The role of short-chain fatty acids in the interplay between diet, gut microbiota and host energy metabolism
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Abstract

Short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs), the end products of fermentation of dietary fibers by the anaerobic intestinal microbiota, have been shown to exert multiple beneficial effects on mammalian energy metabolism. The mechanisms underlying these effects are subject of intensive research and encompass the complex interplay between diet, gut microbiota and host energy metabolism. This review summarizes the role of SCFAs in host energy metabolism, starting from the production by the gut microbiota, the uptake by the host and ending with the effects on host metabolism. There are interesting leads on the underlying molecular mechanisms, but also many apparently contradictory results. A coherent understanding of the multilevel network in which SCFAs exert their effects, is hampered by the lack of quantitative data on actual fluxes of SCFAs and metabolic processes regulated by SCFAs. In this review we address questions that, when answered, will bring us a great step forward to elucidate the role of SCFAs in mammalian energy metabolism.
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Introduction

The decrease in physical exercise and increase in energy intake, especially seen in the Western world, disrupts the energy balance in humans and can lead to a complex of symptoms collectively denoted as the metabolic syndrome. The key characteristics of the metabolic syndrome are obesity, loss of glycemic control, dyslipidemia and hypertension (1). Due to the complex, multifactorial etiology of the metabolic syndrome the exact mechanisms underlying the different comorbidities are not yet completely known. Recently, dietary fibers have raised much interest, as they exert beneficial effects on body weight, food intake, glucose homeostasis, and insulin sensitivity (2-4). Epidemiological studies show an association between a higher fiber intake and a reduced risk of irritable bowel syndrome, inflammatory bowel disease, cardiovascular disease, diabetes, and colon cancer (1).

Humans lack the enzymes to degrade the bulk of dietary fibers. Therefore these non-digestable carbohydrates pass the upper gastro-intestinal tract unaffected and are fermented in the cecum and the large intestine by the anaerobic cecal and colonic microbiota. Fermentation results in multiple groups of metabolites (elegantly reviewed by Nicholson et al. (5)) of which short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs) are the major group (6). To the microbial community SCFAs are a necessary waste product, required to balance redox equivalent production in the anaerobic environment of the gut (7). SCFAs are saturated aliphatic organic acids that consist of 1-6 carbons of which acetate (C2), propionate (C3) and butyrate (C4) are the most abundant (≥95%) (8). Acetate, propionate and butyrate are present in an approximate molar ratio of 60 : 20 : 20 in the colon and stool (9-11). Depending on the diet, the total concentration of SCFAs decreases from 70-140 mM in the proximal colon to 20-70 mM in the distal colon (12). A unique series of measurements in sudden-death victims (n = 6) showed that the acetate : propionate : butyrate ratio in humans was similar in the proximal and distal regions of the large intestine (11). In the cecum and large intestine, 95% of the
produced SCFAs are rapidly absorbed by the colonocytes while the remaining 5% is secreted in the feces (12-15).

In the last decades it became apparent that SCFAs might play a key role in the prevention and treatment of the metabolic syndrome, bowel disorders and certain types of cancer (16-22). In clinical studies SCFA administration positively influenced the treatment of ulcerative colitis, Crohn’s disease and antibiotic-associated diarrhea (10,23-27). The molecular mechanisms by which SCFAs induce these effects are an active field of research. In this review we will discuss the role of SCFAs in the interplay between diet, gut microbiota and regulation of host energy metabolism. We will argue that an integrated understanding will require more quantitative data on the SCFA flux across the intestinal wall and the impact this has on host metabolism.

The diet

Gut bacteria in the cecum and large intestine produce SCFAs mainly from non-digestible carbohydrates that pass the small intestine unaffected. The different types and amounts of non-digestible carbohydrates that reach the cecum and large intestine depend on the daily intake and type of food. The major components of fiber that pass the upper gut are plant cell-wall polysaccharides, oligosaccharides and resistant starches (28). The average human diet in Western societies contains approximately 20-25 g fiber/day (29). In diets that are high in fruit and vegetables, the fiber content may reach 60 g/day (30). Fermentation of the carbohydrates reaching the cecum yield 400-600 mmol SCFAs/day, which amounts to a production of SCFAs of 0.24-0.38 kg body weight\(^{-1}\) h\(^{-1}\), equivalent to ~10% of the human caloric requirements (31).

The amount and type of fiber consumed has dramatic effects on the composition of the intestinal microbiota and consequently on the type and amount of SCFAs produced. For the host the in vivo SCFA production rates as well as the intestinal SCFA concentrations on different fibers are most relevant. As we discuss in the next sections information on the cecal SCFA content is
available in model organisms but there is limited information about in vivo production rates. In contrast, in humans measurement of the cecal SCFA concentration is almost impossible and in most cases conclusions about cecal and colonic metabolism are deduced from fecal content and in vitro studies.

Concentrations of short-chain fatty acids as a function of diet

In Table 1 we list the effect of fibers on intestinal SCFA concentrations in various studies. Although units and information on dietary composition differ, this overview allows a number of conclusions. In rat, the addition of fiber resulted in increased cecal SCFA concentrations compared to control diets. The cecal concentrations depend on the type of fiber used but also on the daily fiber intake. There is no linear correlation between fiber intake and SCFA concentration in the cecum (32). Cecal SCFA concentrations increased when 10% of dietary wheat starch was replaced by inulin, but decreased again when fiber content was increased to 20% inulin (Table 1). In contrast to other fibers, inulin shifted the relative production of SCFAs from acetate to propionate and butyrate (32,33). In pigs, compared to rats a better model for the human gastrointestinal tract, the increase in daily fiber intake is less reflected in the cecal SCFA concentrations (Table 1) (34,35). However, in studies with pigs, the increase in daily fiber intake per kg body weight is much less compared to therat studies described above. Indeed, by increasing the daily fiber intake even more the cecal SCFA concentrations were also increased in pigs (Table 1) (36,37).

