Commentary on SSO and other putative inhibitors of FA transport across membranes by CD36 disrupt intracellular metabolism, but do not affect fatty acid translocation
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Long-chain fatty acid (LCFA) transport is fundamental to human pathophysiology, and its impairment has been implicated in cardiovascular disease, cancer, and obesity-linked diabetes (1–4). Physiologically, LCFA are an energy source, precursors to regulatory molecules, and components of complex lipids such as triacylglycerols (TAGs), phospholipids, and cholesteryl esters, which occur in plasma lipoproteins and living cells. Most physiological LCFA contain 16 or 18 carbons with up to three double bonds (5, 6) and associate with lipid surfaces at diffusion-controlled rates with $k_{\text{on}}\sim10^9 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{sec}^{-1}$. They spontaneously transfer as monomers between lipid surfaces and albumin at rates that increase with decreasing chain length with a $t_{1/2}$ of ~5–200 msec (7). Lipoprotein turnover occurs with $t_{1/2}$ ~3 to 5 days so that LCFA transfer is comparatively rapid.

Given that the destinations of plasma LCFA are cells in plasma-perfused tissues, mechanisms by which the LCFA enter cells are relevant to both normal human physiology and pathophysiology. The plasma membrane comprises a polarity gradient that is hydrocarbon-like at the midmost and polar near leaflet edges (Fig. 1). How LCFA transfer between lipid surfaces separated by an aqueous phase is largely uncontroversial; LCFA enter cells by diffusion to and insertion into the outer leaflet, translocation to the inner leaflet, and desorption into the cytoplasm. LCFA desorption occurs by the longitudinal movement of the carboxylate group, followed by the acyl chain. According to the principle of microscopic reversibility, the mechanisms for insertion ($k_{\text{in}}$) and desorption ($k_{\text{off}}$) are the same (8), so that the reverse process ($k_{\text{on}}$), occurs by LCFA insertion into a membrane leaflet acyl chain first, followed by desorption of the anionic, charged form (9). However, the debate over the middle step—LCFA translocation from the outer to the inner leaflet ($k_{\text{tr}}$)—continues.

The ongoing search for the LCFA translocation mechanisms was influenced by a precedent: glucose transport! Because it has many hydroxyl groups, glucose is insoluble in hydrocarbons and does not spontaneously translocate across the plasma membrane. Rather, its transporter, glucose transporter type 4 (GLUT4), carries glucose into cells, an important process in both adipose tissue and muscle (10). This analogy and the assumption that LCFA are anionic ($pK_a\sim5$) have provoked a search for LCFA translocators. One of these, FA transport protein (FATP) was discovered by expression cloning, which identified proteins associated with the uptake and retention of a fluorescent FA (11). Hypothetically, this approach reveals all proteins with activities that convert LCFA to a form that is retained by the cell. Ultimately, FATP was identified as an acyl-CoA synthetase (12) that converts LCFA to its CoA analog, which does not pass through the plasma membrane because of the high polarity (13).

CD36, also known as FAT or scavenger receptor class B member 3 (SCARB3), has also been reported to be an LCFA translocator. CD36 is localized to the plasma membrane outer leaflet, and the mechanism by which it transfers LCFA to the inner leaflet adjacent to the cytoplasm is unknown. Several carefully conducted studies have reported that CD36 enhances cellular LCFA uptake (14–16), without providing a molecular mechanism. Subsequent studies have compared LCFA uptake by control HEK cells (17), in which LCFA metabolism is slower than LCFA translocation, with that of CD36-transfected HEK cells, and found identical rates of LCFA binding and translocation. However, they also revealed diversion of LCFA to TAG synthesis in CD36-expressing cells. This process supports a cellular
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LCFA concentration gradient of low intracellular and high extracellular LCFA concentration. In a broader context, this gradient could also be maintained by LCFA activation, incorporation into complex lipids, or $\beta$-oxidation; in other words, metabolism.

