ER Stress-Induced Sphingosine-1-Phosphate Lyase Phosphorylation Potentiates the Mitochondrial Unfolded Protein Response
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Abstract The unfolded protein response (UPR) is an elaborate signaling network that evolved to maintain proteostasis in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) and mitochondria (mt). These organelles are functionally and physically associated, and consequently, their stress responses are often intertwined. It is unclear how these two adaptive responses are coordinated during ER stress. The inositol-requiring enzyme-1 (IRE1), a central ER stress sensor and proximal regulator of the UPRER, harbors dual kinase and endoribonuclease (RNase) activities. IRE1 RNase activity initiates the transcriptional layer of the UPRER but IRE1's kinase substrate(s) and their functions are largely unknown. Here, we discovered that sphingosine 1-phosphate (SIP) lyase (SPL), the enzyme that degrades SIP, is a substrate for the mammalian IRE1 kinase. Our data show that IRE1-dependent SPL phosphorylation inhibits SPL's enzymatic activity, resulting in increased intracellular SIP levels. SIP has previously been shown to induce the activation of mitochondrial UPR (UPRmt) in nematodes. We determined that IRE1 kinase-dependent SIP induction during ER stress potentiates UPRmt signaling in mammalian cells. Phosphorylation of eukaryotic translation initiation factor 2α (eif2α) is recognized as a critical molecular event for UPRmt activation in mammalian cells. Our data further demonstrate that inhibition of the IRE1-SPL axis abrogates the activation of two eif2α kinases, namely double-stranded RNA-activated protein kinase (PKR) and PKR-like ER kinase upon ER stress. These findings show that the IRE1-SPL axis plays a central role in coordinating the adaptive responses of ER and mitochondria to ER stress in mammalian cells.
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Proteostasis entails dynamic balancing of a functional proteome through translation, protein folding, and protein degradation as cells undergo adaptation to intracellular and extracellular demands. An adaptive stress response, known as the unfolded protein response (UPR), is induced in response to the accumulation of misfolded proteins in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) and mitochondria. UPR signaling provides a mechanism that cells can reinstate homeostasis in these organelles (1, 2). Failure or perturbation of UPR-related proteostatic mechanisms can impair cellular function and if prolonged, reduce cell viability.

The ER is the major intracellular compartment for the synthesis and folding of secreted and transmembrane proteins, calcium storage, lipid metabolism, and membrane biogenesis. Disruption of any of these functions can activate UPRER (3). Activation of UPRER initiates both transcriptional and translational layers of control that are regulated by the ER membrane-anchored stress sensors, namely inositol-requiring enzyme-1 (IRE1), double-stranded RNA-activated protein kinase (PKR)—like ER kinase (PERK), and activating transcription factor-6 (4). IRE1 is the most conserved arm of the UPR and has dual enzymatic activities, namely endoribonuclease (RNase) and kinase (5). Upon accumulation of misfolded proteins in the ER lumen, IRE1 transautophosphorylates, leading to oligomerization and robust activation of its RNase domain (6). IRE1 RNase domain splices X box-binding transcription factor-1 (Xbp1) mRNA, which translates into an active transcription factor that translocates into the nucleus to transcriptionally activate the genes encoding ER
stress–related chaperons and ER-associated degradation machinery (7, 8). While the function of the IRE1 RNase domain and its target, Xbp1, in the context of UPRER is well understood, knowledge on IRE1 kinase function and substrate(s) is limited (9). Activation of the second UPR arm that is regulated by PERK kinase leads to inhibitory phosphorylation of eukaryotic translation initiation factor 2 alpha (eif2α). Besides PERK, there are three other kinases that can phosphorylate eif2α, namely heme-regulated inhibitor (HRI), general control nondepressible-2 (GCN2), and PKR, under different stress conditions (10). eif2α phosphorylation by these kinases initiates a shared adaptive signaling known as the integrated stress response (ISR), resulting in translational attenuation. However, translation of a select group of mRNAs such as the activating transcription factor-4 (ATF4) mRNA that have upstream open reading frames in their 5′ untranslated region is enhanced. ATF4 transcriptionally regulates metabolic and cellular changes that can promote recovery from stress and cell survival (11). Other examples of mRNAs that escape from translation arrest include the transcription factors CCAAT/enhancer-binding protein homology protein (CHOP) and ATF5 (12). ATF4, CHOP, and ATF5 are also central players in the initiation of the mitochondrial UPR (UPRmt) (10, 13). Intriguingly, a study has shown that IRE1 contributes to PKR activation in response to infection by Chlamydia trachomatis, suggesting crosstalk exists between UPRER and ISR pathways (14). Whether IRE1 has an impact on the activation of PKR or any other eif2α kinases during ER stress conditions is unknown.

Mitochondrial stress and dysfunction can induce the UPRmt signaling to reactivate homeostasis in this organelle (15, 16). Activation of the mammalian UPRmt requires eif2α phosphorylation, a shared stress mediator with the UPRER and ISR. It is not clear which of the four cellular eif2α kinases responds to distinct types of mitochondrial stressors or ER stress, upstream of UPRmt activation (17). Phosphorylation of eif2α has several known consequences for the stressed mitochondria: i) general translation attenuation that reduces protein import and folding load in the mitochondria, ii) robust translation of ATF4 that promotes CHOP transcription and consequently, ATF4 and CHOP can induce ATF5, a central player in UPRmt, iii) in combination, ATF4, CHOP, and ATF5 orchestrate the transcriptional upregulation of mitochondrial chaperones [such as mitochondrial heat shock proteins (mtHSP) 70 and 10] that assist proper protein folding and assembly of mitochondrial matrix proteins and mitochondrial quality proteases [such as Lon protease-1 (LONP1) and caseinolytic protease-I (CLPPI)] that degrade misfolded proteins and prevent proteotoxicity (18–20). In mammalian cells, ATF5, ATF4, and CHOP are all required for UPRmt induction (21–23).

ER-mitochondria membrane contacts that facilitate the exchange of critical information (in the form of calcium, metabolites, and reactive oxygen species) between these organelles are important for sustaining a healthy collaboration that underlies their shared biosynthetic capability (such as phospholipid homeostasis) and other cellular roles. The dysfunction in one organelle can negatively impact the other as seen in many metabolic and inflammatory diseases (24, 25). While UPRER and UPRmt share some common features (such as eif2α phosphorylation), how these organelles’ adaptive stress responses are coordinated is not well understood. Classical ER stress inducers can induce the three transcriptional regulators (ATF4, ATF5, and CHOP) required for UPRmt induction. Also, the expression of the mitochondrial quality protease, LONP1, is induced by ER stress in a PERK-dependent manner, implying UPRER can directly impact mitochondrial proteostasis (26, 27). PERK-induced LONP1 during ER stress leads to degradation of phosphatase and tensin homolog-induced kinase 1, a critical mitophagy regulator, which abrogates mitophagic clearance during ER stress (28). ER stress can also be induced by mitochondrial stressors. For example, paraquat (a superoxide generator that perturbs mitochondrial respiratory chain function and induces UPRmt) can activate IRE1, suggesting that the IRE1 arm of the UPRER is connected to mitochondrial dysfunction (29). Whether IRE1 contributes to mitochondrial proteostasis is not known.