In humans the effect of dietary fiber intake has been studied mainly by measuring the SCFA concentrations in feces followed by calculating the total rate of SCFA excretion. Fecal secretion rates for SCFA are in the range of 10-30 mmol/day for diets with high fiber content compared to 5-15 mmol/day for control diets (38-42). In most studies, acetate is the predominant SCFA in the feces, followed by propionate and butyrate. It is important to note that fecal SCFA concentrations do not reflect their concentration and production rate in the intestine as most SCFAs
are taken up by the host therefore fecal SCFA excretion provides little information about actual intestinal SCFA metabolism.

Production fluxes of short-chain fatty acids

Since SCFA production is difficult to measure in vivo, most experiments have been done in vitro with intestinal or fecal microbiota as inoculum (Table 2). In vitro fermentation, however, differs from the in vivo situation, since (i) during isolation of microbiota the diversity alters dramatically and (ii) products accumulate during fermentation.

Using microbiota obtained from pig intestine, studies showed pronounced differences in SCFA production rates from different fibers (Table 2) (43,44). In addition, in vitro SCFA production by inocula derived from swine ileum were higher when the swine were put on galactooligosaccharide diets for six weeks compared to production rates before adaptation (Table 2) (44). Studies using human feces as inoculum show less pronounced effects of fiber type (45,46). It is unclear if this is due to the type of fiber or the origin of the microbiota. Titration with lactulose yielded an optimum SCFA production rate at 7.5 mg/ml (Table 2), reminiscent of the in vivo effect of inulin supply on the cecal SCFA concentrations (Table 1).

As far as we know, Bergman et al. (47) performed the most accurate in vivo determination of SCFA production rates. In three separate experiments they infused radiolabeled acetate, propionate or butyrate into the rumen of continuously dried grass fed sheep. Combining the data of the radioactivity of the SCFAs in the rumen they found production rates of 2.9, 0.8 and 0.5 mmol kg body weight\(^{-1}\) h\(^{-1}\) for acetate, propionate and butyrate, respectively (Table 2). These values can not be translated to humans, since fiber fermentation in ruminants has a more prominent role than it has in non-ruminants (31), yet this methodology is well suited to be applied more widely in laboratory animals. Alternative techniques to measure intestinal SCFA fluxes are indirect and subject to controversy. In these studies isotope dilution of intravenously infused \(^{13}\)C-labeled
SCFAs was monitored (48,49). The obtained values reflect the rate of appearance of SCFAs in the peripheral circulation, after first-pass extraction by the splanchnic bed, and thereby underestimate SCFA production by gut microbiota. Pouteau et al. (48) performed whole body stable-isotope-dilution studies in fasted humans before and after giving them 20 gram of pure lactulose. From the difference in whole-body production between both situations they estimated the colonic acetate production rate to be 0.2 mmol kg body weight\(^{-1}\) h\(^{-1}\). Isotope studies in children who are unable to metabolize propionate due to a nonfunctional propionyl-CoA carboxylase, showed that gut microbiota produce approximately 0.05 mmol kg body weight\(^{-1}\) h\(^{-1}\) propionate (50). This estimated propionate production rate is 4-fold lower than the reported acetate production rate. Although we are aware that these studies cannot be compared directly, we note that this ratio is similar to the average acetate : propionate ratio of 3 in cecal concentration (Table 1).

**The production of SCFAs by gut microbiota**

Changes in dietary fibers drive changes in the composition of gut microbiota. Although diet is a major determinant of the colonic microbiome, also the host genetic background and the colonic milieu exert a strong influence on the microbial composition in the large intestine (51-54). The microbial activity in turn also affects the colonic milieu. Together, this causes a strong variation of the microbial population between individuals. In this section we will discuss this variation, the mechanisms of microbial SCFA production and the interaction between microbial composition, microbial SCFA production and the colonic milieu.

**The composition of gut microbiota**

Infants are born without gut microbiota, but rapidly after birth the infant’s gut is conventionalized by bacteria coming from the mother and the surrounding environment. The composition of the microbiota stays unstable until the age of approximately 3-4 years, when it
becomes mature. Colonization of the gut has two major benefits. First, the microbiota educate the
immune system and increase the tolerance to microbial immunodeterminants (55). Second, the
microbiota act as a metabolic organ that can break down otherwise indigestible food components,
degrade potentially toxic food compounds like oxalate, and synthesize certain vitamins and amino
acids (55). Each individual has a unique microbiome of which the composition is influenced by the
host genotype and physiology, the colonization history, environmental factors, food and drugs (e.g.
antibiotics) (56). A recent metabolic reconstruction based on the data of the Human Microbiome
Consortium clearly showed, however, that metabolic functionality was rather constant over a group
of studied individuals since many biochemical pathways are redundant between alternative
members of the microbiome (57).

Bacteria constitute with $10^{14}$ citizens the most dominant and most diverse group of
microorganisms present in the human colon. Based on variation in 16S ribosomal RNA genes, it
was assessed that there may be between 500-1000 different species present, which belong to more
than 70 genera (55). The three phyla Bacteroidetes (Gram-negative), Firmicutes (Gram-postive),
and Actinobacteria (Gram-positive), are the most abundant in the intestine. The Bacteroidetes
phylum mainly produces acetate and propionate, whereas the Firmicutes phylum has butyrate as its
primary metabolic end product (58). Most bacterial activity occurs in the proximal colon where
substrate availability is highest. Towards the distal colon, the availability of substrate declines and
the extraction of free water reduces diffusion of substrates and microbial products. This makes the
proximal part of the colon the principal site of fermentation. Particularly non-digestable
carbohydrates are fermented in the proximal colon by saccharolytic bacteria, mainly primary
fermenters like Bacteroidetes. This fermentation results in SCFAs together with the gases CO$_2$ and
H$_2$ (12). The Bacteroidetes are part of a community, stabilized by mutual cross feeding, where other
members of the community consume these gasses. For instance Archaea produce CH$_4$ from CO$_2$
and H$_2$, while acetogens convert CO$_2$ into acetate.
Nitrogen is essential for bacterial growth and the hydrolysis of host-derived urea to NH$_3$ is a major nitrogen source. Almost 50% of the urea produced by the host is hydrolysed in the lumen of the large intestine (59). Fermentation of bacterial proteins and amino acids derived from primary fermenters like *Bacteroidetes* occurs in the more distal part of the colon by secondary fermenters: the proteolytic bacteria. Degradation of proteins and amino acids results in branched-chain fatty acids, accompanied by potentially toxic metabolites such as amines, phenolic compounds, and volatile sulfur compounds (60).

