371 000 g(\text{av})), 16°C, acceleration program 5, deceleration program 5, for 2.5 h in Beckman Optima L-100 ultracentrifuge.

**Measurement of the gradient density**

To determine the density profile of the gradient unstained blank samples were prepared with 1.4 ml PBS in place of plasma and 80 µL of PBS in place of the Sudan Black B stain. Samples were then centrifuged under the conditions described. Following centrifugation
samples were fractionated into 200 µL fractions using a Labonco Autodensiflow and Gilson FC203P fraction collector. The refractive index of each fraction was determined using a Bellingham Stanley DR-103 digital refractometer and converted to density using the following formula:

\[ \rho = \eta a - b, \]

where \( a = 3.4193, \) \( b = 3.56, \) \( \eta = \) refractive index and \( \rho = \) density. A blank tube was marked at 200 µL intervals corresponding to the fractions collected; each fraction was then assigned a density value. Non-stained plasma fractions were used to determine the apoprotein profile of the lipoprotein separation and to confirm the nature and position of the HDL classes using GGE.

**Generation of HDL subclass profiles by digital photography**

HDL subclass profiles were generated using digital photography coupled with TotaLab 1D gelscan software (Pharmacia, UK). Immediately after centrifugation, Optiseal tubes containing lipid stained HDL bands were photographed against a vertical light box using a Nikon D1X digital camera set at the highest resolution. All photographs were taken with the camera set at a fixed distance from the rack housing the Optiseal tubes. Photographs were downloaded to a PC and evaluated using Total-Lab 1D gelscan software. The gel-scan software converted the photographs of the stained HDL bands into HDL profiles with an \( x \) axis of distance (mm) against a \( y \) axis of pixel intensity. The gel scan software was then used to assign relative electrophoretic migration distance (Rf) values to the HDL peaks. The peak Rf values were converted to density by means of cross-reference to a photograph of the blank tube with calibrated density intervals. The gel scan software also calculated areas under the curve for each HDL peak.

**Gradient Gel Electrophoresis**
HDL subclasses were co-isolated by GGE using pre-cast, non-denaturing acrylamide gradient gels (4-30%) using a pore gradient gel lipoprotein electrophoresis system (GGE, C.B.S. Scientific). Whole plasma and relevant IxDGCU gradient fractions (50 µl) were pre stained 1:1 with a 2% w/v solution of Sudan Black B in ethylene glycol. The samples (20 µl) were loaded onto pre cast 4-30% acrylamide gels that had been equilibrated at 70 V, 65 mA for 30 min. Gels were then run for 20 min at 20 V, 50 mA, followed by 30 min at 70 V, 65 mA and finally for 24 h at 120 V, 100 mA. Following electrophoresis, gels were directly photographed and analyzed using TotaLab 1D software, with no further staining required.

Additionally, HDL subclasses were identified using a protein stain following the initial separation of lipoproteins by flotation to remove interfering proteins present in whole plasma. Whole plasma was adjusted to a density of 1.21 kg/L by the addition of KBr(s), 0.326 g of KBr was added to 1 ml of plasma and transferred to a 12 ml Beckman Ultra-clear centrifuge tube. Density-adjusted plasma was mixed with 11 mls of a 1.21 kg/L KBr, 1% EDTA density solution. Tubes were housed in a Beckman 70.1 Ti rotor and centrifuged for 24 h at 117,734 g(av), 15°C. Following centrifugation, the upper yellow supernatant (lipoprotein top) was aspirated. Bromophenol blue was added as a colour marker to the lipoprotein fraction (100 µL) and to relevant IxDGCU gradient fractions to give a final concentration of 5% w/v. Ten µL of sample was then loaded on to a pre-cast 4-30% acrylamide gel that had been equilibrated and then run under the same conditions as previously described. Following electrophoresis, gels were removed and fixed with a solution of 10% w/v sulphosalicylic acid for 30 minutes. The fixative was poured off and the gels immersed in protein stain (0.1% w/v coomassie blue R250, methanol, glacial acetic acid, RO water in a 5:1:4 ratio) for 1 h. Gels were destained with a solution of methanol: glacial acetic acid: RO water (50:75:875 ml) for
24 h. Gels were then photographed using a Nikon D1X digital camera and analyzed using TotaLab software.