Many pieces of evidence suggest that ER and mitochondrial stress pathways are intertwined, but how they are coordinated is less clear. In this study, we investigated IRE1’s role in ER stress-induced UPRmt signaling. We discovered that IRE1 activation is required for robust UPRmt signaling induction by ER stress. A recent study has shown that sphingosine 1-phosphate (SIP) lyase (SPL, encoded by SGPL1), the enzyme that degrades SIP into phosphoethanolamine and hexadecanal, is found in the IRE1 interactome (30, 31). As SIP has been proposed to play an upstream role in UPRmt activation in C. elegans, we investigated whether SPL bridges IRE1 to UPRmt regulation (32). Our data show that IRE1-mediated SPL phosphorylation inhibits SPL’s activity, leading to accumulation of intracellular SIP. ER stress–induced and IRE1 kinase activity-dependent induction of SIP resulted in enhanced UPRmt signaling. Furthermore, we demonstrate that the inhibition of IRE1-SPL axis profoundly suppresses PKR activity and to a lesser degree, PERK activity during ER stress. Our data show that IRE1-SPL axis controls UPRmt activation by impinging on the phosphorylation of eif2α in mammalian cells.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Reagents and plasmids

Plasmids: SPL (HsCD00378787, Harvard plasmid bank) and FLAG-CMV14 (Addgene). The SPL plasmid was cloned into a FLAG_CMV14 plasmid by restriction and ligation methods.
FLAG_SPL_MUT plasmid is generated from FLAG_SPL_WT plasmid by using site-directed mutagenesis. IRE1 wildtype (IRE1_WT), IRE1 kinase dead (IRE1_KD), and IRE1 analog-sensitive kinase allele (IRE1_ASKA) plasmids are a kind gift from Dr Peter Walter (University of California, San Francisco). Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s Medium, phosphate buffer saline (PBS), fetal bovine serum, trypsin, penicillin/streptomycin (P/S), and L-glutamine were purchased from Thermo Scientific. Protease inhibitor cocktail (P8340), phosphatase inhibitor cocktail-3 (P0044), dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO; D8418), and doxycycline monohydrate (D1822) were purchased from Sigma. IRE1 kinase inhibitor, KIRA6 (19151), spl inhibitor [2-acetyl-5-tetrahydroxybutyl imidazole, THI (DMSO; D8418), and doxycycline monohydrate (D1822) were purchased from Calbiochem. Thapsigargin (TG) (sc-24017), chloride (6166), was purchased from Tocris. The IRE1 RNase inhibitor from Cayman. IRE1 kinase inhibitor, AMG 18 hydroxyl methylsulfonyl), 1 M (phospho mutant) mice were a kind gift from Dr Mauro Costa-Mattioli (Baylor College of Medicine, Houston). Human embryonic kidney 293 (HEK293) cells were purchased from ATCC. MEF and HEK293 cells were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s Medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum and 1% L-glutamine in a humidified, 5% CO2 at 37°C incubator. Upon reaching 60%-80% confluency, cells were transfected with indicated plasmids using PEI in 1:3 DNA:PEI ratio.

Silencer (si) RNA-mediated knock down

HEK293 cells were electroporated with 50 nM siRNA against IRE1 (S100605248; Qigaien), 50 nM siRNA against SGPL1 (S10005763); Qigaien), or scrambled siRNA (1027281; Qigaien) using a Neon Electroporator (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. HEK293 cells were treated with TG after 48 h transfection.

RNA isolation and analysis

Trisure (Bioline) was used to isolate total RNA from cells and reverse-transcribed using the RevertAid first strand complementary DNA synthesis kit (K1691; Thermo Scientific) according to the manufacturer’s protocols and in a polymerase chain reaction (PCR) machine (Bio-Rad). Quantitative reverse transcription PCR (qRT-PCR) reactions were prepared using Power-up SYBR green (A25742; Applied Biosystems). Quantifications were performed using the ΔΔCt (threshold cycle) method using the following formula: (primer efficiency) – ΔΔCt, where ΔΔCt means ΔCt (target gene) – ΔCt (reference gene). Gene expression levels were normalized relative to GAPDH mRNA in the same cells.

Western blot

Cells were lysed in phosphate-lysis buffer (PLB) [50 mM Hepes (pH 7.9), 100 mM sodium chloride, 10 mM EDTA, 10 mM sodium fluoride, 4 mM tetrasodium diphosphate, 1% Triton X-100, 2 mM sodium orthovanadate, 1 mM PMSF (phenylmethylsulfonyl), 1x phosphatase inhibitor mixture, 1x protease inhibitor mixture]. Lysates were cleared by brief centrifugation; protein concentrations were measured with DC assay (Bio-Rad). Upon adding 5X sodium dodecyl sulphate (SDS) loading dye, cell lysates were boiled, and proteins were subjected to SDS/polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE)
separated by electrophoresis in SDS-PAGE gels for analysis.

μ30 pellets were incubated with kinase buffer containing collected, washed with PBS, and centrifuged at 1000 rpm. Cell plasmids and 300 nM TG treatment for 1 h, HEK293 cells were then separated by electrophoresis in SDS-PAGE gels for analysis.

**Immunoprecipitation**

Total IRE1, FLAG (M2), IgG-Rabbit, or IgG-Mouse control antibodies were conjugated overnight with Protein G Magnetic Beads (614023; Bio-Rad), which were fist blocked by incubating in 2% fatty acid free bovine serum albumin (A-2121-10; GoldBio) on a rotator. Conjugated beads were washed with PLB three times and incubated with HEK293 cell lysate overnight on a rotator. Next day, beads were washed with PLB and boiled upon adding 5X SDS loading buffer for 5 min at 95°C. Proteins were then separated by electrophoresis in SDS-PAGE gels for analysis.

**In vitro and cell-based kinase assays**

In vitro kinase assay. The immunoprecipitated lysates were washed with PLB buffer three times and kinase buffer (20 mM Hepes pH 7.4, 50 mM KCl, 1 mM MnCl2, 1 mM MgCl2, 1 mM Na3MoO4, and 2 mM NaF) once, followed by incubation in kinase reaction mix (kinase buffer with ATPγS-Kinase substrate, ab138911; Abcam) for 30 min at 30°C, EDTA (0.5 M, pH 8.0) (R1021; Thermo Fisher Scientific) was used to stop reaction. P-nitrobenzyl mesylate (PNBM, ab138910; Abcam) was added and incubated for 45 min at 25°C to generate thiophosphate esters on the thiophosphorylated substrates in the in vitro kinase assay, as previously shown (33). Next day, beads were washed with PLB and boiled after adding 5X SDS loading buffer for 5 min at 95°C. Proteins were then separated by electrophoresis in SDS-PAGE gels for analysis.

Cell-based kinase assay. After transfection with indicated plasmids and 300 nM TG treatment for 1 h, HEK293 cells were collected, washed with PBS, and centrifuged at 1000 rpm. Cell pellets were incubated with kinase buffer containing 30 μg/ml digitonin (D141; Sigma Aldrich) and rotated for 10 min at 30°C. After spinning down, cell pellets were incubated with kinase buffer containing 250 μM N6 furfuryl-ATPγS (F008; Biolog Life Science), 100 μM ATP (sc-29240A; Santa Cruz Biotechnology), and 1 mM GTP for 1 h at 30°C. Kinase reaction was stopped by adding PLB containing 20 mM EDTA. Cells were lysed by quick vortexing (5 s intervals) for 10 min. After centrifugation at highest speed for 15 min, PNB (final concentration of 12.5 mM) was added to the supernatants. Supernatants were filtered with PD-10 columns and washed with PLB to get rid of PNBM. Eluted supernatants were immunoprecipitated using FLAG-M2 antibody-conjugated beads and rotated at 4°C overnight. Next day, beads were washed with PLB and after adding 5X SDS loading buffer, boiled at 95°C for 5 min. Proteins were then separated by electrophoresis in SDS-PAGE gels for analysis.

**Proteomics**

Sample preparation— in-gel digestion. Samples were separated by SDS-PAGE and stained with Coomassie blue. The bands were cut from the gel and subjected to in-gel digestion with trypsin (PMID: 25278616). Peptides were extracted from the gel pieces by sonication for 15 min, followed by centrifugation and supernatant collection. A solution of 50:50 water: acetonitrile, 1% formic acid (2X the volume of the gel pieces) was added for a second extraction. The samples were again sonicated for 15 min, centrifuged, and the supernatant pooled with the first extract. The pooled supernatants were processed using speed vacuum centrifugation. The samples were dissolved in 10 μl of reconstitution buffer (96:4 water: acetonitrile, 1% formic acid, and analyzed by liquid chromatography with tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS).