In this issue of the Journal of Lipid Research, Jay et al. tested three well-rationalized two-step models of cellular LCFA uptake. Two of these involved protein-based LCFA translocators; in the third, translocation occurred solely via a transverse diffusion mechanism sometimes called flip-flop. The authors followed the movement of a natural LCFA (oleic acid) according to its binding to the cell membrane outer leaflet and its translocation to the inner leaflet. The authors monitored these processes by using dual fluorescence probes—acyrudyln-labeled intestinal fatty acid-binding protein (ADIFAB) and 2',7'-bis(2-carboxyethyl)-5-(and-6)-carboxyfluorescein (BCECF), a pH sensor—that respond to each of these steps, respectively. Each of the aforementioned three models has a predicted distinctive profile for ADIFAB and BCECF kinetics. Only the diffusion model gave near-parallel kinetics in adipocytes, which contain putative LCFA transporters, and in protein-free phospholipid vesicles.

In control adipocytes, a decline in ADIFAB fluorescence paralleled the growth of BCECF fluorescence, indicating the concurrent disappearance of LCFA in the outer leaflet and external media and its appearance on the inner leaflet, respectively. According to the same fluorescence probes, LCFA uptake differed only slightly at 4°C and 37°C, whereas recovery of the intracellular pH was slower at the lower temperature, a finding consistent with the predicted slowing of intracellular LCFA metabolism at a reduced temperature. The authors complemented these analyses with tests of numerous inhibitors of cellular LCFA transport, and a similar but variable effect was observed with putative inhibitors of LCFA translocation, suggesting, but not proving, that reduced LCFA entry into the cell is due to inhibited metabolism. The LCFA uptake by protein-free vesicles was not affected, suggesting that the metabolic machinery of cells, and not uptake itself, is impaired by the inhibitors.

The authors’ other experiments focused on the chemistry of several inhibitors of LCFA translocation, notably sulfosuccinimidyl oleate (SSO), reported to highly specifically and competitively inhibit CD36-mediated LCFA transport (18). Previous studies with this molecule led to the original postulate that CD36 translocates LCFA. The experiments by Jay and colleagues revealed that SSO modified CD36 as expected; unexpectedly, however, SSO also modified numerous other proteins. The authors speculate that this occurs via SSO reaction with the $\varepsilon$-amino groups of lysine residues. Two other observations favor the hypothesis that the inhibitors suppress intracellular metabolism. First, all putative LCFA translocation inhibitors reduce the formation of intracellular TAG, the most likely product of LCFA-loaded adipocytes. Second, SSO reacts with many other cellular proteins in addition to CD36. Given the observed nonspecificity of SSO, some of these are likely involved in glycerolipid synthesis. Importantly, overexpression of either glycerol phosphate acyltransferase or lysoglycerol phosphate acyltransferase, enzymes that catalyze key steps in the synthesis of glycerolipids, including TAG, potentiates cellular LCFA uptake (19, 20).

At phospholipid interfaces, the $pK_a$ of LCFA is ~7 (21), meaning that half of it is in the protonated and uncharged form. Given that the major physicochemical barrier to LCFA translocation is the hydrocarbon interior of the lipid bilayer, it is intuitively satisfying that the diffusion model behaves according to the solubility of protonated LCFA in hydrocarbons (22, 23) (22, 23). In studies of cellular LCFA transport, there has been an ongoing debate between the “diffusionists” and the “translocationists” (14, 24–27) because mechanisms guide therapeutic strategies. Physiologically, uncontrolled LCFA movement into and out of cells would be expected to impair the cellular response to changing energy demands. Whereas a regulated translocator could be the needed controller, an alternative mechanism, which is supported by the Jay et al. paper, is that this can also be achieved by regulating the balance between intracellular TAG synthesis versus hydrolysis, which transfer LCFA into or liberate LCFA from fat droplets, respectively. The findings of this article do not prove that there are no FA translocators, but rather provide compelling support for a model of metabolism-driven translocation by diffusion.
Impaired FA uptake by adipose tissue and the resulting excess of plasma LCFAs are mechanistically linked to impaired glucose disposal, a hallmark of type 2 diabetes (28). Proposals for therapeutic approaches that address this impairment differ between translocationists and diffusionists—translocationists would target the putative LCFAs transporters. In the context of this paper, however, the diffusionists would target intracellular metabolism that consumes LCFAs, notably, by oxidation, TAG synthesis (19, 20), and possibly the regulatory role of CD36. The paper by Jay and colleagues provides a compelling context for the development of these much-needed therapeutic approaches favored by the diffusionists.
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