**Analysis of HDL fractions and plasma lipids**

Whole plasma was analysed for cholesterol, TAG, LDL-C and HDL-C and apo A1 using commercially available assays on a SpACE automated analyser (Schiparelli Biosystems Inc, ENI Diagnostics Division, USA). Additionally apoprotein A1 was measured in each 200 µL unstained fraction.

**Analytical Performance**

Blood samples (60 ml) were taken from two fasted volunteers to examine the precision of the HDL separation both within and between rotors. To examine intra- (within) rotor variability, 10 replicate profiles were prepared for each participant, an additional 10 replicates were prepared from the same individuals in a separate run to examine inter- (between) rotor variability. Within rotor variability was also examined using four replicate HDL profiles for eight participants (4 male, 4 female) with a range of lipid profiles.

**Statistics**

All data was analysed using SPSS version 14 (SPSS Inc Chicago USA). All data was checked for normality using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, data that was not normally distributed was log or square root transformed. Differences between groups were assessed by one way analysis of variance. Associations of plasma lipids with HDL subclasses were assessed using Pearson’s correlation.
RESULTS

Gradient Characteristics

The iodixanol gradient was curvilinear with an extended linear region between fractions 1-14 (Figure 1). The apo A-I profile showed a bimodal distribution which corresponded to the HDL₂ and HDL₃ subclasses, as indicated by both IxDGUC and GGE. Iodixanol profiles showed a peak corresponding to LDL (fractions 3 – 6, density 1.022-1.034 kg/L) and peaks corresponding to HDL subclasses (fractions 8-13, density 1.046-1.089 kg/L). The cut-off density and corresponding Rf for HDL₂ was determined by the separation of the sample using IxDGUC and the separation of both lipid and protein stained fractions on 4-30% GGE. The results from the unstained fractionated tubes showed the density cut-off between HDL₂ and HDL₃ to be set at ~1.059 kg/L. This value was then used in the analysis of HDL subclass distribution of 46 participants displaying a variety of profiles.

HDL Heterogeneity in Iodixanol

HDL subclasses separated from pre-stained plasma displayed variability in banding patterns both within and between participants. Shown in Figures 2 & 3 are the HDL subclasses separated from the pre-stained plasma of 8 individuals by IxDGUC and by GGE. The clear banding patterns within individuals indicated that IxDGUC resolves structural heterogeneity in HDL that corresponds to that achieved with electrophoresis. HDL profiles obtained by GGE and IxDGUC for these eight individuals were generally comparable but with some subtle differences. Profiles generated by gradient gel electrophoresis showed pronounced points of inflexion or ‘shoulders’ on the HDL₂ subclass in participants with low HDL-C, these shoulders were not observed using IxDGUC.
The percentage area under the curve for both HDL2 and HDL3 was determined for 46 participants using a density cut off of 1.059 kg/L. The percentage AUC for each subclass was compared to those of GGE for the same samples. There was a significant correlation between HDL subclasses for both GGE (lipid stained) and IxDGUC methods as measured by area under the curve [HDL2 r = 0.896; P < 0.01; HDL3 r = 0.894 p < 0.01] (Figure 4).

Reproducibility of HDL separation on iodixanol gradient

Within rotor variability: The within rotor CV for % AUC HDL2 for two different individuals (10 samples/rotor) were 2.5% (HDL2 = 46%; density = 1.046 kg/L) and 3.93% (HDL2 = 14% density=1.049 kg/L). Within rotor variability calculated from replicate (x4) HDL profiles, taken from four males and four females, was < 4% and < 1% for HDL2 (% AUC) and HDL2 peak density respectively (Table 1).