**LC-MS/MS.** For peptide separation, the UltiMate 3000 RSLC nano LC system (Dionex) fitted with a trapping cartridge (μ-Precolumn C18 PepMap 100, 5 μm, 300 μm i.d. × 5 mm, 100 Å, Thermo Fisher Scientific) and an analytical column (Acclaim PepMap 100 75 μm × 50 cm C18, 3 μm, 100 Å, Thermo Fisher Scientific) was used. The outlet of the analytical column was coupled directly to a QExactive plus (Thermo Fisher Scientific) using the nanoFlex source in positive ion mode. The peptides were introduced into the mass spectrometer (QExactive plus, Thermo Fisher Scientific) via a Pico-Tip Emitter 360 μm OD × 20 μm ID. 10 μm tip (New Objective), and a spray voltage of 23 kV was applied. The capillary temperature was set to 320°C. Full-scan MS spectra with mass range 350–1400 m/z were acquired in profile mode in the FT with resolution of 70,000. The filling time was set at maximum of 30 ms with a limitation of 3 × 106 ions. DDA was performed with the resolution of the Orbitrap set to 35,000, with a fill time of 105 ms and a limitation of 2 × 105 ions. Normalized collision energy of 26 was used. The peptide match algorithm was set to ‘preferred’ and charge exclusion ‘unassigned’, charge states 1, 5–8 were excluded.

**Data processing.** Acquired data were processed by IsobarQuant (PMID: 26379230), as search engine Mascot (v2.2.07) was used. Data were searched against Uniprot Homo sapiens proteome database (UP000005640) containing common contaminants, reversed sequences, and the sequences of the proteins of interest. The data were searched with the following modifications: Carbamidomethyl (C; fixed modification), Acetyl (N-term), Phospho (STY), and Oxidation (M) (variable modifications). The mass error tolerance for the full-scan MS spectra was set to 10 ppm and for the MS/MS spectra to 0.02 Da. A maximum of two missed cleavages was allowed. For protein identification, a minimum of two unique peptides with a peptide length of at least seven amino acids and a false discovery rate below 0.01 were required on the peptide and protein level.

**Mitochondrial fractionation.** Cells were homogenized in ice-cold mitochondria isolation buffer (250 mM sucrose, 1 mM EDTA, 10 mM Hepes; pH 7.4) containing protease and phosphatase inhibitor cocktails. Homogenates were run through 27.5 g needle four times and centrifuged at 600 g for 5 min at 4°C (to eliminate nuclear and intact membranes). Some of the supernatant was kept for examining the whole cell lysates. The rest of the supernatant was centrifuged at 7,000 g at 4°C for 10 min. The supernatant was kept in order to examine the cytosolic fraction. Pellets were resuspended with homogenizing buffer and centrifuged at 7,000 g at 4°C for 5 min. The supernatant was carefully removed, and the pellet and mitochondrial fraction were dissolved with PLB. Mitochondrial fraction containing
polyvinylidene difluoride membranes were stained with Ponceau S (P1707-IL; Sigma-Aldrich), washed with distilled water, and imaged using BioRad imager.

**SPL fluorogenic substrate assay**

The SPL fluorogenic substrate assay was performed according to the manufacturer protocol as previously described (34); cell lysates were incubated with sphinganine 1-phosphate analogue, which is converted to an aldehyde by SPL in the presence of 0.25 mM pyrodoxal-5-phosphate. The reactions were incubated at 37°C for 6 hours, and the aldehyde was converted to umbelliferone upon beta elimination at neutral pH. Utilization of the sphinganine 1-phosphate analogue by SPL results in the production of umbelliferone, which releases a fluorescent signal that indicates SPL enzymatic activity. Fluorescent signal intensity was measured using a plate reader (excitation at 325 nm and emission at 452 nm).

**Lipidomics analysis**

**Materials.** Avanti Polar Lipids, Ceramide/Sphingoid (Cer/Sph) Internal Standard Mixture LEppendorf DNA LoBind 2 ml polypropylene microtube tubes. Methanol, chloroform, dichloromethane, and acetonitrile (Fisher) were used for mass spectrometry grade or HPLC grade. The internal standard mixture (Cer/Sph Mixture I) consisted of 25 μm sphingosine (C17 base), sphinganine (C17 base), sphingosine 1-phosphate (C17 base), sphinganine 1- phosphate (C17 base), lactosyl(8) C12 ceramide, 120 sphingomyelin, glucosyl(8) C12 ceramide, 129 ceramide, 120 ceramide 1-phosphate, and 250 ceramide in ethanol.

**Sample preparation.** HEK293 cells were gently with iced-cold PBS. Whole cells were pelleted in 2 ml microtube tubes at 4°C and stored at −80°C until lipid extraction. Mitochondria fractionation has been performed, and mitochondrial fractions were pelleted in 2 ml microtube tubes at 4°C and stored at −80°C until lipid extraction. For lipid extraction, sample tubes were thawed on ice. The internal standards (10 μl) from Cer/Sph Mixture I was added to each tube. Lipid extraction was initiated with the addition of 726 μl of chloroform:methanol:12.1 N hydrochloric acid (HCl) (40:80:1) after which the samples were vortexed in an Eppendorf Thermomixer at full speed at 4°C for 15 min. Then, 720 μl of chloroform was added, and samples were vortexed for another 5 min. Finally, 354 μl of 1 N HCl was added, and samples were vortexed for 2 min. Phases were separated by centrifugation for 5 min at 1,000 g at 4°C. The lower phases were collected in a fresh 2 ml tube. An additional 1,098 μl of the theoretical lower phase (chloroform:methanol:1.185 N HCl 86:14:1, v/v/v) was added to the upper phase followed by vortexing and centrifugation at 1,000 g for an additional 5 min. The resultant lower phase was combined with the previously collected lower phase, and the combination was dried using a refrigerated Labconco Centrifuge at −4°C under full vacuum (chamber temperature was brought to room temperature prior to opening the lid at the end). The dried samples were analyzed via liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry. Samples were resuspended in 100% methanol (LC-MS Optima grade, Fisher) prior to chromatographic separation at ambient temperature on a C8 column (Thermo Hypersil Gold C8 2.1 × 50; 1.9 μm). The mobile phase consisted of a gradient initiated with 10 mM formic acid in water (A) and 10 mM formic acid in acetonitrile/methanol (50:50 v/v) (B) delivered at a flow rate of 0.3 ml/min by a Waters Acquity UPLC. The gradient progressed from 37% to 100% B from 2 to 10 min following injection. A Waters ACQUITY FTN autosampler set at room temperature at 1–5 μl of sample extract. For quantitative analysis, the effluent was monitored by a Waters XEVO TQ-S MS/MS via multiple reaction monitoring in positive ion mode. Pronounced SIP with a parent-daughter transition of 380.4–264.4 was detected in positive ion mode using a cone voltage of 55 and collision energy of 18. Lipid levels were calculated from peak areas normalized to internal standards. A further normalization was applied based on total protein quantification of the samples.

**Mitochondria Ca^{2+} measurements**

WT MEF cells were treated with 100 nM TG for indicated time points. RHOD-2 AM (1 μM) (cell-permeable fluorescent calcium indicator) (Thermo Fisher Scientific) was used for mitochondria-specific calcium measurement according to previously published protocols (35).

**Mice studies and treatments**

Apolipoprotein E-deficient (ApoE<sup>−/−</sup>) mice were purchased from Jackson laboratory. Starting at 8–10 weeks old, ApoE<sup>−/−</sup> mice were fed with high cholesterol/high fat atherosclerotic mouse diet from Envigo (TD.88137) for 12 weeks. ApoE<sup>−/−</sup> mice received intraperitoneal injections of either AMG18 (30 mg/kg) or vehicle twice per day in final 4 weeks of diet, both delivered in 20% vol/vol cremophor-EL (Sigma) saline solution.