*The bacterial pathways of anaerobic SCFA production*

The microbiota hydrolyse non-digestible carbohydrates into oligosaccharides and then monosaccharides, which they ferment in the anaerobic environment of the gut. Major bacterial metabolic routes are the Embden-Meyerhof-Parnas pathway (glycolysis, for six-carbon sugars) and the pentose-phosphate pathway (for five-carbon sugars), which convert monosaccharides into phosphoenolpyruvate (PEP) (61). Subsequently, PEP is converted into fermentation products such as organics acids or alcohols.

At the level of glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) the electron carrier NADH is formed. Anaerobially, there are three types of pathways to get rid of excess reducing equivalents (Figure 1A). The first is the classical fermentation pathway where pyruvate is reduced to *e.g.* lactate or ethanol thereby oxidizing NADH. Secondly, many primary fermenters sink their excess of reducing equivalents into molecular H$_2$ (62). Two major routes are used to generate H$_2$: (1) an exergonic ($\Delta G^o_0' < 0$) route via pyruvate:ferredoxin oxidoreductase and ferredoxin hydrogenase and (2) an endergonic ($\Delta G^o_0' > 0$) route via NADH:ferredoxin oxidoreductase and ferredoxin hydrogenase. The latter proceeds only at a low H$_2$ pressure in the lumen of the large intestine. Consequently, H$_2$-consuming bacteria drive the metabolism of primary fermenters by depleting H$_2$ (58). The third type of pathway is a primitive anaerobic electron transport chain
It starts with the carboxylation of PEP and the resulting oxaloacetate is reduced to fumarate. Subsequently fumarate accepts electrons from NADH via a simple electron-transfer chain between NADH and fumarate. Two enzymes constitute this chain: NADH dehydrogenase and fumarate reductase. Protons are transported across the cell membrane by the NADH dehydrogenase, which are then used for chemiosmotic ATP synthesis. When the partial pressure of CO$_2$ is low, succinate, the product of fumarate reductase is converted into methylmalonate, which is cleaved into propionate and CO$_2$. The latter can be recycled into PEP via carboxylation to form oxaloacetate.

Major end products of the described fermentation pathways are the SCFAs. A major part of the pyruvate is converted to acetyl-CoA with the concomitant formation of H$_2$ and CO$_2$. Acetate is either formed by hydrolysis of acetyl-CoA or from CO$_2$ via the Wood-Ljungdahl pathway (Figure 1B), in which CO$_2$ is reduced to CO and converted with a methyl group and CoASH to acetyl-CoA (65,66). Propionate can be formed via the primitive electron transfer chain using phosphoenolpyruvate as described above or by the reduction of lactate to propionate, the latter being called the acrylate pathway (61). Both pathways reduce additional NADH compared to the fermentation to lactate (Figure 1B). Formation of butyrate starts from condensation of two molecules of acetyl-CoA and subsequent reduction to butyryl-CoA (Figure 1C). Lactate-utilizing bacteria can produce butyrate by first producing acetyl-CoA from lactate (67). In the so-called classical pathway the enzymes phosphotransbutyrylase and butyrate kinase convert butyryl-CoA to butyrate and CoASH with the concomitant formation of ATP (68). However, recently an alternative pathway was discovered in which butyryl-CoA is converted by butyryl-CoA:acetate CoA-transferase to butyrate (69). The conversion utilizes exogenously derived acetate and generates butyrate and acetyl-CoA. This finding was supported by labeling studies, which showed that there was cross-feeding between acetate-producing and butyrate-producing bacteria (70,71). The alternative pathway appears to dominate over the classical pathway in human gut microbiota (69).
For the production of SCFAs it is important that the gut microbiota works as a community, but also that the gut microbiota has symbiotic associations with the host. The molecular H$_2$ that is produced during acetate formation must be used by other bacteria in the community to avoid accumulation of H$_2$ which would inhibit the ability of primary fermenters to oxidize NADH. The CO$_2$ that is needed in the primitive electron transfer chain is partly provided by the host. Humans produce on the average about 0.7 kg of CO$_2$ per day (72). Part of that production is excreted into the lumen of the gut as HCO$_3^-$ in exchange for SCFA anions (see below and Figure 2). Most likely this is an important pH regulatory mechanism since protons in the lumen of the gut, formed during the production of SCFAs are neutralized by bicarbonate under the formation of CO$_2$.

Although much is known about the biochemistry of the conversion of carbohydrates into SCFAs by the bacteria composing the microbial community, there is paucity of data on the production rates of SCFAs by the gut microbial community as a whole. This is largely due to the inability to sample the large intestine of man. Therefore, and as discussed in the previous section, the supply rate of SCFAs to the host remains enigmatic. There is a pressing need of measurement of true production rates of SCFAs, and the degree by which specific carbohydrates and microbiota influence mass and composition of SCFAs.

_The mutual relationship between microbial composition, microbial SCFA production and the colonic milieu_

The diet and the intestinal milieu interact in a complex way with the bacterial population in the gut. Fibers that lead to high amounts of SCFAs, lower the pH in the colon, which in turn affects the composition of the colonic microbiota and thereby the SCFA production.

Since most SCFAs are absorbed by the host in exchange for bicarbonate, the luminal pH is the result of the microbial SCFA production and the neutralizing capacity of bicarbonate. As the concentration of SCFAs decline from the proximal to the distal colon, the pH increases from cecum to
to rectum (11,73-75). The drop in pH from the ileum to the cecum due to the higher SCFA concentrations has two effects. First, both in vitro and animal studies showed that lower pH values change gut microbiota composition and secondly, it prevents overgrowth by pH-sensitive pathogenic bacteria like enterobacteriaceae and clostridia (51,52,54). Studies of human fecal microbial communities showed that at pH 5.5 the butyrate-producing bacteria such as Roseburia spp. and Faecalibacterium prausnitzii, both belonging to the Firmicutes phylum, comprised 20% of total population (53). When fermentable dietary fibers become limiting in the more distal parts of the large intestine, the luminal pH increases to 6.5 and the butyrate-producing bacteria almost completely disappear and the acetate- and propionate-producing Bacteroides-related bacteria become dominant (53).