Between rotor variability: There was a non-significant variation in %HDL2 and peak density values from two individuals (peak densities 1.046 kg/L and 1.064 kg/L ) between rotors [2x10 samples mean, (SD) %HDL2 = 46.88 (1.17) versus 45.96 (1.15) CV = 2.64% and 14.36 (0.44) 14.52 (0.54) CV = 3.73%. The CV for HDL peak density was 0.09% (density = 1.046 kg/L) and 0.07% (density = 1.064 kg/L).

Relationship of HDL subclasses with other lipid risk markers

The relationship between the percentage AUC and peak density for HDL2 were compared with other markers of cardiovascular risk, including, plasma total cholesterol, plasma TAG, LDL-C, HDL-C, apo A-I in 548 participants. Percentage AUC of HDL2 was positively correlated with total HDL-C, and apo A-I, and negatively with plasma TAG and LDL-C (Table 2). There was no association with plasma total cholesterol.
Unlike LDL, there is no current accepted phenotypic pattern for HDL subclasses. ‘K-means cluster analysis’ of %HDL$_2$ was used to identify a pre-defined number of clusters in the data set (Table 3). In an attempt to determine HDL subclass patterns associated with low, medium and high %HDL$_2$ 3 clusters were chosen. Cluster analysis of 548 samples defined the three cluster centres at 18.4%, 38.5% and 62.4% HDL$_2$. For ease of use these clusters were grouped according to low (I), medium (II) and high (III) patterns according to >60%, 40-60% and <40% %HDL$_2$ respectively. Plasma lipid profiles were completed for all participants and when grouped according to subclass pattern, significant differences were observed between groups for plasma TAG, HDL-C, LDL-C, and apoA-I. Participants grouped into the low %HDL$_2$ pattern (I) had significantly lower TAG and LDL-C (p<0.001) and significantly higher HDL-C and Apo A1 (p<0.01) compared to medium and high %HDL$_2$ groups. There was no difference between groups for total cholesterol.

**DISCUSSION**

While methods for the measurement for HDL subclasses are labour intensive, time consuming and expensive, some of the latest techniques including VAP-II auto-profiling and proton NMR have overcome these problems, but they are not without disadvantages. The VAP-II autoprofiling system has reduced ultracentrifugation time to 47 minutes, though the method requires analysis of the cholesterol content of the gradient followed by the application of complex equations to quantify HDL subclasses [25]. Proton NMR was described by two different groups in the early 1990s [26, 27], NMR has the advantage of requiring a small sample volume and being capable of high sample throughput; however, the equipment is expensive and the method cannot be used preparatively. Iodixanol is a density media that is iso-osmotic and non-toxic to cells; the use of iodixanol in two step gradients
was employed by Graham et al [19] to separate lipoprotein classes. Sawle et al [28] also used IxDGUC coupled with fractionation to identify the major lipoprotein classes together with the principal LDL subclasses. However, Davies et al (2003) [22] developed this method further by designing a gradient that would specifically identify LDL subclasses without the need for fractionation by using digital photography to generate LDL profiles. Most recently Yee et al [29] have described a method for the separation of the major lipoproteins and subclasses of LDL, however, this technique did not discriminate between the principal HDL subclasses and furthermore required fractionation of the sample tube for the identification of lipoproteins.