**Study approval**

All animal experiments were performed according to protocols approved by the Experimental Animal Ethical Care Committees at Cedars Sinai Medical Center, Los Angeles, USA.

**Statistical analysis**

In vitro experiments were analyzed with either unpaired t test with Welch's correction. Figures analyzed by unpaired t test are mentioned in related figure legend. Mann-Whitney was used for in vivo experiments. (Mean as center value, two-tailed, *P ≤ 0.05, **P ≤ 0.01, ***P ≤ 0.001, ****P ≤ 0.0001).

**RESULTS**

**ER stress induces UPR<sub>mt</sub> in an IRE1 kinase-dependent manner**

Past studies have shown that chronic ER stress induces mitochondrial proteases that play a critical role in the execution of UPR<sub>mt</sub>; however, whether ER stress can induce full-fledged UPR<sub>mt</sub> signaling has not been examined before (28, 36). To approach this, we treated mouse fibroblasts with TG, which is an ER toxin that inhibits the ER calcium ATPase (4). TG simultaneously induced UPR<sub>ER</sub> and UPR<sub>mt</sub> in wildtype (WT or IRE1<sup>+/−</sup>) MEFs as evident by the induction of key transcription factors for both stress responses such as ATF4, CHOP, and ATF5 (that are encoded by Atf4, Ddit3, and Atf5, respectively), mitochondrial chaperons such as mHSP70 and HSP10 (that are encoded by Hspa9 and Hspa1, respectively), and mitochondrial proteases such as...
as LONP1 and CLPP1 (that are encoded by Lonp1 and Clpp, respectively) (Fig. 1A–F). Tunicamycin, another ER toxin that inhibits protein glycosylation (4) also led to robust induction of both UPRER and UPRmt in WT MEFs (supplemental Fig. S1A). Moreover, both ER toxins were able to induce UPRmt in human cells (HEK293 cells) (supplemental Fig. S1B, C). However, IRE1-deficiency (IRE1−/−) prevented ER stress from inducing UPRmt signaling (Fig. 1A–F). Collectively, these findings demonstrate that ER stressors can induce UPRmt signaling in an IRE1-dependent manner.

IRE1 harbors dual enzymatic activities in its kinase and endoribonuclease domains (4). We next asked which of the two IRE1 enzymatic activities is required for UPRmt induction during ER stress. Inhibition of IRE1 kinase domain with two different, specific inhibitors, namely KIRA6 and AMG18, (37–39) blocked TG from inducing robust UPRmt signaling in WT MEF cells (Fig. 2A–K). Moreover, blocking IRE1 kinase domain activity in vivo by AMG18 reduced the level of UPRmt markers in the livers from hyperlipidemic mice (supplemental Fig. S2A–E). Conversely, IRE1 RNase-
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**Fig. 1.** IRE1-deficiency blocks UPRmt induction during ER stress. A–E: IRE1+/+ and IRE1−/− MEFs were treated with TG (100 nM; 12 h), and total RNA was analyzed by qRT-PCR for Atf5, Atf4, Ddit3, Hspa9, Hspe1, and Gapdh mRNA (n = 4 biological replicates). F: IRE1+/+ and IRE1−/− MEFs were treated with TG (100 nM; 4 h) and mitochondrial fraction (MF) and total cellular extract (CE) protein lysates were analyzed by Western blotting using specific antibodies for LONP1, mtHSP70, CLPP1 (for MF) and p-IRE1, IRE1 and β-actin (for CE). The membrane was stained by Ponceau S (n = 3 biological replicates; a representative blot is shown). Data information: All data are mean ± SEM; (n = 4). Unpaired t test with Welch’s correction. * P ≤ 0.05, ** P ≤ 0.01, *** P ≤ 0.001, **** P ≤ 0.0001. ATF, activating transcription factor; CLPP1, casinolytic protease-1; ER, endoplasmic reticulum; IRE1, inositol-requiring enzyme-1; LONP1, Lon protease-1; MEFs, mouse embryonic fibroblasts; mtHSP70, mitochondrial heat shock protein 70; mt, mitochondria; TG, thapsigargin; UPR, unfolded protein response.
Fig. 2. ER stress induces UPR\textsuperscript{mt} in an IRE1 kinase-dependent manner. A–E: Wild type (WT) MEFs were treated with KIRA6 (5 \(\mu\)M) and TG (100 nM) for 12 h, and total RNA was analyzed by qRT-PCR for Atf5, Atf4, Ddit3, Lonpl, Hspa9, and Gapdh mRNA (n = 4 biological replicates). F: WT MEFs were treated with KIRA6 (5 \(\mu\)M) and TG (100 nM) for 4 h, and MF and CE protein lysates were analyzed by Western blotting using specific antibodies against LONP1, mtHSP70, and CLPP (for MF) and p-IRE1, total IRE1, and \(\beta\)-actin (for CE). The membrane was stained by Ponceau S (n = 3 biological replicates; a representative blot is shown). G–K: WT MEFs were treated with 2 \(\mu\)M AMG18 and 100 nM TG for 12 h, and total RNA was analyzed by qRT-PCR for Atf5, Atf4, Ddit3, Lonpl, Hspa9, and Gapdh mRNA (n = 4 biological replicates). Data information: All data are mean \(\pm\) SEM; (n = 4). Unpaired \(t\) test with Welch’s correction. * \(P \leq 0.05\), ** \(P \leq 0.01\), *** \(P \leq 0.001\), **** \(P \leq 0.0001\). ATF, activating transcription factor; CE, total cellular extract;
specific inhibitor. 4μ8c (40) did not prevent TG-induced ATF4, CHOP, LONPI, CLPPI, and mtHSP70 despite effectively inhibiting specific IRE1 RNase domain substrate, Xbp1 mRNA (supplemental Fig. S3A). All together, these data show that IRE1 kinase activity, and not its RNase activity, plays a key role in robust activation of UPR^mt by ER stress.

ER stress–induced IRE1 phosphorylates SPL

While IRE1 RNase activity, its substrates and downstream actions in the UPR^ER are well characterized, IRE1’s kinase activity and substrate(s) are not (4). Recently published mass spectrometry–based IRE1 interactome data revealed that IRE1 potentially interacts with SPL (30, 41). SPL enzyme degrades SIP irreversibly, and this reaction is referred as the exit point of sphingolipid metabolism. SIP is a signaling lipid found in very small quantities, and its level is transiently and strictly controlled by specific SIP kinases and phosphatases in addition to SPL (3). The ablation of SPL activity can significantly increase cellular levels of SIP and have considerable biological impact. Recent studies highlight the importance of SIP for mitochondria-related pathways. SIP has been shown to bind to mitochondrial prohibitin 2 (PHB2) protein to regulate the activity of mitochondrial respiratory complexes which are crucial for sustaining mitochondrial health (42). Moreover, SPL deficiency has been shown to associate with impaired mitochondrial function and morphology (43). A study in nematodes show that mitochondrial stress can promote the phosphorylation of sphingosine to yield SIP, resulting in potent UPR^mt signaling. In the same study, SPL knockdown potentiates UPR^mt under mitochondrial stress conditions (32). However, the contribution of SPL in UPR^mt signaling in mammalian cells is not known. Here, we asked whether IRE1 interaction with SPL modifies SIP-induced UPR^mt in mammalian cells undergoing ER stress.

First, we sought to experimentally validate the possible physical interaction between IRE1 and SPL occurs in mammalian cells as it is predicted by IRE1 interactome data (30, 41). SPL and IRE1 plasmids were cotransfected into cells in which ER stress was induced by TG treatment. The results of this experiment confirmed that IRE1 and SPL interact with each other under both nonstress and stress conditions in cells (Fig. 3A).