The interplay between diet, gut microbiota and SCFA production was also found in rats fed different fibers. The cecum content differed in SCFA concentration, pH and microbiota composition in the different fiber groups (76). After 14 days of diet the rats fed oligosaccharide-containing diets showed higher cecal SCFA pools while pH was lower compared to control or cellulose diets. The oligosaccharide-containing diets resulted also in altered microbiota compositions as cecal bifidobacteria and total amounts of anaerobes were higher whereas total aerobes were lower compared to rats fed the control diet. In addition, in vitro SCFA production rates from swine ileum were higher when the swine were put on galactooligosaccharide diets for six weeks compared to production rates before adaptation (Table 2) (44). Microbiota analysis showed that fecal bifidobacteria and lactobacilli were increased after adaptation. The changes in the intestinal lumen pH also affects the transport of SCFAs from the lumen to the colonocytes (8), which we will discuss more extensively below in the section about SCFA metabolism by the host.

**The effects of SCFAs on host metabolism**
SCFAs produced by the microbiota in the cecum and the colon can be found in hepatic, portal and peripheral blood (11,77). These SCFAs affect lipid, glucose and cholesterol metabolism in various tissues (17,78-80). These results indicate that SCFAs are transported from the intestinal lumen into the blood compartment of the host and are taken up by organs where they act as substrates or signal molecules. SCFA transport has been studied mostly in colonocytes, the first host cells that take up SCFAs and which depend largely on butyrate for their energy supply (10). SCFA receptors constitute a new and rapidly growing field of research as more functions of these receptors are discovered (81-83). In this section we will discuss the transport and metabolism of SCFA by the host as well as their regulatory role in energy metabolism of the host.

Transport of short-chain fatty acids across host cell membranes

Most SCFAs transport studies have been performed in colonocytes, which form the cecal and colonic epithelium and are exposed to the highest SCFA concentrations. SCFAs are transported across the apical and the basolateral membranes of colonocytes (Figure 2). For the apical uptake of SCFAs two mechanisms have been proposed, namely passive diffusion of undissociated SCFAs and active transport of dissociated SCFA anions mediated by a number of different transporters. With a pKₐ of ~4.8 and at a luminal pH around 6.0 (pH 5.5-6.5) only a very small part of SCFAs is present in the undissociated form (84). Thus it is unlikely that passive diffusion of the undissociated form plays a major role.

Three mechanisms have been described for the transport of the SCFA anions across the apical membrane of the epithelial cells lining the gut. The first type of transporter couples the import of SCFA anions to HCO₃⁻ secretion into the intestinal lumen (Figure 2). Conclusive evidence for the existence of SCFA-HCO₃⁻ exchange was obtained from vesicle studies in which it was shown that SCFA-HCO₃⁻ exchange was independent of Cl⁻-HCO₃⁻ exchange and Na⁺ transport (85-88). The identity of the transporter, however, remains unknown. Secondly, members of the
family of monocarboxylate transporters (MCT) catalyse SCFA anion cotransport with cations (85,89). MCT1 (SLC16A1) was found in the apical membranes of enterocytes and it transports SCFAs in an $H^+$-dependent, electroneutral manner but it can also transport lactate and pyruvate (Figure 2) (90). Finally, the electrogenic, sodium-dependent monocarboxylate transporter (SMCT) 1 (SLC5A8) is expressed along the entire length of the large intestine and is located in the apical membrane (91). SCFA anion transport by SMCT1 is coupled to $Na^+$ transport in a 1:2 stoichiometry and stimulates $Cl^-$ and water absorption (Figure 2) (92). SMCT1 transports butyrate faster than propionate and acetate. Recently, Gonçalves et al. (93) showed that SCFA anion transport by MCT1 dominates over transport by SMCT1. An increased transport of SCFA anions across the apical membrane enhances the activity and expression of NHE3, an apical $Na^+/H^+$ exchanger, and thereby stimulates sodium and water absorption as well as deacidification of the cell (94).

The part of SCFAs that is not consumed by the colonocytes is transported across the basolateral membrane. SCFA anion transport across the basolateral membrane is probably mediated via SCFA$^-$-HCO$_3^-$ antiport and cation-SCFA anion symport (Figure 2). The basolateral SCFA$^-$-HCO$_3^-$ antiporter is distinct from the apical SCFA$^-$-HCO$_3^-$ antiporter as indicated by their different $K_m$ values for butyrate, which are 1.5 mM and 17.5 mM for the apical and the basolateral exchanger, respectively (87,95). Immunoblotting revealed that MCT4 (SLC16A3) and MCT5 (SLC16A4) were localized to the basolateral membrane (96). MCT4 transports SCFA anions in an $H^+$-dependent electroneutral manner but has a lower substrate affinity compared to MCT1 (97). Whether MCT5 is capable of transporting SCFAs is not known, as MCT5 has not been functionally characterized yet. Because the intracellular pH is higher than the pH in the intestinal lumen, all intracellular SCFAs are present in the dissociated form, implying that transport at the basolateral side should be via transporters only since no diffusion can occur.

The transporters for the uptake of SCFAs from the blood into the tissues remain largely unknown. Recently, the organic anion transporters OAT2 and OAT7 were found to transport
propionate and butyrate, respectively, across the sinusoidal membrane of hepatocytes (98,99). For a better understanding of the role of SCFAs in various tissues, the uptake by the different organs should be investigated further.