The aim of the present study was therefore to develop a rapid technique for both the identification and preparation of the principal HDL subclasses using iodixanol as a density media. The gradient described is a 3-step gradient, in which pre-stained plasma was “sandwiched” between two solutions of iodixanol with differing densities; this permits the separation and identification of the principal HDL subclasses in a run time of 2.5 h. Previous methods have used ultracentrifugal separations followed by an elution of the gradient and continuous spectrophotometric detection of the separated fractions to generate lipid profiles, whereas Davies et al [22] used digital photography followed by gelscan software to generate LDL subclass profiles. The use of digital photography eliminates the need for time consuming fractionation, whilst also improving the reproducibility of the method and was therefore adopted for the identification of HDL subclasses. To allow identification of the HDL subclasses using digital photography plasma was pre-stained with Sudan Black B at physiological pH. This technique produced profiles displaying HDL heterogeneity that was visible within both males and females, across a range of total plasma HDL-C concentrations (Figure 2).
The percentage of the principal HDL subclasses as measured by the AUC correlated well with the established GGE methods (Figure 4); profiles were on the whole very similar, but IxDGUC detected less HDL₂ in participants with low HDL-C and predominantly small HDL₃ compared to lipid stained GGE. This may be, in part, due to the molecular sieving effect on electrophoretic gels that produces a greater resolution of HDL subclasses by GGE. Nevertheless, a spectrum of samples were analysed using IxDGUC and results were found to correlate significantly with those of GGE.

To date no classification of HDL subclasses has been defined in terms of CVD risk. LDL subclasses on the other hand can be categorised into LDL phenotypes; three major patterns exist and are distinguished based upon the percentage of each LDL subclasses present [30]. sdLDL becomes the predominant subclass when plasma TAG concentrations exceed 1.5 mmol/L [31] and the classification of LDL subclasses into phenotypes has been shown to have clinical utility as a risk marker, with LDL subclass pattern B being associated with a 3-fold increase in CVD risk [32]. A similar classification for HDL has been proposed by Rosenson et al [33] from a range of diverse methods; the proposal suggests classifying HDL heterogeneity into five categories ranging from very small HDL to very large HDL. The present authors agree a standardised nomenclature would improve the ability to predict cardiovascular risk within these categories and the current study adds to the literature by assessing three distinct HDL subclass patterns in relation to other lipid risk markers. Participants were separated according to the percentage HDL₂ into the three patterns using K-means cluster analysis. When separated according to these patterns, participants exhibited significant differences between total HDL-C, apoA-I and TAG (Table 3). The elevated TAG in pattern III (mean 1.68 mmol/L) supports previous observations of an inverse relationship between TAG and the abundance of HDL₂ [34-36], and suggests that elevated TAG not only
increases the predominance of sdLDL but also that of smaller dense HDL3. While the three patterns of HDL subclasses displayed differences in some lipids and apoproteins, there were no significant differences between groups for total cholesterol, supporting previous evidence that shows an increase in the prevalence of sdLDL at TAG concentrations greater than 1.5 mmol/L with no change in total cholesterol concentration [37]. Austin et al [38] defined an atherogenic lipoprotein phenotype (ALP) as a cluster of elevated plasma TAG, low HDL-C and a predominance of sdLDL. The correlation between HDL2 plasma TAG and sdLDL suggests that a predominance of HDL3 should also be included in the definition. Without a definitive classification of HDL phenotypes, the validation of IxDGUC in terms of its ability to predict increased CVD risk is limited.