Next, we asked whether IRE1 can phosphorylate SPL. WT (IRE1_WT), kinase dead mutant (IRE1_KD; serine 599 mutated to an alanine), and FLAG-tagged SPL (FLAG_SPL) were immunoprecipitated from HEK293 cells that overexpressed these proteins. The immunoprecipitated IRE1 (kinase) and SPL (substrate) from these cell lysates were incubated in an in vitro kinase assay as previously described. In this reaction, the kinase transferred a thiophosphate group from the provided ATPγS in the kinase buffer onto its substrate. The transferred thiophosphate was further converted to a thio-phosphate ester through an alkylation reaction as described before (33). A thio-phosphate ester-specific antibody was used to detect the thio-phosphorylated IRE1 and SPL by Western blotting. The results of this experiment showed that IRE1_WT phosphorylated SPL in vitro. On the other hand, IRE1_KD could not phosphorylate SPL (Fig. 3B). We next performed a ‘cell-based’ kinase assay by using a previously characterized ATP analog sensitive kinase allelic of IRE1 (IRE1_-ASKA). In this IRE1 mutant, a point mutation in a gatekeeper amino acid in the ATP binding cavity leads to slight enlargement of the cavity sufficient to accommodate an ATP analog with a bulky side chain such as N6 furfuryl-ATPγS. This bulky ATP analog is preferentially used by IRE1_-ASKA and not IRE1-WT or IRE1-KD (44, 45). Cells were cotransfected with FLAG_SPL_WT and IRE1_-ASKA, IRE1_WT, or IRE1_KD plasmids before inducing ER stress with TG. The kinase assay (using N6 furfuryl-ATPγS) result shows that IRE1_-ASKA efficiently phosphorylated SPL inside the cells but not IRE1_WT or IRE1_KD (Fig. 3C).

To discover which amino acids on SPL are phosphorylated by IRE1, an in vitro kinase assay (using ATP in the kinase buffer) was set up with SPL (as substrate) in the presence or absence of IRE1_WT (as kinase). The mass spectroscopy–based, phospho-proteomics analysis that followed the kinase reaction revealed that serine 286 (S286) and threonine 287 (T287) on SPL are phosphorylated by IRE1 kinase (supplemental Fig. S4). Of note, both IRE1-targeted amino acids and their neighboring amino acid sequences on SPL are highly conserved across the species (Fig. 3D). Next, we mutated T287 on SPL to alanine (SPL_MUT), which cannot be phosphorylated. We observed that IRE1 could no longer phosphorylate the SPL_MUT in an in vitro kinase assay, demonstrating T287 is an IRE1-dependent phosphorylation site on SPL (Fig. 3E).

IRE1 kinase inhibits SPL activity and induces SIP levels during ER stress

What is the consequence of SPL phosphorylation by IRE1 on SPL’s enzymatic activity? SIP, produced by sphingosine kinases 1 and 2 (SPHK1 and SPHK2), is irreversibly degraded by SPL (Fig. 4A) (31). SPL activity can be measured from cell lysate by incubating with a fluorogenic substrate in a SPL activity assay as previously described (34). The induction in the fluorogenic
signal (upon conversion of sphingamine 1-phosphate to umbelliferone) in the assay indicates SPL activity. We observed that TG treatment led to the inhibition of SPL activity in this assay, consistent with a previous study that showed ER stress induces cellular S1P levels (Fig. 4B). (46). Furthermore, the inhibition of SPL activity by TG was reversed by the IRE1 kinase inhibitors (KIRA6 and AMG18), but not by the IRE1 RNase inhibitor (4μ8c) (Fig 4B–C). TG further inhibited SPL activity when IRE1_WT was cotransfected with SPL_WT, showing that SPL activity is sensitive to TG-induced IRE1 activity (Fig. 4D). In contrast, TG has no

**Fig. 3.** IRE1 phosphorylates SPL during ER stress. A: HEK293 cell were cotransfected with IRE1 and FLAG-SPL plasmids and treated with TG (600 nM; 4 h). IRE1 and SPL were immunoprecipitated with total IRE1 and FLAG antibodies, respectively, and analyzed by Western blotting using antibodies specific for total IRE1 and FLAG. Normal IgG Rabbit or normal IgG-Mouse antibodies were used to show specificity of IRE1 and FLAG antibodies, respectively. The cell lysate was also analyzed by Western blotting using antibodies specific for p-IRE1, total IRE1, and FLAG (n = 4 biological replicates, a representative blot is shown). B: In vitro kinase assay: HEK293 cells were transfected with the indicated plasmids and treated with TG (1 μM; 2 h). IRE1 and SPL were immunoprecipitated with FLAG antibodies and incubated together with ATPγS in a kinase reaction. The kinase reaction was analyzed by Western blotting using specific antibodies for thio-phospho ester and FLAG (n = 3 biological replicates, a representative blot is shown). C: “Cell-based” kinase assay: HEK293 cells were transfected with the indicated plasmids and treated with TG (1 μM; 2 h) followed by treatment with N6 furfuryl-ATPγS. Immunoprecipitated lysates were analyzed by Western blotting with specific antibodies for thio-phospho ester, total IRE1, and FLAG (n = 3 biological replicates; a representative blot is shown). D: Multiple amino acid sequence alignment of SPL from different species: * indicates possible IRE1-mediated phosphorylation sites as determined by mass spectrometry. E: In vitro kinase assay: HEK293 cells were transfected with the indicated plasmids and treated with TG (1 μM; 2 h). IRE1 and SPL were immunoprecipitated with total IRE1 and FLAG antibodies, respectively, and incubated together with ATPγS in a kinase reaction. The kinase reaction was analyzed by Western blotting using specific antibodies for thio-phospho ester, total IRE1 and FLAG (n = 3; a representative blot is shown). ER, endoplasmic reticulum; HEK293, human embryonic kidney 293; IRE1, inositol-requiring enzyme-1; SPL, sphingosine 1-phosphate lyase; TG, thapsigargin.
Fig. 4. ER stress suppresses SPL activity and induces cellular S1P levels. A: S1P degradation by SPL activity. B–E: SPL fluorogenic substrate assay was performed according to the manufacturer protocol on the cellular lysates from: (B) HEK293 cells that were treated with KIRA6 (20 μM) or 4μ8c (100 μM) and TG (600 nM; 2 h) (n = 6 biological replicates); C: HEK293 cells that were treated with AMG18 (5–10 μM) and TG (600 nM; 2 h) (n = 6 biological replicates); and D–E: HEK293 cells that were transfected with the indicated plasmids and treated with TG (600 nM; 2 h) (n = 4 biological replicates for D and n = 6 biological replicates for E). F–I: lipid quantification was performed by LC-MS/MS from lysates of HEK293 that were pretreated with KIRA6 (20 μM; 1 h) and then treated with TG (600 nM) or vehicle for 2 h (n = 4 biological replicates for DMSO, KIRA6, and TG, n = 3 biological replicates for KIRA6+TG), S1P is measured from total cell lysates (F) and from isolated mitochondria (G, H) transfected with scrambled (siSCR)
effect on the activity of SPL_MUT, showing IRE1-dependent SPL phosphorylation (on T287) is critical for inhibition of SPL activity by ER stress (Fig. 4E).