**Short-chain fatty acids as a source of energy**

When taken up, a large part of the SCFAs is used as a source of energy. In humans, SCFAs provide ~10% of the daily caloric requirements (31). CO$_2$ production measurements in isolated colonocytes showed that colonocytes derive 60-70% of their energy supply from SCFA oxidation (100). The general idea is that colonocytes prefer butyrate to acetate and propionate and oxidize it to ketone bodies and CO$_2$ (100). This is based on the relatively high affinity of the colonocytes for butyrate. However, isolated colonocytes from humans and rats showed a maximum flux of 0.6, 0.2 and 0.4 µmol/min/g cell weight and a $K_{0.5}$ of approximately 0.6, 0.4 and 0.1 mM for acetate, propionate and butyrate, respectively (101,102). This indicates that under physiological conditions, with a relative high colonic concentration of acetate compared to butyrate, acetate is at least as important as butyrate for the energy supply in colonocytes. In sudden death victims ($n = 6$), molar fractions of SCFAs in the hepatic portal vein were found to be 69: 23: 8 for acetate: propionate: butyrate, as compared to 57: 22: 21 in the large intestine (11). This is generally attributed to consumption of a large part of butyrate by colonocytes. Donohoe *et al.* (18) showed that colonocytes of germ-free mice exhibit a deficit in mitochondrial respiration and undergo autophagy. By introducing the butyrate producing strain *Butyrivibrio fibrisolvens* into germ-free mice or by adding butyrate to isolated colonocytes of germ-free mice they rescued the colonocytes from both the deficit in mitochondrial respiration and from autophagy. In the presence of an inhibitor for fatty acid oxidation butyrate was unable to suppress autophagy. From this it was concluded that the rescue was due to butyrate acting as an energy source rather than as a regulator.
Exogenous acetate formed by colonic bacterial fermentation enters the blood compartment and is mixed with endogenous acetate released by tissues and organs (103,104). Up to 70% of the acetate is taken up by the liver (105) where it is not only used as an energy source, but also as a substrate for the synthesis of cholesterol, long-chain fatty acids and as a co-substrate for glutamine and glutamate synthesis. Other tissues including heart, adipose tissue, kidney and muscle metabolize the remainder of acetate (104).

To prevent high SCFA concentrations in blood, the liver clears the major part of propionate and butyrate from the portal circulation (105). Propionate acts as a precursor for gluconeogenesis in the liver (6). In ruminants, with isotope dilution techniques the contribution of propionate to glucose synthesis was calculated to vary between 45 and 60% (106). It is unclear if this is similar in non-ruminants, because ruminants depend for 80% of their maintenance energy on SCFAs (31). After conversion of propionate into propionyl-CoA by propanoate:CoA ligase (AMP-forming), propionyl-CoA is converted to succinyl-CoA in three consecutive steps catalyzed by propionyl-CoA carboxylase, methylmalonyl-CoA epimerase and methylmalonyl-CoA mutase. Succinyl-CoA enters the tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle and is converted to oxaloacetate, the precursor of gluconeogenesis (107). In humans the extent to which propionate contributes to energy metabolism is unknown due to the lack of data on true production rates of propionate. Concentrations of propionate in portal blood and hepatic venous blood suggest that around 30% of propionate is taken up by the liver (11,105). Peripheral tissues take up the remainder of propionate because peripheral venous blood levels were 23% lower compared to hepatic venous blood levels. In another study it was estimated that humans use 50% of the propionate as a substrate for hepatic gluconeogenesis (108). The general view is that the liver clears a large fraction of propionate from the portal circulation but absolute values are still unknown.

As discussed above, the major part of butyrate is used as fuel for colonocytes. The remainder is mostly oxidized by hepatocytes, which prevents toxic systemic concentrations (107).
Receptors of short-chain fatty acids

Next to the role as substrates, SCFA concentrations are also sensed by specific G protein-coupled receptors (GPRs), which are involved in the regulation of lipid and glucose metabolism. GPR41 and GPR43 were identified as SCFA receptors (109,110) and two other GPRs of the same subfamily, GPR40 and GPR42, were found to be receptors for medium- and long-chain fatty acids and an open reading frame pseudogene of GPR41, respectively (81). After the discovery of the SCFA receptors, GPR41 was renamed free fatty acid receptor 3 (Ffar3) and GPR43 became Ffar2. Ffar2 and Ffar3 only share 33% amino acid identity and they differ in affinity for SCFAs, tissue distribution and physiological roles. The distinct chain length:activity relationship for Ffar2 is acetate = propionate > butyrate and for Ffar3 butyrate = propionate > acetate (109,110). The two receptors have distinct G protein-coupling specificities: Ffar2 couples to both pertussis toxin-sensitive (G_{i/o}) and -insensitive (G_{q}) G proteins and Ffar3 only to G_{i/o} proteins (109,110). Nilsson et al. (111) showed by a [Ca^{2+}] mobilization assay in the presence of pertussis toxin that Ffar2 signals approximately for 70% through the G_{i/o} pathway.

The highest mRNA expression of Ffar2 was found in immune cells such as monocytes, B-lymphocytes, and polymorphonuclear cells (109-111). In addition, considerable mRNA expression was found in white and brown adipose tissue, bone marrow, spleen, pancreas and large intestine (112). Ffar3 has a more widespread expression pattern than Ffar2, with the highest expression in adipose tissue. High mRNA expression was also found in the spleen, pancreas, lymph nodes, bone marrow and polymorphonuclear cells (109-111). It is not known whether Ffar2 and Ffar3 reside on apical or basolateral membranes.

As discussed below, SCFA-Ffar pathways turn out to be involved in regulation of lipid and glucose metabolism (113-120).

Regulation of fatty acid metabolism by short-chain fatty acids
SCFAs regulate the balance between fatty acid synthesis, fatty-acid oxidation and lipolysis in the body. Fatty acid oxidation is activated by SCFAs, while \textit{de novo} synthesis and lipolysis are inhibited. The net result is a reduction of the concentrations of free fatty acids in plasma (114) and a decrease in body weight (17,121-124). In this section we discuss the signaling pathways that mediate this regulation. Besides the receptors Ffar2 and Ffar3 that we discussed above, AMP-activated protein kinase plays an important role in this regulation, as summarized in Figure 3.