In conclusion, IxDGUC is a reproducible method with a considerable saving in ultracentrifugation time. The centrifugation parameters used are the same as those described for the NVT 65.2 and separation of LDL subclasses, thus giving the added advantage of being able to determine both LDL and HDL subclass profiles simultaneously. This should be advantageous in determining CVD risk, particularly in groups with “normal” concentrations of total plasma and LDL cholesterol whose increased CVD risk may be overlooked. In summary this method provides an analytical procedure that is time saving, and cost effective technique for the detection of the principal HDL subclasses which can also be used preparatively to isolate HDL2 and HDL3.
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Figure 1. Density gradient and distribution of plasma apoproteins. An unstained plasma sample was fractionated, in triplicate, into equal fractions of 200 µL. Apo A-I and density profiles are shown (together with GGE (4-30%) for fractions 5-15. The HDL profile generated by IxDGUC and TotaLab analysis is shown as a solid line. The apoA-I profile was bimodal and corresponded to the HDL subclass profile generated by IxDGUC and with the fractions run using GGE. Delineation occurred at a density of ~1.059 Kg/L corresponding to an Rf of 0.52 mm.
Figure 2. HDL Subclass Profiles for 8 Individuals with varying HDL Subclass Distributions. The central panel denotes the density associated with Rf. There was clear evidence of variability in HDL subclass profile with HDL₂ visible in both males and females.
Figure 3. IxDGUC and GGE profiles for eight participants with varying total HDL-C. Density profiles from IxDGUC are shown on the left, the centre column are GGE lipid stained profiles for the same participants. Particle size was determined using a set of protein standards of known molecular mass and diameter to calibrate the gel. The column on the far right depicts overlaid IxDGUC and GGE profiles.
Figure 4 Relationship of %AUC generated by GGE and lxDGUC. % HDL₂ and % HDL₃ generated by lxDGUC was significantly correlated with the %AUC as determined by GGE. [HDL₂ r = 0.896 (r² = 80.2%) p < 0.01, HDL₃ r = 0.894 (r² = 79.9%) p < 0.01].
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Participant</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Total HDL-C (mmol/L)</th>
<th>%HDL&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt; (AUC)</th>
<th>HDL&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt; peak density (Kg/L)</th>
<th>CV %HDL&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt; (AUC)</th>
<th>CV HDL&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt; peak density</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DV 177</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>5.59</td>
<td>1.055</td>
<td>3.77</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AW 135</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>25.86</td>
<td>1.052</td>
<td>3.43</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RP 079</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>28.14</td>
<td>1.050</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TM 075</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>32.87</td>
<td>1.050</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DL 136</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>38.62</td>
<td>1.043</td>
<td>3.98</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MN 130</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>62.70</td>
<td>1.045</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KT 150</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>69.59</td>
<td>1.035</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MG 157</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>70.12</td>
<td>1.032</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Within rotor variation. Within rotor variation for 8 participants (4 males and 4 females) with a range of HDL patterns and total-HDL concentrations.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plasma Lipid/apoprotein</th>
<th>Pearson correlation [r]</th>
<th>Pearson correlation index [p]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>with % AUC HDL$_2$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-CHOL (mmol/L)</td>
<td>-0.41</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAG (mmol/L)</td>
<td>-0.348</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL-C (mmol/L)</td>
<td>0.571</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL-C (mmol/L)</td>
<td>-0.149</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apo A1 (g/L)</td>
<td>0.328</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2 Correlation of % AUC HDL$_2$ with plasma lipids and apo A-I.** Plasma lipids and lipoproteins for 548 participants were correlated with % HDL$_2$ measured by IxDGUC. Significant correlations were observed for TAG, HDL-C, LDL-C and Apo A1.
Table 3. Mean plasma lipid/lipoprotein concentration according to HDL subclass pattern (1xDGUC % HDL2). Samples were grouped into three clusters according to %HDL2, significant differences were observed between groups for TG, total HDL-C, LDL-C and apo A1. The mean values for T-CHOL, TAG, HDL-C, LDL-C and Apo A1 are presented for each of the HDL subclass patterns.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lipid/apoprotein</th>
<th>Pattern I</th>
<th>Pattern II</th>
<th>Pattern III</th>
<th>One way</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;60%</td>
<td>40-60%</td>
<td>&lt;40%</td>
<td>ANOVA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N= 105</td>
<td>N=161</td>
<td>N=282</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T-CHOL</td>
<td>5.63</td>
<td>5.60</td>
<td>5.62</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(mmol/L)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAG (mmol/L)</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL-C (mmol/L)</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL-C (mmol/L)</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>3.54</td>
<td>3.63</td>
<td>0.034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apo A1 (g/L)</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>