It has been shown that SPL deficiency or inhibition leads to the accumulation of SIP in cells (47, 48). Using LC-MS, we next assessed changes in SIP levels during ER stress. Acute ER stress (induced by 2 h of TG treatment) resulted in a significant increase in intracellular SIP level (Fig. 4F), consistent with a previous report that showed ER stress leads to SIP accumulation in cells (46). The results of our SPL activity assay had shown that IRE1 kinase inhibitor, KIRA6, prevents TG-induced SPL activity suppression (Fig. 4B). Consistent with this, IRE1 kinase inhibitor prevented TG-induced SIP accumulation (Fig. 4F). These results show that increase in SIP levels upon ER stress is dependent on IRE1’s kinase activity. KIRA6 is a potent inhibitor that completely diminishes both basal and TG-induced IRE1 kinase activity (Fig. 4F). KIRA6 induces SPL activity in nonstressed and TG-treated stress conditions (higher than that of basal SPL activity level in nonstressed cells) while reducing SIP levels in parallel (supplemental Fig. S5A and Fig. 4F), suggesting that the IRE1 kinase-induced SPL phosphorylation also plays a role in maintaining the basal level of SIP in nonstressed cells. Moreover, inhibition of IRE1 kinase domain by KIRA6 also significantly reduced mitochondrial SIP accumulation during ER stress (Figs. 4G and S5B). To further confirm the effect of IRE1 on cellular SIP levels under stress conditions, we knocked down IRE1 expression by a specific siRNA and used a second IRE1 kinase inhibitor, AMG18. These treatments had partial but strong inhibitory effect on TG-induced IRE1 kinase (as assessed by its autophosphorylation in Fig. 4H–I). Both IRE1 knockdown and AMG18 also reduced intracellular SIP levels under ER stress condition (Fig. 4H–I). Collectively, these results demonstrate that suppression of IRE1 kinase can prevent ER stress-induced accumulation of intracellular SIP (Fig. 4F–I) simultaneous to UPR mt induction (Figs. 1 and 2).

**IRE1-SPL axis potentiates UPR mt**

In *C. elegans*, mitochondrial stress–induced SPHK1 translocation to the outer mitochondrial membrane results in increased SIP production that initiates UPR mt activation (32). We aimed to investigate whether SPL has any impact on UPR mt activation in mammalian cells. SPL WT overexpression resulted in significantly higher SPL activity in cells (Fig. 5A) while blocking ER stress–induced UPR mt signaling by the inhibition of TG-induced p-eiF2α, ATF4, CHOP, ATF5, mHSP70, LONP1, and CLPP1 (Fig. 5B–G) We next used a SPL-specific inhibitor (THI) to inhibit cellular SPL activity. THI treatment significantly enhanced TG-induced UPR mt signaling (Fig. 6A–F). These results show that SPL activity is critical for ER stress–induced UPR mt signaling.

To address the role of IRE1-mediated SPL phosphorylation in ER stress–induced UPR mt signaling, we next examined the impact of SPL MUT, which does not get phosphorylated by IRE1, on UPR mt signaling during ER stress. Whereas SPL WT overexpression resulted in significant reduction in ER stress–induced UPR mt signaling, this effect was diminished when IRE1-mediated phosphorylation site was mutated on SPL (Fig. 6G–J). This result supports that IRE1-dependent SPL phosphorylation plays a critical role in UPR mt regulation.

We next asked how IRE1–SPL axis regulates UPR mt signaling. Since mammalian UPR mt requires eif2α phosphorylation, we investigated whether IRE1 deficiency had any impact on the activation of eif2α kinases (17). Intriguingly, IRE1 knockdown with a specific siRNA or inhibition of its kinase activity both prevented TG-induced eif2α phosphorylation (Figs. 7A and S6A). ER stress is known to induce only two of the eif2α kinases, namely PERK and PKR (49–52). Indeed, IRE1 knockdown led to a profound inhibition of TG-induced PKR phosphorylation while only partially reducing TG-induced PERK phosphorylation (Fig. 7A). In a parallel experiment, SPL MUT overexpression also completely abrogated PKR phosphorylation and partially reduced PERK phosphorylation while simultaneously preventing eif2α phosphorylation during ER stress (Fig. 7B). As expected, SPL knock down with a specific siRNA led to a profound induction in PKR autophosphorylation and to a lesser degree in PERK phosphorylation while simultaneously inducing downstream eif2α phosphorylation during ER stress condition (Fig. 7C).

We also assessed the roles PKR and PERK in ER stress–induced activation of UPR mt. PKR- and PERK-deficient MEFs both displayed marked disruption in ER stress–induced UPR mt activation (Fig. 7D–F). In addition, the inhibition of both PKR and PERK with their specific inhibitors also abrogated ER-stress induced UPR mt induction (supplemental Fig. S6B–E). As shown earlier, inhibition of SPL by its specific inhibitor, THI, augments TG-induced UPR mt signaling (Fig. 6A–F). However, THI could not enhance...
Fig. 5. SPL overexpression reduces ER stress–induced UPR<sub>mt</sub>. A: HEK293 cells were transfected with either empty vector (EV) or SPL_WT plasmid, and the cell lysates were used to perform the SPL fluorogenic substrate assay according to manufacturer’s protocol (n = 5 biological replicates). B–F: WT MEFs were transfected with either EV or SPL_WT and treated with TG (100 nM; 12 h). Total RNA was analyzed by qRT-PCR for Atf5, Atf4, Ddit3, Lonp1, Hspa9, and Gapdh mRNA (n = 4 biological replicates). G: WT MEFs were transfected with the indicated plasmids and treated with TG (100 nM; 4 h). MF protein lysates were analyzed by Western blotting using specific antibodies for LONP1, mtHSP70, and CLPP1 and CE protein lysates with antibodies for p-IRE1, IRE1, FLAG, p-eIF2α, and β-actin. The membrane was stained with Ponceau S. (n = 3 biological replicates; a representative blot is shown). Data
TG-induced UPR\textsuperscript{mt} in PKR- or PERK-deficient MEFs like it did in the WT MEFs (Fig. 7D–F).

To further validate our hypothesis, we treated MEFs from mice that have wild type eif2\(\alpha\) (or eif2\(\alpha\) S/S to indicate serine on 51\textsuperscript{st} amino acid) or a phosphorylation mutant of eif2\(\alpha\) (or eif2\(\alpha\) A/A to indicate alanine on the 51\textsuperscript{st} amino acid) with the SPL inhibitor, THI. Inhibition of SPL by THI enhanced the transcription of TG-induced ATF4 and ATF5 in eif2\(\alpha\) S/S MEFs but not in the eif2\(\alpha\) A/A MEFs (supplemental Fig. S6F–H). Next, we transfected eif2\(\alpha\) S/S and eif2\(\alpha\) A/A MEFs with either SPL\_WT or SPL\_MUT and induced ER stress. Consistent with our previous results, SPL overexpression significantly reduced UPR\textsuperscript{mt} signaling in eif2\(\alpha\) S/S MEFs upon ER stress conditions, but this effect was diminished when SPL\_MUT was transfected into the same type of WT cells. Neither SPL\_WT nor SPL\_MUT had an effect on UPR\textsuperscript{mt} signaling in ER stressed eif2\(\alpha\) A/A MEFs (Figs. 7G–I and S6I). Collectively, these data demonstrate IRE1-SPL axis impinges on eif2\(\alpha\) phosphorylation to induce a robust UPR\textsuperscript{mt} signaling during ER stress in mammalian cells (Fig. 8).

**UPR\textsuperscript{mt} induction is unlikely to be due to an increase in Ca\textsuperscript{2+} transfer between the organelles**

We wanted to gain more insight into how IRE1 prevents ER stress–induced UPR\textsuperscript{mt} in our experimental system. Several studies have shown that both IRE1 and PERK can localize to mitochondria-associated ER membranes and modulate calcium homeostasis (53, 54). Ca\textsuperscript{2+} uptake in the ER is mediated by the sarcoplasmic and endoplasmic reticulum Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-ATPase (SERCA) family of pumps, which are inhibited by TG. TG treatment (10 \(\mu\)M or higher) leads to an acute rise in intracellular Ca\textsuperscript{2+} and increased Ca\textsuperscript{2+} influx into the mitochondria, resulting in mitochondrial respiration defect (55). IRE1 deficiency has been shown to significantly reduce mitochondrial Ca\textsuperscript{2+} uptake (53). In our study, the low doses of TG used to activate IRE1 kinase activity did not increase intramitochondrial Ca\textsuperscript{2+} but was sufficient to induce UPR\textsuperscript{mt} (supplemental Fig. S8). ER stress–induced Ca\textsuperscript{2+} transfer to the mitochondria is unlikely to contribute to UPR\textsuperscript{mt} induction in our experimental design.