SCFAs have been shown to increase the AMPK activity in liver and muscle tissue (17,121,125). Activation of AMPK triggers PPAR\(\gamma\) coactivator (PGC)-1\(\alpha\) expression which is known to control the transcriptional activity of several transcription factors such as PPAR\(\alpha\), PPAR\(\delta\), PPAR\(\gamma\), LXR and FXR, all important in regulation of cholesterol, lipid and glucose metabolism (126,127). As a consequence, fatty acid oxidation is enhanced in both tissues and \textit{de novo} fatty acid synthesis in the liver is decreased. In addition, SCFAs have been shown to increase PGC-1\(\alpha\) and uncoupling protein (UCP) -1 protein expression in brown adipose tissue, thereby increasing thermogenesis and fatty acid oxidation (17). If AMPK is involved in this effect of SCFAs, however, is still unknown. SCFAs activate AMPK either directly by increasing the AMP/ATP ratio or indirectly via the Ffar2-leptin pathway. \textit{In vitro} studies showed that SCFAs increased the AMP/ATP ratio and AMPK activity in both muscle and liver cells in a leptin-independent manner (17,123), but the mechanism is still unknown. \textit{In vitro} and \textit{in vivo} experiments showed that SCFAs increase leptin expression via a Ffar2-dependent pathway (113,118,119). Leptin, an adipokine that regulates energy expenditure and food intake, stimulates fatty acid oxidation by increasing the AMP/ATP ratio and AMPK activity in both muscle and liver cells in a leptin-independent manner (128,129). To what extent the AMPK-activated effect of SCFAs \textit{in vivo} is regulated via leptin or via the leptin-independent mechanism is still unknown.

Hepatic fatty acid lipolysis seems to be unaffected by SCFAs but lipolysis in adipose tissue is strongly reduced by SCFAs (113,114,121,130). In isolated adipocytes acetate and
Propionate were found to inhibit lipolysis via Ffar2 activation (113, 114). A reduction of lipolysis is consistent with data from human studies where intravenous administration of acetate and propionate reduced plasma free fatty acids and glycerol (131, 132). Ffar2 mediated inhibition of lipolysis is most likely through inactivation of the hormone sensitive lipase, which hydrolyzes triglycerides and is one of the key molecules controlling lipolysis in adipose tissue (133). Binding of SCFAs to Ffar2 leads to the dissociation and thereby activation of the G_{i/o} protein. G_{i/o} protein inhibits adenylate cyclase and thereby reduces the production of cAMP from ATP, which subsequently decreases the activity of protein kinase A (PKA) (134). A decrease of PKA activity leads to dephosphorylation and deactivation of hormone-sensitive lipase (HSL) in adipose tissue (133). Consistently, administration of high-resistant starch to humans resulted in higher plasma SCFA concentrations and lower hormone-sensitive lipase activity in adipose tissue (130).

Ffar2 also plays an important role in storage of fat in white adipose tissue as shown recently by Kimura et al. (135). Ffar2-deficient mice are obese on a normal diet, whereas mice overexpressing Ffar2 specifically in adipose tissue are protected against dietary-induced obesity. The authors concluded that SCFA activation of adipose specific Ffar2 suppresses insulin signaling by inhibition of Akt phosphorylation, which inhibits fat storage in adipose tissue and promotes the metabolism of lipids and glucose in other tissues. Indeed, liver triglycerides were decreased and mRNA levels of genes involved in fatty acid oxidation in muscle tissue were increased in mice overexpressing adipose-specific Ffar2 compared to wild-type controls. In addition, total body energy expenditure was also increased together with a decreased RER value, indicating increased fatty acid oxidation. Unfortunately, no data was provided on AMP/ATP ratio, AMPK activity and actual organ-specific fatty acid oxidation fluxes. Surprisingly, plasma leptin levels in adult mice overexpressing adipose-specific Ffar2 were lower than in wild-type mice. Although SCFAs have been shown to increase leptin expression via a Ffar2-dependent pathway, it is possible that the decreased leptin levels are simply a result of decreased adipose tissue (136).
In conclusion, it has been shown convincingly that the prevention of dietary-induced obesity by SCFA can be attributed to an increase of fatty acid oxidation in multiple tissues and a decrease of fat storage in white adipose tissue. However, our understanding of the molecular mechanisms is still incomplete.

*Regulation of glucose metabolism by short-chain fatty acids*

The scarce data available on the effect of SCFAs on glucose metabolism reveal a decrease of plasma glucose levels possibly via multiple mechanisms. The plasma glucose level is determined by uptake via the food, gluconeogenesis, and uptake by multiple organs. Again, the Ffar receptors and AMPK are involved in transduction of the effects of SCFAs (Figure 4). In addition, the gut hormones peptide YY (PYY) and glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1) play an important role in the communication between tissues.

Oral administration of acetate and propionate reduced glycemia in diabetic hyperglycemic KK-A(y) mice and normal rats (137, 138). There is indirect evidence for a reduced gluconeogenesis by the liver. Activation of the hepatic AMPK pathway decreased gene expression of the gluconeogenic enzymes glucose 6-phosphatase (G6Pase) and phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase (PEPCK). Unfortunately, the gluconeogenic flux was not measured but fasting plasma glucose and insulin levels were decreased together with an increased glucose tolerance (137).

SCFAs may also affect plasma glucose levels by increasing the gut hormones PYY and GLP-1 via activation of the receptors Ffar2 and Ffar3. PYY is known as a satiety hormone but it also reinforces the insulin action on glucose disposal in muscle and adipose tissue (139-141). In human and rat colon samples, the SCFA receptors Ffar2 and Ffar3 co-localize with enteroendocrine L-cells containing PYY (142-144). In line, Ffar2 and Ffar3 knockout mice showed reduced colonic PYY expression and whole-body glucose tolerance (145). Intracolonic infusions of SCFAs in rats and pigs increased blood concentrations of PYY but unfortunately no data on glucose metabolism
was reported (146,147). GLP-1 indirectly regulates blood glucose levels by increasing the secretion of insulin and decreasing the secretion of glucagon by the pancreas (148). Intracolonic infusions of SCFAs and intake of fibers both increased plasma GLP-1 concentrations and glucose uptake by adipose tissue (147,149-151). In addition, mice lacking Ffar2 or Ffar3 exhibited reduced SCFA-triggered GLP-1 secretion in vitro and in vivo and a parallel impairment of glucose tolerance (145).

In summary, SCFAs seem to beneficially affect glucose metabolism by normalizing plasma glucose levels and increasing glucose handling. To what extent these effects occur directly via a hepatic AMPK regulation pathway or indirectly via the gut derived hormones PYY and GLP-1 is not clear.

*Regulation of cholesterol metabolism by short-chain fatty acids*

SCFAs have been shown to reduce plasma concentrations of cholesterol in rodents and humans (78,79,124). Cholesterol is synthesized from its precursor unit acetyl-CoA via a complex metabolic pathway in which 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-CoA reductase is the rate-limiting enzyme (152).

*In vitro* studies showed that propionate lowered cholesterol synthesis rate by decreasing the enzyme activity of hepatic 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-CoA synthase and 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-CoA reductase (152,153). In addition, *in vivo* experiments using $^3$H$_2$O as a tracer showed that total cholesterol synthesis rate was decreased in rat livers upon addition of dietary propionate (154,155). The role of acetate in cholesterol homeostasis has received less attention, but Fushimi *et al.* (78) showed that serum cholesterol levels are affected by acetate. Rats receiving a diet containing 1% (w/w) cholesterol showed significantly less increased serum cholesterol levels when the diet was supplemented with 0.3% (w/w) acetate. In the liver, the protein concentration of HMGCS was reduced and the mRNA level of cholesterol 7α-hydroxylase (CYP7A1) was increased upon addition of acetate. CYP7A1 is involved in the conversion of cholesterol to bile acid, and acts
as a sink for cholesterol. In line with this observation, acetate supplementation decreased hypercholesterolemia in humans (124).

Since AMPK activation has also been reported to inhibit HMGCR activity and reduce cholesterol levels in isolated rat hepatocytes (156-158), it is not unlikely that the cholesterol-lowering effects described are mediated through AMPK activation by SCFAs, just like the effects of SCFAs on fatty acid and glucose metabolism.

The interplay between gut microbiota, SCFA concentrations and host energy metabolism

The complexity of the interactions between gut microbiota, SCFA concentrations and host energy metabolism is illustrated by contradictory reports in obese and germ-free subjects. Dietary administration of SCFAs protected mice from diet-induced obesity and insulin resistance (17,121,122). Yet, genetically obese ob/ob mice and obese human subjects have increased amounts of cecal and fecal SCFAs (159-161). It is unclear whether the beneficial effect of SCFAs is somehow compromised in obese subjects, or whether the effect is simply not strong enough to compensate for an adverse diet or genetic predisposition.

Another puzzling result is that despite very low SCFA levels germ-free mice and rats are protected from diet-induced obesity (162,163). In this respect it is important to note that the type of microbiota is of key importance. A conventionalization study of adult germ-free mice with microbiota from obese mice (ob/ob) exhibited a significantly greater percentage increase in total body fat than colonization with microbiota from lean (+/+ ) donors (159). The obese animals in this study showed a 50% reduction in relative abundance of the Bacteroidetes (i.e. acetate and propionate producers), whereas the Firmicutes (i.e. butyrate producers) were proportionally increased compared to the lean counterparts. Comparative metagenomic analysis predicted that the microbiota from ob/ob mice had an increased fermentation capacity, which was also shown in increased cecal concentrations of SCFA. From this, the authors hypothesized that the efficiency of energy harvesting from the diet is increased by a change in gut microbiota, which then might lead to
obesity. To test this hypothesis, Murphy et al. (160) fed wild-type mice and *ob/ob* mice either a high-fat or a low-fat diet and investigated the relationship between the microbial composition and energy harvesting capacity (deduced from the cecal SCFA concentrations). The decrease of *Bacteroidetes* and the increase of *Firmicutes* were confirmed, but they did not see a direct association between changes in the microbiota and markers of energy harvesting. This and other studies are, however, limited by a lack of flux data. A priori there is no reason why cecal SCFA concentrations reflect the SCFA flux to the host and thereby the additional energy harvest.

In humans the distinct relation between the *Firmicutes*:*Bacteroidetes* ratio and obesity is less clear. Multiple studies found a higher *Firmicutes*:*Bacteroidetes* ratio and an increased amount of SCFAs in the stool samples of obese people compared to lean people (159,164,165). In contrast, Schwiertz et al. (161) found no changes in *Firmicutes* but an increase in *Bacteroidetes* in obese humans compared to lean humans. In agreement with earlier studies, the latter also reported an increase in total fecal SCFA concentrations in obese humans.

**Outlook**

SCFAs have unambiguously been shown to exert multiple beneficial effects on various aspects of mammalian energy metabolism. An ever increasing detail in our understanding of the underlying molecular mechanisms, however, does not yet allow to understand paradoxical results in physiological studies. Partly this is caused by the lack of human data, since not all results obtained in rodents can be directly translated to humans. More fundamentally, the field is severely hampered by the lack of data on actual fluxes of SCFAs and metabolic processes regulated by SCFAs. Most studies report concentrations of metabolites (fatty acids, glucose, cholesterol, etc.) or transcript levels but these do not necessarily reflect flux changes. A number of questions need to be addressed:
• What are the *in vivo* SCFA production and uptake fluxes under different conditions (*i.e.* with different fibers, with different microbiota or in different disease models)?

• How do these SCFAs then affect glucose and lipid fluxes via their dual role as substrates and regulators?

• Can we quantify the role of different tissues and hormones?

• Does the demand of the host for specific SCFAs drive a change microbial metabolism?

• At which timescales are different, apparently contradictory effects working?