**Inhibition of IRE1-SPL axis is consistent with enhanced mitophagy**

ER stress-induced phosphorylation of eif2\(\alpha\) by PERK plays a critical role in transcriptional and translation induction of LONPI (28). LONPI is a key mitochondrial protease that degrades unfolded proteins during UPR\textsuperscript{mt} signaling and inhibits mitophagy through degrading the mitophagy regulator, Phosphatase and tensin homolog-induced kinase 1 (15). It is not clear how UPR\textsuperscript{ER} communicates these two divergent fates to the mitochondria. Because ER stress is unable to induce LONPI protein level when IRE1 kinase is inhibited (Fig 2D, F), suppression of UPR\textsuperscript{mt} signaling by IRE1 kinase inhibitor would be predicted to promote mitophagy. Indeed, we found that both IRE1 kinase inhibition (supplemental Fig. S9A) and SPL overexpression (supplemental Fig. S9B) in ER-stressed cells can induce the recruitment of p62 adaptor protein and Parkin E3 ubiquitin ligase to the mitochondria, a result that is consistent with enhanced mitophagy.

**DISCUSSION**

Organisms evolved various mechanisms to sense organelle dysfunction and to restore homeostasis in subcellular compartments such as the ER and mitochondria. The ultimate goal of these mechanisms is to regain cellular health by salvaging organelles or clearing those that are beyond repair. Less understood is how the decision for salvation versus clearance of organelles is made in mammalian cells. Chronic organelle stress is intertwined with pathological situations such as obesity, diabetes, and atherosclerosis (24). Due to functional overlap and physical association between ER and mitochondria, unresolved stress in one of these organelles can spread to the other. ER stress induced by unfolded proteins or lipid bilayer stress can also lead to mitochondrial dysfunction, mitochondrial oxidative stress, and trigger inflammation (28, 40, 56). Several mechanisms couple ER stress to mitochondrial dysfunction, including enhanced ER-to-mitochondria calcium transfer and PERK-induced mitophagy inhibition (28). Conversely, mitochondria dysfunction can spread to the ER. For example, mitochondrial translation inhibitor, doxycycline, or mitochondrial toxin, paraquat, induce not only UPR\textsuperscript{mt} but also UPR\textsuperscript{ER} signaling in mouse and human cells in published studies (29, 57) as well as in our own data. Our findings in this study reveal that during ER stress, IRE1 phosphorylates SPL to induce intracellular SIP leading to the activation of UPR\textsuperscript{mt} that is conserved from nematodes to humans (32).

An important revelation in this study has been that IRE1’s kinase activity is required for UPR\textsuperscript{mt} induction during ER stress. IRE1’s RNase activity is known for its essential role in UPR\textsuperscript{ER} activation, but our data exclude it from playing a role in UPR\textsuperscript{mt} signaling. This striking uncoupling of IRE1’s kinase and RNase functions in UPR\textsuperscript{ER} and UPR\textsuperscript{mt} led us to the discover a new...
Fig. 6. IRE1-SPL signaling axis augments UPR\textsuperscript{mt}. A–E: WT MEFs were treated with THI (5 mM) and TG (100 nM) for 12 h, and total RNA lysate was analyzed by qRT-PCR for Atf5, Atf4, Ddit3, Lonpl, Hspa9, and Gapdh mRNA (n = 4 biological replicates). F: WT MEFs were treated with THI (5 mM) and TG (100 nM) for 4 h, and MF protein lysates were analyzed by Western blotting using specific antibodies for LONP1, mtHSP70, and CLPP1 and CE protein lysates with antibodies for p-IRE1, IRE1, and \(\beta\)-actin. Ponceau S was used as loading control. (n = 3 biological replicates; a representative blot is shown). G–J: WT MEFs were transfected with the indicated plasmids and treated with TG (100 nM: 20 h). Total RNA lysate was analyzed by qRT-PCR for Atf5, Atf4, Ddit3, Hspa9, and Gapdh mRNA (n = 4 biological replicates). Data information: All data are mean ± SEM; (n = 4) Unpaired \(t\) test with Welch’s correction. * \(P \leq 0.05\), ** \(P \leq 0.01\), *** \(P \leq 0.001\). ATF, activating transcription factor; CE, total cellular extract; CLPP1, caseinolytic.
substrate of IRE1 kinase, SPL. Our data show that both intracellular SIP and mitochondrial SIP levels are reduced by IRE1 inhibition under stress conditions. Future studies could illuminate whether cytoplasmic or mitochondrial SIP or both is required for UPR\textsuperscript{mt} induction in mammalian cells and how SIP coordinates the induction of the transcriptional and translational layers of UPR\textsuperscript{mt}. The mice used in our study, Ape\textsuperscript{o/-}, mice, are known to have high levels of lipids in the form of cholesterol and saturated fatty acids in their blood samples, especially after being fed with hyperlipidemic diet (58). Moreover, bioactive SIP is found to be higher in the plasma of Ape\textsuperscript{o/-} mice in comparison to their WT counterparts (59). It is plausible that both the higher lipids and the higher SIP in the blood of Ape\textsuperscript{o/-} mice could contribute to UPR\textsuperscript{mt} activation in their livers. Another intriguing possibility is that SIP, being a secreted lipid mediator that travels in plasma (bound to high-density lipoprotein), could potentially communicate ER stress to distant tissues, but this needs future experimental validation (60). Indeed, a previous study showed that extrinsic SIP treatment can induce ER stress, but the study did not simultaneously assess UPR\textsuperscript{mt} activation (61).

Our data also showed that ER stress engages UPR\textsuperscript{mt} through eif2\textalpha phosphorylation. Numerous studies in mammalian cells showed that eif2\textalpha phosphorylation is required for the induction of UPR\textsuperscript{mt} (17, 62). Unexpectedly, our data further showed that inhibition of IRE1 expression or kinase activity leads to the inhibition of two upstream eif2\textalpha kinases, mainly through inhibition of cytoplasmic PKR and to a lesser degree of ER-anchored PERK. Phosphorylation of eif2\textalpha leads to global translational attenuation but a few, select mRNAs escape to be translated during stress (51). Our findings are not the only one connecting the dots between these two kinases and mitochondria. For example, mitochondrial RNAs can form intermolecular double-stranded RNAs that have been shown to interact and activate PKR in the cytoplasm. The same study showed that PKR can also localize to mitochondria and the nucleus (63). In addition, prior studies have shown that PERK is required for ER and mitochondria contact sites, promotes protective mitochondrial hyperfusion, and inhibits mitophagy during ER stress (28, 54, 64). Moreover, it has been shown that ER stress-induced eif2\textalpha phosphorylation leads to translational downregulation of mRNAs encoding mitochondrial ribosomal proteins without changing their transcript levels (65). However, it is not known how UPR\textsuperscript{ER} and ISR responses coordinate with mitochondrial translation to avoid a misalignment of nuclear-encoded and mitochondria-encoded proteins that assemble into mitochondrial complexes. A discoordination between these stress responses could trigger a mitochondrial proteotoxicity or disrupt mitochondrial energetics, tying into the activation of UPR\textsuperscript{mt}.