A quantitative and time-resolved approach to the these questions should bring us a great step forward to elucidate the role of SCFAs in mammalian energy metabolism.
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Figure legends

**Figure 1** Schematic overview of the three pathways that gut microbes use to get rid of excess reducing equivalents (A); 1) pyruvate reduced to lactate thereby reducing NADH, 2a) pyruvate:ferredoxin oxidoreductase and hydrogenase or 2b) NADH:ferredoxin oxidoreductase and hydrogenase to sink reducing equivalents into molecular H₂, 3) primitive anaerobic electron transport chain for reducing NADH. (B and C) Schematic overview of the production of acetate, propionate and butyrate from carbohydrates. (B) Acetate is either formed directly from acetyl CoA or via the Wood-Ljungdahl pathway using formate. Propionate can be formed from phosphoenolpyruvate (PEP) through the succinate decarboxylation pathway or through the acrylate pathway in which lactate is reduced to propionate. (C) Condensation of two molecules of acetyl CoA results in butyrate by the enzyme butyrate-kinase or by utilising exogenously derived acetate using the enzyme butyryl-CoA:acetate-CoA-transferase.

**Figure 2** Schematic overview of the proposed transport mechanisms of SCFAs in colonocytes. Across the apical membrane the major part is transported in the dissociated form by an HCO₃⁻ exchanger of unknown identity or by one of the known symporters MCT1 or SMCT1. A small part may be transported via passive diffusion (spiral). The part of SCFAs that is not oxidized by the colonocytes is transported across the basolateral membrane. The basolateral transport can be mediated by an unknown HCO₃⁻ exchanger, MCT4 or MCT5.

**Figure 3** Schematic overview of the proposed mechanisms by which SCFAs increase fatty acid oxidation in liver, muscle and brown adipose tissue. In muscle and liver, SCFAs activates AMPK directly by increasing the AMP/ATP ratio and indirectly via the Ffar2-leptin pathway in white adipose tissue. In white adipose tissue, SCFAs decrease insulin sensitivity via Ffar2 and thereby decrease fat storage. In addition, binding of SCFAs to Ffar2 also leads to the release of the Gαo
protein, subsequent inhibition of adenylate cyclase (AC) and an increase of the ATP/cAMP ratio. This, in turn, leads to the inhibition of protein kinase A (PKA) and subsequent inhibition of hormone-sensitive lipase (HSL), leading to a decreased lipolysis and reduced plasma free fatty acids.

**Figure 4** Schematic overview of the proposed mechanisms by which SCFAs effect glucose metabolism. In the colon SCFAs can increase PYY and GLP-1 expression via Ffar2 and Ffar3. PYY has been shown to increase glucose uptake in muscle and adipose tissue whereas GLP-1 increases insulin and decreases glucagon production in the pancreas. In addition, SCFAs have been shown to decrease hepatic gluconeogenesis by increasing the AMPK activity.
**Table 1** Total and molar percentage SCFAs after dietary intervention with various types of fibers in different organisms.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diet</th>
<th>Daily fiber intake (g/day)</th>
<th>Concentration SCFAs mmol/L</th>
<th>Molar percentage Acetate:Propionate:Butyrate</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rat cecum</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>72:14:14</td>
<td>(76)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cellulose</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>72:14:14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oligofructose</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>71:10:19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fructooligosaccharides</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>74:9:17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xylooligosaccharides</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>76:11:13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>mmol/L</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>65:23:12</td>
<td>(32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5% inulin</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>45:34:21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10% inulin</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>43:37:20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20% inulin</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>40:34:26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>µmol/g</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>77:8:15</td>
<td>(33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cellulose</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>83:6:11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pectin</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>84:11:5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inulin</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>63:18:19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resistant starch</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>76:7:17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barley hulls</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>78:5:17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pig cecum</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mmol/L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food</td>
<td>BGL (mg/dL)</td>
<td>FASB (mg/dL)</td>
<td>Molar Ratio</td>
<td>Reference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White rice (control)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>64:25:11</td>
<td>(34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brown rice</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>66:27:7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rice bran</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>67:25:8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rice bran plus rice oil</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>68:22:10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mmol/L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White rice</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>68:26:6</td>
<td>(35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panboiled brown rice</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>62:32:6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mmol/L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>67:22:11</td>
<td>(37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheat bran</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>60:31:9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oat bran</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>59:32:9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baked beans</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>65:30:5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mmol/L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>73:20:6</td>
<td>(36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resistant starch</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>73:22:5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2 *In vitro* SCFA production rates from different organisms.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>Inoculum</th>
<th>SCFA production rate</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Origin</td>
<td>Acetate</td>
<td>Propionate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cellulose</td>
<td>Pig</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheat bran</td>
<td>colon</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isphagula husk</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gum arabic</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raffinos+Stachyose</td>
<td>Swine</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Oligosaccharides soy solubles</td>
<td>ileum</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soy solubles</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Oligosaccharide tGOS</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transgalactooligosaccharides</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*After 6-wk adaptation*

| Raffinos+Stachyose                 |            | 0.30    | 0.20       | 0.07     | 0.57        |
| Non-Oligosaccharides soy solubles  |            | 0.17    | 0.07       | 0.03     | 0.25        |
| Soy solubles                       |            | 0.12    | 0.05       | 0.02     | 0.18        |
| Non-Oligosaccharide tGOS           |            | 0.18    | 0.13       | 0.03     | 0.35        |
| Transgalactooligosaccharides       |            | 0.07    | 0.05       | 0.02     | 0.13        |

<p>| Glucose                            | Human      | 21.2    | 5.0        | 2.2      | 28.3        | (45) |
| Soy oligosaccharide                | feces      | 12.3    | 6.6        | 5.8      | 24.6        |
| Fructooligosaccharide              |            | 15.8    | 5.5        | 2.8      | 24.1        |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiber Type</th>
<th>Human</th>
<th>Human feces</th>
<th>Lactulose 2.5 mg/ml</th>
<th>Lactulose 5.0 mg/ml</th>
<th>Lactulose 7.5 mg/ml</th>
<th>Lactulose 10.0 mg/ml</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inulin</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrolyzed inulin</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>25.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cellulose</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>22.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Powdered cellulose</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methyl cellulose</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>26.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrolyzed guar gum</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>31.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psyllium husk</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>24.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**mmol/g substrate/h**

- Corn fiber: 0.178, Human feces: 0.546, Wheat bran: 0.285

**mmol/L reaction volume/h**

- Lactulose 2.5 mg/ml: 3.8, Lactulose 5.0 mg/ml: 7.5, Lactulose 7.5 mg/ml: 10.0, Lactulose 10.0 mg/ml: 6.3
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