It is unclear how increasing the levels of intracellular SIP engages UPR\textsuperscript{mt}. One possibility is that the regulation of SIP levels by IRE1 through the modulation of SPL activity may change the mitochondrial energetics and lead to the activation of UPR\textsuperscript{mt}. In addition to its effect on mitochondrial Ca\textsuperscript{2+} uptake, localization of IRE1 to MAMs has been shown to modulate mitochondrial bioenergetics in mammalian cells (53). More recently, SPL has been shown to locate outer membrane of mitochondria in Trypanosoma brucei (66). Interaction between IRE1 and SPL which are shown to be in the proximity of mitochondria may provide another layer of control for mitochondrial SIP levels. Reduction in SPL activity under ER stress conditions may increase local SIP levels in mitochondria. SIP-produced in mitochondria by SPHK2 has been shown to bind to mitochondrial protein, PHB2. Interaction between mitochondrial SIP and PHB2 is important for cytochrome-c oxidase assembly and mitochondrial respiration (42).

Our data provide strong support for the central role of ER stress-induced IRE1-SIP axis in robust induction of UPR\textsuperscript{mt} in mammalian cells. However, there could be additional ER stress-induced mechanisms that affect mitochondrial proteostasis. One mechanism could involve the disruption of ER surface-mediated (ER-SURF) mitochondrial protein targeting. In ER-SURF, the newly synthesized mitochondrial proteins are initially bound and stored on the ER surface. With the help of specialized ER chaperones, these mitochondrial proteins are re-routed to the mitochondria (67). While ER-SURF has not been studied in mammalian cells, the conservation of a critical protein in this pathway (encoded by EMA19 in yeast) suggests a similar mechanism is in action in mammalian cells. Hypothetically, the disruption of the mammalian counterpart of the ER-SURF pathway could initiate UPR\textsuperscript{mt} by preventing the translocation of functional mitochondrial proteins to the mitochondria. This exciting possibility can be addressed by future studies focusing on understanding whether ER stress can prevent proper mitochondrial localization of certain proteins in mammalian cells. As SIP is a lipid mediator that is secreted to the extracellular environment and travels in plasma (bound to high-density lipoprotein), it can communicate ER stress to distant tissues (68). Indeed, extrinsic SIP treatment can induce ER stress (61).

It has been shown that IRE1 controls intercellular communication in C. elegans, but whether this is

protease-1; IRE1, inositol-requiring enzyme-1; MEFs, mouse embryonic fibroblasts; MF, mitochondrial fraction; mtHSP70, mitochondrial heat shock protein 70; LONPI, Lon protease-1; SPL, sphingosine 1-phosphate lyase; mt, mitochondria; TG, thapsigargin; UPR, unfolded protein response.
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Fig. 7. Inhibition of IRE1-SPL axis prevents eif2α phosphorylation by suppressing PERK and PKR activation by ER stress. 
A: HEK293 cells were electroporated with either siSCR or siIRE1 (50 nM) for 48 h and then treated with TG (100 nM; 4 h). Protein lysates were analyzed by Western blotting using specific antibodies for PERK, p-PKR, PKR, p-eif2α, eif2α, pIRE1, and β-actin (n = 3 biological replicates). B: HEK293 cells were transfected by either empty vector or SPL_WT plasmids for 24 h and then treated with TG (100 nM; 8 h). Protein lysates were analyzed by Western blotting using specific antibodies for PERK, p-PKR, PKR, p-eif2α, eif2α, pIRE1, FLAG, and β-actin. (n = 3 biological replicates). C: HEK293 cells were electroporated with either siSCR or siIRE1 (50 nM) for 48 h and then treated with TG (100 nM; 4 h). Protein lysates were analyzed by Western blotting using specific antibodies for PERK, 16 J. Lipid Res. (2022) 63(10) 100279
conserved in mammals and whether S1P plays a role in interorgan communication is not known (69). In C. elegans, neuronal IRE1 activation leads to the release of small vesicles carrying a secreted ER stress signal (SERSS) that is sensed by the intestinal cells and activates a cell nonautonomous signaling to enhance proteostasis and longevity (70, 71). Whether S1P is a SERSS in nematodes has not been investigated. Our findings suggest that S1P is poised to be an IRE1-regulated SERSS that could impact mitochondrial and ER proteostasis in distant body parts. It is known that circulating S1P promotes atherosclerosis. In plaques, ER and mitochondrial stress are known to play proinflammatory and proatherogenic roles (72–74). Of note, S1P is not the only circulating factor that is induced by UPR signaling in mammals. Other secreted factors include the fibroblast growth factor-21 that is induced by ATF4 and the growth/differentiation factor-15 that is induced by CHOP (75). In addition to revealing a new layer in the complex regulation of mammalian proteostasis, our findings warrant future investigation into IRE1-mediated interorgan stress communication by secreted S1P.

Finally, while our study did not examine this thoroughly, data available in the literature support that hyperlipidemic conditions are accompanied with UPRmt induction. For example, the expressions of UPRmt-related transcription factors and chaperones/proteases were found to be significantly increased in fish livers under hyperlipidemic conditions in vivo (76). Furthermore, the hyperlipidemic induction of ATF4 and CHOP in mouse liver and adipose tissue has been extensively studied (77, 78). It has also been shown that ATF5 expression is induced by HFD in the subcutaneous adipose tissue of mice, and ATF5 expression correlated with increased adiposity in both mice and human (79). Additionally, lipid stress (induced by the addition of a saturated fatty acid, palmitate) effectively

---

**Fig. 8.** IRE1-SPL axis impinges on eif2α phosphorylation through modulating PERK and PKR activities and establishes an additional layer of control over UPRmt induction during ER stress in mammalian cells. Activated by ER stress, IRE1 phosphorylates SPL that is found on the ER membranes. IRE1-mediated phosphorylation leads to inactivation to SPL and increased S1P levels in cells. IRE1-SPL axis mainly regulates PKR activity and eif2α phosphorylation, a critical upstream event in the activation of mammalian UPRmt signaling. ER, endoplasmic reticulum; IRE1, inositol-requiring enzyme-1; mt, mitochondria; PKR, double-stranded RNA-activated protein kinase; PERK, PKR-like ER kinase; SPL, sphingosine 1-phosphate lyase; UPR, unfolded protein response.

---

**p-PKR, PKR, p-eif2α, eif2α, pIRE1, and β-actin (n = 3 biological replicates). D–E: WT, PKR−/− and PERK−/− MEFs were treated with THI (5 mM) and TG (100 nM) for 12 h, and total RNA lysate was analyzed by qRT-PCR for Atf5, Atf4, and Gapdh mRNA (n = 4 biological replicates), while (F) protein lysates were analyzed by Western blotting using the indicated antibodies (n = 3 biological replicates; a representative blot is shown). G–I: eif2α S/S (WT) and eif2α A/A (phospho mutant) MEFs were transfected with indicated plasmids and treated with treated TG (100 nM) for 16 h, and total RNA lysate was analyzed by qRT-PCR for Atf5, Atf4, Ddit3, and Gapdh mRNA (n = 4 biological replicates). Data information: All data are mean ± SEM; (n = 4) Unpaired t test with Welch’s correction. * P ≤ 0.05, ** P ≤ 0.01, *** P ≤ 0.001, **** P ≤ 0.0001. All data are mean ± SEM; (n = 3) Unpaired t test. * P ≤ 0.05, ** P ≤ 0.01. ATF, activating transcription factor; HEK293, human embryonic kidney 293; IRE1, inositol-requiring enzyme-1; PERK, PKR-like ER kinase; PKR, double-stranded RNA-activated protein kinase; SPL, sphingosine 1-phosphate lyase; TG, thapsigargin.

**ASBMB**
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induced the level of ATF4, ATF5, and CHOP in vivo (28, 80). Future studies will be needed to clarify whether UPR\textsuperscript{mt} is protective or damaging to the liver in hyperlipidemic conditions.

In summary, our results demonstrate a key role for mammalian IRE1 kinase in suppressing SPL activity to enhance intracellular S1P levels and augment UPR\textsuperscript{mt} signaling. These findings help define a new layer of control over UPR\textsuperscript{FR} and UPR\textsuperscript{mt} that is exerted by IRE1 kinase and which serves to orchestrate an integrated, robust response to ER stress.
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